Generic Families and Models of Set Theory with the Axiom of Choice

Krzysztof Ciesielski, Wojciech Guzicki

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Volume 106, Issue 1 (May, 1989), 199-206.

Stable URL:
http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9939%28198905%29106%3C199%3AGFAMOS%3E2.0.CO%3B2-S

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society is published by American Mathematical Society. Please contact the publisher for further permissions regarding the use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/journals/ams.html.

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society
©1989 American Mathematical Society

JSTOR and the JSTOR logo are trademarks of JSTOR, and are Registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. For more information on JSTOR contact jstor-info@umich.edu.

©2002 JSTOR

http://www.jstor.org/
Sat Jan 5 12:28:29 2002

PROCEEDINGS OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 106, Number 1, May 1989

GENERIC FAMILIES AND MODELS OF SET THEORY WITH THE AXIOM OF CHOICE

KRZYSZTOF CIESIELSKI AND WOJCIECH GUZICKI

(Communicated by Andreas Blass)

ABSTRACT. Let M be a countable transitive model of ZFC and A be a countable M-generic family of Cohen reals. We prove that there is no smallest transitive model N of ZFC that either $M \cup A \subseteq N$ or $M \cup \{A\} \subseteq N$. It is also proved that there is no smallest transitive model N of ZFC^- (ZFC theory without the power set axiom) such that $M \cup \{A\} \subseteq N$. It is also proved that certain classes of extensions of M obtained by Cohen generic reals have no minimal model.

0. INTRODUCTION

In the paper [1] Blass proved that for a given countable transitive model M of ZF and a countable M-generic family A of Cohen reals there exists no smallest transitive model N of ZF such that $M \cup A \subseteq N$. On the other hand Cohen's model $M[A]$ is the smallest transitive model N of ZF such that $M \cup \{A\} \subseteq N$. Similar questions can be asked about models of the theory ZF^- (Zermelo–Fraenkel set theory without the power set axiom and with the collection and comprehension schemes instead of the replacement scheme). Then $M[A]$ is also the smallest transitive model N of ZF^- such that $M \cup \{A\} \subseteq N$. It is an observation of Szczepaniak that the model $M(A) = \bigcup\{M[a]: a \subseteq A \& |a| < \omega\}$ is a model of ZF^- (see [5], for a proof see also [1] or [3]) and it is obvious that $M(A)$ is the smallest model N of ZF^- such that $M \cup A \subseteq N$.

In this paper we ask four analogous questions: If M is a countable transitive model of the theory ZFC (or ZFC^-) and A is a countable M-generic family of Cohen reals, does there exist the smallest model N of ZFC (or ZFC^-, respectively) such that $M \cup \{A\} \subseteq N$ or $M \cup A \subseteq N$? Since $M(A)$ satisfies the axiom of choice it is the smallest transitive model N of ZFC^- such that $M \cup A \subseteq N$. We will show that in the remaining three cases the answers are negative: the respective smallest models do not exist.

Received by the editors March 15, 1988 and, in revised form, June 24, 1988.
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 03C62; Secondary 03E25.

©1989 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/89 $1.00 + $.25 per page

We conclude the paper with investigating extensions of M obtained by adding many Cohen generic reals. We show that among such models there exists no minimal model containing a given generic family as an element.

1. Nonexistence of the smallest models

We begin with introducing several notions of forcing. For any sets A and B we define $\mathbb{P}(A,B)$ as the set of functions $p\colon \mathrm{dom}(p) \to B$, where $\mathrm{dom}(p)$ is a finite subset of A, ordered by reverse inclusion. Next

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(A) &= \mathbb{P}(A,2),\\ \mathbb{P} &= \mathbb{P}(\omega),\\ \mathbb{Q}_\alpha &= \mathbb{P}(\alpha\times\omega) \quad \text{for } \alpha\in On,\\ \mathbb{Q} &= \mathbb{Q}_{\omega_1^M} \quad \text{where } M \text{ is a fixed countable transitive model of } ZFC.\end{aligned}$$

For any M-generic filter $G\subseteq \mathbb{Q}_\alpha$ we define M-generic filters $(G)_\xi \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ for $\xi\in\alpha$ with the formula

$$p\in (G)_\xi \text{ iff } \exists q\in G\forall n\in \mathrm{dom}(p)[\langle \xi, n\rangle \in \mathrm{dom}(q) \& q(\xi,n)=p(n)].$$

Next we define

$$\widetilde{G} = \{(G)_\xi : \xi\in\alpha\}.$$

Definition 1.1. A family $\mathcal{G}$ of filters in $\mathbb{P}$ is an M-generic family iff for any finite number of filters $G_1,\dots,G_n\in\mathcal{G}$ the n-tuple $\langle G_1,\dots,G_n\rangle$ is M-generic over $\mathbb{P}^n$ and for any forcing condition $p\in\mathbb{P}$ there exists $G\in\mathcal{G}$ such that $p\in G$.

It is well known that for any M-generic filter $G\subseteq\mathbb{Q}_\alpha$ the family $\widetilde{G}$ is M-generic. For countable generic families the converse is also true (see [2]).

Lemma 1.2 (Friedman, Cohen). *If A is a countable M-generic family then there exists an M-generic filter $G\subseteq\mathbb{Q}_\omega$ such that $\widetilde{G}=A$. Moreover $M[A]$ is a model of $ZF+\neg AC$.*

We omit the proof of the lemma. □

A well-known property of the model $M[A]$ is given by the following

Lemma 1.3. *If $x\subseteq M$ and $x\in M[A]$ then there exists a finite subset $a\subseteq A$ such that $x\in M[a]$.*

We omit the proof of the lemma. □

In the model $M[A]$ we can define the following notion of forcing $\mathbb{R}_\alpha$ for $\alpha\in On-\omega$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{R}_\alpha &= \{p\in\mathbb{P}(\alpha,A): p \text{ is one-to-one}\},\\ p\le q &\quad \text{iff } q\subseteq p \quad \text{for} \quad p,q\in\mathbb{R}_\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

Now we can prove the following

Lemma 1.4. *If A is a countable M-generic family and $\alpha \in (On \cap M) - \omega$ then for any $M[A]$-generic filter $H \subseteq \mathbb{R}_\alpha$ there exists an M-generic filter $G \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_\alpha$ such that $\widetilde{G} = A$ and $M[A][H] = M[G]$.*

Proof. Let $H \subseteq \mathbb{R}_\alpha$ be an $M[A]$-generic filter. We identify generic filters with generic functions; under this identification H is a one-to-one function from α onto A.

We define a filter G in $\mathbb{Q}_\alpha$ by the formula

$$G(\xi, n) = H(\xi)(n).$$

Then obviously $\widetilde{G} = A$ and $M[A][H] = M[G]$. We must only show that the filter G is M-generic. Let $D \in M$ be a dense subset of $\mathbb{Q}_\alpha$.

We define a subset E of $\mathbb{R}_\alpha$:

$p \in E$ iff there exists $q \in D$ such that for any $\xi \in \alpha$ and $n \in \omega$ if $\langle \xi, n \rangle \in \mathrm{dom}(q)$ then $\xi \in \mathrm{dom}(p)$ and $p(\xi)(n) = q(\xi, n)$.

Obviously $E \in M[A]$. We will show that the set E is dense in $\mathbb{R}_\alpha$. This will suffice because then $E \cap H \neq 0$ and for $p \in E \cap H$ and $q \in D$ such as in the definition of E we have $q \in G$, thus $D \cap G \neq 0$.

In order to show that E is dense in $\mathbb{R}_\alpha$ we take an arbitrary condition $p_0 \in \mathbb{R}_\alpha$.

Let $a = \mathrm{dom}(p_0) = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\} \subseteq \alpha$,

$$p_0(\xi_i) = G_i \in A \qquad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

We define a set $D_\alpha \subseteq \mathbb{P}(a \times \omega)$ as follows:

$$D_a = \{q \in \mathbb{P}(a \times \omega) : \exists p \in D (q = p \restriction a \times \omega)\}.$$

Obviously, $D_a \in M$ and D_a is a dense subset of $\mathbb{P}(a \times \omega)$. Since the tuple $\langle G_1, \ldots, G_n \rangle$ is M-generic in $\mathbb{P}^n$, there exists $q \in D_a$ such that $\langle G_1, \ldots, G_n \rangle$ extends q (under a suitable identification of the notions of forcing $\mathbb{P}^n$ and $\mathbb{P}(a \times \omega)$). Let $p \in D$ be such that $q = p \restriction a \times \omega$.

Suppose that $\mathrm{dom}(p) \subseteq (a \cup b) \times \omega$ and $a \cap b = 0$. Let $b = \{\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_m\}$.

We find distinct filters $G'_1, \ldots, G'_m \in A - \{G_1, \ldots, G_n\}$ such that the tuple $\langle G'_1, \ldots, G'_m \rangle$ extends $p \restriction b \times \omega$. Then we define a condition $r \in \mathbb{R}_\alpha$:

$$\mathrm{dom}(r) = a \cup b,$$
$$r(\xi_i) = G_i,$$
$$r(\zeta_i) = G'_i.$$

Then obviously $r \leq p_0$ and $r \in E$. □

The following is a special case of a corollary to the product lemma.

Lemma 1.5. *If a pair of filters $\langle H_1, H_2 \rangle$ is $M[A]$-generic over $\mathbb{R}_\alpha^2$ then $M[A][H_1] \cap M[A][H_2] = M[A]$.*

For a proof see [4]. □

Corollary 1.6. *For any M-generic family A and any infinite $\alpha \in On \cap M$ there exist M-generic filters $G_1, G_2 \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_\alpha$ such that $\widetilde{G}_1 = \widetilde{G}_2 = A$ and $M[G_1] \cap M[G_2] = M[A]$.*

Proof. We take an $M[A]$-generic pair of filters $\langle H_1, H_2 \rangle$ over $\mathbb{R}_\alpha^2$ and find M-generic filters $G_1, G_2 \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_\alpha$ such that $M[A][H_1] = M[G_1]$ and $M[A][H_2] = M[G_2]$ and $\widetilde{G}_1 = \widetilde{G}_2 = A$.

Then by Lemma 1.5

$$M[G_1] \cap M[G_2] = M[A][H_1] \cap M[A][H_2] = M[A]. \quad \square$$

Now we can prove the following:

Theorem 1.7. *For any countable M-generic family A there exists no smallest model N of ZFC such that $M \cup A \subseteq N$.*

Proof. We take M-generic filters $G_1, G_2 \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_\omega$ such that $M[G_1] \cap M[G_2] = M[A]$ and $\widetilde{G}_1 = \widetilde{G}_2 = A$. Now it is enough to show that no submodel N of $M[A]$ such that $M \cup A \subseteq N$ can be model of ZFC. Let us assume that N is such a model. Then obviously $P(\omega) \cap N = P(\omega) \cap M[A]$. In N there exists a function $f: \alpha \times \omega \to 2$ such that $\alpha = |P(\omega) \cap N|^N$ and

$$P(\omega) \cap N = \{\{n \in \omega : f(\xi, n) = 0\} : \xi \in \alpha\}.$$

Then there exists a finite set $a \subseteq A$ such that $f \in M[a]$, which gives a contradiction because $A \in M[f]$. $\square$

We also have

Theorem 1.8. *For any countable M-generic family A there exists no smallest model N of ZFC such that $M \cup \{A\} \subseteq N$.*

Proof. We take M-generic filters $G_1, G_2 \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_\omega$ such that $M[G_1] \cap M[G_2] = M[A]$ and $\widetilde{G}_1 = \widetilde{G}_2 = A$. If N is a smallest model of ZFC such that $M \cup \{A\} \subseteq N$ then $N \subseteq M[A]$. On the other hand, since $A \in N$, we have $M[A] \subseteq N$. This is a contradiction because $M[A] \models \neg AC$. $\square$

Finally, we have

Theorem 1.9. *For any countable M-generic family A there exist no smallest model N of ZFC^- such that $M \cup \{A\} \subseteq N$.*

Proof. We recall that if $G \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ is an M-generic filter then the model $M_G^* = \bigcup\{M[x, \widetilde{G}] : x \in P(\omega) \cap M[G]\}$ is a model of ZFC^- (for a proof see [3]). Now we take M-generic filters $G_1, G_2 \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\widetilde{G}_1 = \widetilde{G}_2 = A$ and $M[G_1] \cap M[G_2] = M[A]$. Then $M_{G_1}^* \cap M_{G_2}^* \subseteq M[A]$. On the other hand if N is a model of ZFC^- such that $M \cup \{A\} \subseteq N$ then $M[A] \subseteq N$. Therefore $M_{G_1}^* \cap M_{G_2}^* = M[A]$. This gives a contradiction because the smallest model N of ZFC^- such that $M \cup \{A\} \subseteq N$ would have to be equal to $M[A]$ and $M[A] \models \neg AC$. $\square$

We conclude this section with the observation that a lemma converse to Lemma 1.4 is also true.

Lemma 1.10. *If A is a countable M-generic family and $\alpha \in On \cap M$ is infinite then for any M-generic filter $G \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_\alpha$ such that $\tilde{G} = A$ there exists an $M[A]$-generic filter $H \subseteq \mathbb{R}_\alpha$ such that $M[A][H] = M[G]$.*

Proof. The filter G defines the following function $g: \alpha \xrightarrow{1-1} A$

$$g(\xi)(n) = \left(\bigcup G\right)(\xi, n) \qquad \text{for } \xi \in \alpha \text{ and } n \in \omega.$$

The filter $H \subseteq \mathbb{R}_\alpha$ is defined as

$$H = \{g \restriction a : a \subseteq \alpha \& |a| < \omega\} = \{q \in \mathbb{R}_\alpha : q \subseteq g\}.$$

It is obvious that $M[A][H] = M[G]$. We will show that H is an $M[A]$-generic filter in $\mathbb{R}_\alpha$. The filter H has a canonical name $\dot{H}$ with the following property:

If $\dot{a}_i$ is a canonical name for $g(\xi_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, then

$$1 \Vdash \{\langle \xi_i, \dot{a}_i \rangle : i = 1, \ldots, n\} \in \dot{H}.$$

Now let $D \in M[A]$ be a dense subset of $\mathbb{R}_\alpha$. We take a name $\dot{D}$ for D such that there exists a subset $X = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\} \subseteq \alpha$ such that for any permutation $\pi: \alpha \to \alpha$ such that $\pi \in M$ and $\pi(\xi_i) = \xi_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ we have $\pi(\dot{D}) = \dot{D}$. Now we take a condition $p_0 \in G$ such that $p_0 \Vdash$ "$\dot{D}$ is dense in $\dot{\mathbb{R}}_\alpha$" and define

$$E = \{p \in \mathbb{Q}_\alpha : p \Vdash \dot{D} \cap \dot{H} \neq 0\}.$$

It is enough to show that E is dense in $\mathbb{Q}_\alpha$ below p_0. Let $p \in \mathbb{Q}_\alpha$ and $p \leq p_0$. Let $\mathrm{dom}(p) \subseteq Z \times \omega$, $Z = \{\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_m\}$ and $X \subseteq Z$. We take a condition

$$q = \{\langle \zeta_i, g(\zeta_i) \rangle : i = 1, \ldots, m\} \in \mathbb{R}_\alpha.$$

Obviously $p \Vdash \dot{q} \in \dot{\mathbb{R}}_\alpha$.

We take $p_1 \leq p$ and $q_1 \leq q$ such that $p_1 \Vdash \dot{q}_1 \in \dot{D}$. We assume that

$$q_1 = q \cup \{\langle \eta_j, g(\rho_j) \rangle : j = 1, \ldots, k\}$$

and $\zeta_i \neq \eta_j$, $\zeta_i \neq \rho_j$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$ and $j = 1, \ldots, k$.

We define a permutation $\pi: \alpha \to \alpha$ so that

$$\pi(\rho_j) = \eta_j \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, \ldots, k,$$
$$\pi(\zeta_i) = \zeta_i \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \ldots, m.$$

Then

$$\pi p_1 \Vdash \pi \dot{q}_1 \in \dot{D}.$$

But $\pi p_1 \leq p$ and $\pi \dot{D} = D$. Next

$$\pi q_1 = q \cup \{\langle \eta_i, g(\eta_i) \rangle : j = 1, \ldots, k\}$$

and hence

$$\pi p_1 \Vdash \pi \dot{q}_1 \in \dot{H}.$$

Therefore $\pi p_1 \Vdash \pi \dot{q}_1 \in \dot{D} \cap \dot{H}$ and finally $\pi p_1 \in E$. □

2. Nonexistence of minimal Cohen extensions

We begin with the following:

Lemma 2.1. *For any M-generic filter $G \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_\omega$ there exists an M-generic filter $H \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_\omega$ such that $\widetilde{G} = \widetilde{H}$ and*

$$P(\omega) \cap M[H] \subsetneq P(\omega) \cap M[G].$$

Proof. Let $\mathbb{P}_1$ be the following dense subset of $\mathbb{Q}_\omega$:

$$p \in \mathbb{P}_1 \equiv \exists n, m \in \omega(\mathrm{dom}(p) = n \times m)$$

and let $\mathbb{P}_2$ be the following dense subset of $\mathbb{Q}_\omega \times \mathbb{Q}_\omega \times \mathbb{P}$:

$$\langle q, r, s\rangle \in \mathbb{P}_2 \equiv \exists n_1, n_2, m \in \omega(\mathrm{dom}(q) = n_1 \times m$$
$$\& \ \mathrm{dom}(r) = n_2 \times m \ \& \ \mathrm{dom}(s) = n_1 + n_2 \& |s^{-1}(0)| = n_1 \& |s^{-1}(1)| = n_2).$$

Now we define an isomorphism $h: \mathbb{P}_1 \to \mathbb{P}_2$. For $p \in \mathbb{P}_1$ such that $\mathrm{dom}(p) = n \times m$ we put $h(p) = \langle q, r, s\rangle$, where:

$$\mathrm{dom}(s) = n,$$
$$s(i) = p(i, 0) \quad \text{for} \quad i < n,$$
$$n_1 = |s^{-1}(0)|,$$
$$n_2 = |s^{-1}(1)|$$
$$\mathrm{dom}(q) = n_1 \times (m-1),$$
$$\mathrm{dom}(r) = n_2 \times (m-1),$$

if $s(i) = 0$ and $|\{j < i : s(j) = 0\}| = k$ then

$$q(k, l) = p(i, l+1),$$

if $s(i) = 1$ and $|\{j < i : s(j) = 1\}| = k$ then

$$r(k, l) = p(i, l+1).$$

The definition of h is best illustrated by an example. Let a condition p be represented by the following matrix

⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h
0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0

Then the following matrices represent conditions q, r, s such that $h(p) = \langle q, r, s\rangle$:

q:

⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
a	b	e	g	h

r:

⋮	⋮	⋮
c	d	f

s:

0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0

Now let $K = h(G \cap \mathbb{P}_1)$. Then K is an M-generic filter in $\mathbb{P}_2$ which generates in a natural way an M-generic triple of filters $K_1 \times K_2 \times K_3 \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_\omega \times \mathbb{Q}_\omega \times \mathbb{P}$. Now we split the filter K_3 into two M-generic filters H_3 and H_4:

$$p \in H_3 \equiv \exists q \in K_3\ \forall n \in \mathrm{dom}(p)(2n \in \mathrm{dom}(q) \& p(n) = q(2n)),$$

$$p \in H_4 \equiv \exists q \in K_3\ \forall n \in \mathrm{dom}(p)(2n+1 \in \mathrm{dom}(q) \& p(n) = q(2n+1)).$$

We also put $H_1 = K_1$ and $H_2 = K_2$. Finally

$$H = h^{-1}(H_1 \times H_2 \times H_3 \cap \mathbb{P}_2).$$

Then obviously $M[H] = M[H_1, H_2, H_3] \subseteq M[G]$. Since $H_4 \in M[G]$ and H_4 is $M[H]$-generic in $\mathbb{P}$ we have

$$P(\omega) \cap M[H] \subsetneq P(\omega) \cap M[G].$$

It remains to show that $\tilde{G} = \tilde{H}$. For any M-generic filter $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ we define a filter $\overline{F}$ with the formula

$$\overline{F}(n) = F(n+1)$$

(we recall that an M-generic filter is identified with the corresponding M-generic function). Now we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} F \in \tilde{G} &\equiv (F(0) = 0 \& \overline{F} \in \tilde{K}_1) \vee (F(0) = 1 \& \overline{F} \in \tilde{K}_2) \\ &\equiv (F(0) = 0 \& \overline{F} \in \tilde{H}_1) \vee (F(0) = 1 \& \overline{F} \in \tilde{H}_2) \\ &\equiv F \in \tilde{H}. \qquad \square \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.2. *For any M-generic family A the class of models*

$$\{M[G]: G \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_\omega \text{ is } M\text{-generic } \& \tilde{G} = A\}$$

has no minimal element. $\square$

Now it is easy to generalize Lemma 2.1 to the case of M-generic filters in $\mathbb{Q}$. Namely

$$\mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbb{P}(\omega \times \omega) \times \mathbb{P}((\omega_1^M - \omega) \times \omega).$$

Any M-generic filter $G \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ decomposed as $G_1 \times G_2$ where

$$G_1 = G \cap \mathbb{P}(\omega \times \omega),$$

$$G_2 = G \cap \mathbb{P}((\omega_1^M - \omega) \times \omega)$$

and

$$G_1 \text{ is } M[G_2]\text{-generic}.$$

From Lemma 2.1 it follows that there exists an $M[G_2]$-generic filter $H_1 \subseteq \mathbb{P}(\omega \times \omega)$ such that $\tilde{H}_1 = \tilde{G}_1$ and

$$P(\omega) \cap M[G_2][H_1] \subsetneq P(\omega) \cap M[G_2][G_1].$$

We put $H = H_1 \times G_2$ and observe that

$$P(\omega) \cap M[H] \subsetneq P(\omega) \cap M[G]$$

and

$$\tilde{G} = \tilde{G}_1 \cup \tilde{G}_2 = \tilde{H}_1 \cup \tilde{G}_2 = \tilde{H}. \quad \square$$

This obviously gives the following

Corollary 2.3. *For any countable M-generic family A the class of models*

$$\{M_G^*: G \subseteq \mathbb{Q} \text{ is } M\text{-generic } \& \widetilde{G} = A\}$$

has no minimal element. □

We conclude the paper with the following

Problem 2.4. Does there exist a minimal model N of ZFC (or ZFC^-) such that $M \cup \{A\} \subseteq N$? Does there exist a minimal model of N of ZFC such that $M \cup A \subseteq N$?

References

1. A. Blass, *The model of set theory generated by countable many generic reals*, J. Symbolic Logic **46** (1981), 732–752.
2. H. Friedman, *Large models with countable height*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (1975), 227–239.
3. W. Guzicki, *Generic families and models of set theory ZFC⁻* (to appear).
4. R. Solovay, *A model of set theory in which every set of reals is Lebesgue measurable*, Ann. of Math. **92** (1970), 1–56.
5. Z. Szczepaniak and A. Zarach, *Consistency of the theory ZFC^- + "every set has a Hartogs number" + "continuum is a proper class" + GCH*, Instytut Matematyki Politechniki Wrocławskiej, Wrocław, 1978.

Current address (*W. Guzicki*): Department of Mathematics, Warsaw University, Warsaw, Poland

Current address (*K. Ciesielski*): Department of Mathematics, Methodist College, 5400 Ramsey Street, Fayetteville, North Carolina 28311