# An Accurate and Efficient Analysis of a MBSFN Network

#### Salvatore Talarico Matthew C. Valenti

West Virginia University Morgantown, WV

May 9, 2014

Salvatore Talarico

An Accurate and Efficient Analysis of a MBSFN Network

May 9, 2014 1 / 20



## 2 Spatial Model

### 3 Network Model

4 Performance Analysis

## **5** Conclusion



- 2 Spatial Model
- 3 Network Model
- Performance Analysis
- 5 Conclusion

#### Introduction

# Multicast-Broadcast Single-Frequency Network (MBSFN)

- MBSFN is a transmission mode in the LTE standard.
- MBSFN allows multimedia content to be broadcast over a cellular network (no additional license spectrum, no new infrastructure and end-user devises).
- Different MBSFN Areas can broadcast different contents.
- A cell can be part of multiple (up to eight) MBSFN Areas.



# **MBSFN Subframes**

- In an MBSFN area, it is also required the use of the same radio resources.
- The coordination is provided by a logical node called *Multi-cell/multicast Coordination Entity* (MCE).
- Inside a radio frame, certain sub-frames are reserved as MBSFN subframes.
- The MBSFN subframes use the extended cyclic prefix  $(16.7\mu s)$ .





# 2 Spatial Model

- 3 Network Model
- Performance Analysis

## 5 Conclusion

## **MBSFN** Areas: Deployment

- In absence of real data, a MBSFN network can be created as follows:
  - Deploy M base stations according to a uniform clustering model characterized by an exclusion zone of radius r<sub>bs</sub> (•) [15];



[15] D. Torrieri, M. C. Valenti, and S. Talarico, "An analysis of the DS-CDMA cellular uplink for arbitrary and constrained

topologies", IEEE Trans. Commun., vol. 61, pp. 3318-3326, Aug. 2013.

Salvatore Talarico

## **MBSFN** Areas: Deployment

- In absence of real data, a MBSFN network can be created as follows:
  - Deploy M base stations according to a uniform clustering model characterized by an exclusion zone of radius rbs (•) [15];
  - Pick Z points {Z<sub>1</sub>,...,Z<sub>S</sub>} according to a regular hexagonal grid, which are equally separated by d<sub>sfn</sub> (★);



[15] D. Torrieri, M. C. Valenti, and S. Talarico, "An analysis of the DS-CDMA cellular uplink for arbitrary and constrained

topologies", IEEE Trans. Commun., vol. 61, pp. 3318-3326, Aug. 2013.

Salvatore Talarico

# **MBSFN** Areas: Deployment

- In absence of real data, a MBSFN network can be created as follows:
  - Deploy M base stations according to a uniform clustering model characterized by an exclusion zone of radius rbs (•) [15];
  - Pick Z points {Z<sub>1</sub>,...,Z<sub>S</sub>} according to a regular hexagonal grid, which are equally separated by d<sub>sfn</sub> (★);
  - Form MBSFN areas by grouping the radio cells of all base stations that are closer to each of the points {Z<sub>1</sub>,...,Z<sub>S</sub>}.



[15] D. Torrieri, M. C. Valenti, and S. Talarico, "An analysis of the DS-CDMA cellular uplink for arbitrary and constrained

topologies", IEEE Trans. Commun., vol. 61, pp. 3318-3326, Aug. 2013.

Salvatore Talarico



## 2 Spatial Model

## 3 Network Model

### Performance Analysis

### 5 Conclusion

#### Network Model

## **Network Model**

- The Network comprises:
  - S MFSFN areas  $\{Z_1, ..., Z_S\}$  which are equally separated by  $d_{sfn}$ ;
  - M cellular base stations  $\{X_1, ..., X_M\}$ .
- Finite network area discretized into N points,  $\{Y_1..., Y_N\}$ .
- The instantaneous power of  $X_i$  received at position  $Y_j$  is

$$\rho_{i,j} = P_0 g_{i,j} 10^{\xi_{i,j}/10} f\left(||X_i - Y_j||\right) \tag{1}$$

where

- P<sub>0</sub> is the transmit power;
- $g_{i,j}$  is the power gain due to Nakagami fading;
- $f(\cdot)$  is a path-loss function:

$$f\left(d\right) = \left(\frac{d}{d_0}\right)^{-\alpha}$$

•  $\alpha$  is the path loss exponent;

$$d \ge d_0;$$

•  $\xi_{i,j}$  is a shadowing factor and  $\xi_{i,j} \sim N(0, \sigma_s^2)$  with Gudmundson's autocorrelation function

$$\mathcal{R}\left(\Delta x\right) = \exp\left\{-\frac{\left|\left|\Delta x\right|\right|}{d_{\mathsf{corr}}}\ln 2\right\}$$
(2)

with the decorrelation length  $d_{\rm corr}=20~{\rm m}$  as suggested by the 30.03 UMTS standard.

# Inter-Symbol Interference (ISI)

- In a MBSFN OFDMA network, given a MBSFN area  $Z_k$  there are two sources of ISI:
  - Inter-MBSFN area interference: all the base stations outside  $Z_k$ ;
  - Intra-MBSFN area interference: a transmission results in ISI if

$$||X_i - Y_j|| > \frac{c}{T_{\mathsf{ECP}}} \approx 5 \ \mathsf{km}$$

where

• 
$$c = 3 \times 10^8$$
 m/s, which is the speed of light;

•  $T_{\text{ECP}} = 16.7 \mu \text{s}$ , which is the *extended cyclic prefix*.



#### Network Model

## Signal-To-Interference-And-Noise Ratio (SINR)

- Let  $\mathcal{G}_{j,z}$  denote the set of the indexes of the base stations that belong to the  $z^{th}$  MBSFN area and serving location  $Y_j$ , and let  $N_j = |\mathcal{G}_{j,z}|$  denote the cardinality of  $\mathcal{G}_{j,z}$ .
- The signal from base station  $X_i, i \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{Z}_j}$  to the UE at location  $Y_j$  is included in the maximal-ratio combining (MRC) combined signal passed to the demodulator and the instantaneous SINR at location  $Y_j$  by using (1) and (2) can be expressed as

$$\gamma_j = \frac{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{G}_{j, \mathcal{Z}_j}} g_{i,j} \Omega_{i,j}}{\Gamma^{-1} + \sum_{i \notin \mathcal{G}_{j, \mathcal{Z}_j}} g_{i,j} \Omega_{i,j}}$$
(3)

where

•  $\Gamma = d_0^{\alpha} N_j P_0 / \mathcal{N}$  is the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) at a mobile located at unit distance when fading and shadowing are absent, where  $\mathcal{N}$  is the noise power; •  $\Omega_{i,j} = \frac{10^{\xi_{i,j}/10} ||X_i - Y_j||^{-\alpha}}{N_j}$  is the normalized power of  $X_i$  at receiver  $Y_j$ .

## Conditional Outage Probability

- An outage occurs when the SINR is below a threshold  $\beta$ .
  - $\beta$  depends on the choice of modulation and coding.
- The outage probability for the mobile  $Y_j$  conditioned over the network is

$$\epsilon_j = P\left[\gamma_j \le \beta_j \middle| \mathbf{\Omega}_j\right]. \tag{4}$$

- The conditional outage probability is found in closed form [5] for non-identical Nakagami-m parameters  $\{m_{i,j}\}$ :
  - characterize the fading from the base station  $X_k$  to the mobile  $Y_j$ ;
  - selected based on a distance-depending fading model:

$$m_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } ||X_i - Y_j|| \le r_f/2 \\ 2 & \text{if } r_f/2 < ||X_i - Y_j|| \le r_f \\ 1 & \text{if } ||X_i - Y_j|| > r_f \end{cases}$$
(5)

#### where $r_{\rm f}$ is the *line-of-sight radius*.

[5] S. Talarico, M. C. Valenti, and D. Torrieri, "Analysis of multi-cell downlink cooperation with a constrained spatial model",

Proc. IEEE Global Telecommun. Conf (GLOBECOM), Atlanta, GA, Dec. 2013.

Salvatore Talarico



- 2 Spatial Model
- 3 Network Mode
- 4 Performance Analysis

## 5 Conclusion

. (+)

# Area Below An Outage Threshold (ABOT)

• The area below an outage threshold (ABOT) is defined as the fraction of the network realization t that provides an outage probability (averaged over the fading) that meets a threshold  $\hat{\epsilon}$  following

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{bot}}^{(0)} = P[\epsilon_j < \hat{\epsilon}]. \tag{6}$$



Figure: Close-up of an example network topology. The white areas are the portion of the network for which the outage probability is above a typical value of  $\hat{\epsilon} = 0.1$ .

# Area Below An Outage Threshold (ABOT)

• The area below an outage threshold (ABOT) is defined as the fraction of the network realization t that provides an outage probability (averaged over the fading) that meets a threshold  $\hat{\epsilon}$  following

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{bot}}^{(t)} = P\left[\epsilon_j < \hat{\epsilon}\right]. \tag{6}$$

After computing A<sup>(t)</sup><sub>bot</sub> for Υ network topologies, its *spatial average* can be computed as

$$\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{bot} = \frac{1}{\Upsilon} \sum_{t=1}^{\Upsilon} \mathcal{A}_{bot}^{(t)}.$$
(7)

• Let  $R = C(\beta_j)$  represent the relationship between the code rate R (in bit per channel used [bpcu]) and SINR threshold  $\beta_j$ . For modern cellular systems, it is reasonable to use:

$$C(\beta_j) = \log_2(1+\beta_j)$$

## ABOT vs Rate



Figure: ABOT as function of the rate for both a shadowed ( $\sigma_s = 8 \text{ dB}$ ) and unshadowed environment.

Settings:

- Square arena of side  $d_{net} = 20 \text{ km}$ ;
- SNR:  $\Gamma = 10 \text{ dB}$ ;
- Path loss exponent:  $\alpha = 3.5$ ;
- Distance among MBSFN areas:  $d_{sfn} = 6$  km;
- Line-of-sight radius:  $r_{\rm f} = 0.5$  km;
- Exclusion zone:  $r_{\rm bs} = 0.5$  km;
- Outage constraint:  $\hat{\epsilon} = 0.1$ .

Performance Analysis

# ABOT vs Minimum Separation Among Base Stations



Figure: ABOT as a function of the minimum separation among base stations, for both Rayleigh fading and a distance-depending fading when  $r_{bs} = r_{f}$ .

Settings:

- Square arena of side  $d_{\rm net} = 20 \ {\rm km};$
- SNR:  $\Gamma = 10 \text{ dB}$ ;
- Path loss exponent:  $\alpha = 3.5$ ;
- Distance among MBSFN areas:  $d_{sfn} = 6$  km;
- Code Rate: R = 0.1;
- Density of base station:  $\lambda = 0.1 \ \# {\rm bs/km}^2$
- Outage constraint:  $\hat{\epsilon} = 0.1$ .

# ABOT vs Outage Constraint



Figure: ABOT as a function of the outage threshold  $\hat{\epsilon}$  for both a shadowed ( $\sigma_s = 8 \text{ dB}$ ) and unshadowed environment.

Settings:

- Square arena of side  $d_{net} = 20 \text{ km}$ ;
- SNR:  $\Gamma = 10 \text{ dB}$ ;
- Path loss exponent:  $\alpha = 3.5$ ;
- Code Rate: R = 0.5;
- Density of base station:  $\lambda = 0.5$   $\# {\rm bs/km}^2$
- Line-of-sight radius:  $r_{\rm f} = 0.5$  km;
- Exclusion zone:  $r_{\rm bs} = 0.5$  km;

- Introduction
- 2 Spatial Model
- 3 Network Model
- Performance Analysis
- **5** Conclusion

# Conclusions

- A new approach for modeling and analyzing the performance of multicast-broadcast single-frequency network (MBSFN) has been presented.
- The analysis is driven by a new outage probability closed form expression, which is exact for a given network realization and accounts for path loss, correlated shadowing, and Nakagami-m fading with non-identical parameters.
- Despite other works that characterize the performance of a MBSFN network, the topology of the network is determined by a constrained random spatial model.
- The results show:
  - An increase in the size of an MBSFN areas leads to an improvement in performance until the inter-MBSFN area ISI begins to degrade performance;
  - As expected, densification or an increase in the minimum separation among base stations improve performance.



An Accurate and Efficient Analysis of a MBSFN Network

May 9, 2014 20 / 20