Martin's Axiom and a Regular Topological Space with Uncountable Net Weight Whose Countable Product is Hereditarily Separable and Hereditarily Lindelof

Krzysztof Ciesielski

Journal of Symbolic Logic, Volume 52, Issue 2 (Jun., 1987), 396-399.

Stable URL:
http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0022-4812%28198706%2952%3C396%3AMAAART%3E2.0.CO%3B2-T

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

Journal of Symbolic Logic is published by Association for Symbolic Logic. Please contact the publisher for further permissions regarding the use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/journals/asl.html.

Journal of Symbolic Logic
©1987 Association for Symbolic Logic

JSTOR and the JSTOR logo are trademarks of JSTOR, and are Registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. For more information on JSTOR contact jstor-info@umich.edu.

©2002 JSTOR

http://www.jstor.org/
Fri Jan 4 10:37:13 2002

THE JOURNAL OF SYMBOLIC LOGIC
Volume 52, Number 2, June 1987

MARTIN'S AXIOM AND A REGULAR TOPOLOGICAL SPACE WITH UNCOUNTABLE NET WEIGHT WHOSE COUNTABLE PRODUCT IS HEREDITARILY SEPARABLE AND HEREDITARILY LINDELÖF

KRZYSZTOF CIESIELSKI[1]

In [1, p. 51] A. V. Arhangel'skiĭ, in connection with the problems of L-spaces and S-spaces, examined further the notions of hereditary separability and hereditary Lindelöfness. In particular he considered the following property P: "Every regular topological space has a countable net weight provided its countable product is hereditarily Lindelöf and hereditarily separable." He noticed that the continuum hypothesis implies negation of the property P and posed a question: "Do Martin's Axiom and the negation of the continuum hypothesis imply P?" The purpose of this paper is to give a negative answer to this question.

The set-theoretical and topological notation that we use is standard and can be found in [6] and [5] respectively.

Throughout the paper we will use the notation $H(X, Y)$ to denote the set of all finite functions from a set X to Y.

THEOREM. $\mathrm{Con}(\mathrm{ZFC}) \to \mathrm{Con}(\mathrm{ZFC} + \mathrm{MA} + \neg\mathrm{CH} +$ *there exists a 0-dimensional Hausdorff space* X *such that* $nw(X) = \mathfrak{c}$ *and* $nw(Y) = \omega$ *for any* $Y \in [X]^{<\mathfrak{c}}$*).*

PROOF. Let M be a model of ZFC satisfying CH and let F be an M-generic filter over the Cohen forcing $(H(\omega_2 \times \omega_2, 2), \supset)$. Then $f = \bigcup F$ is a function and $f: \omega_2 \times \omega_2 \to 2$.

In $M[f]$, define the functions $f_\zeta: \omega_2 \to 2$ by $f_\zeta(\eta) = f(\eta, \zeta)$ $(\zeta, \eta < \omega_2)$ and consider $X = \{f_\zeta: \zeta < \omega_2\}$ as a topological subspace of 2^{ω_2}.

For $\varepsilon \in H(\omega_2, 2)$, let $[\varepsilon]$ be the element of the standard basis of 2^{ω_2} (i.e. $[\varepsilon] = \{g \in 2^{\omega_2}: \varepsilon \subset g\}$). For $\alpha < \omega_2$, let

$$Q_\alpha = \{\langle A, \varepsilon\rangle: \varepsilon \in H(\omega_2, 2) \text{ and } A \subset ([\varepsilon] \cap \{f_\zeta: \zeta < \alpha\}) \text{ and } |A| < \omega\}$$

with ordering $\langle A, \varepsilon\rangle \leq \langle B, \delta\rangle$ if and only if $A \supset B$ and $\varepsilon \supset \delta$.

Received April 15, 1986.

[1] The following results are part of the author's Ph.D. thesis written at the University of Warsaw under Professor Pawel Zbierski. I am most grateful to him and Wojciech Guzicki for their kind help. I also wish to thank my colleagues at Bowling Green State University for their hospitality in 1985/86.

© 1987, Association for Symbolic Logic
0022-4812/87/5202-0006/$01.40

It is easy to see that $Q_\alpha \in M[f \restriction \omega_2 \times \alpha]$ for $\alpha < \omega_2$. Moreover let Q be a direct product of the forcings Q_α, i.e.

$$Q = \prod\{Q_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_2\} = \{h : \mathrm{dom}(h) \in [\omega_2]^{<\omega} \ \&\ h(\alpha) \in Q_\alpha \text{ for every } \alpha \in \mathrm{dom}(h)\},$$

ordered by

$$h \leq h' \text{ if and only if } \mathrm{dom}(h) \supset \mathrm{dom}(h') \text{ and } h(\alpha) \leq h'(\alpha) \text{ for every } \alpha \in \mathrm{dom}(h').$$

Let G be an $M[f]$-generic filter over Q.

In [4, §5] (compare also [3, Theorems 2 and 4]) we proved that the forcing Q satisfies the ccc property in the model $M[f]$ and the model $M[f][G]$ satisfies

$$(*) \qquad nw(X) = \mathfrak{c} = \omega_2 \text{ and } nw(Y) = \omega \text{ for any } Y \in [X]^{<\mathfrak{c}}.$$

(The second part of $(*)$ follows immediately from the fact that if $Y \subset \{f_\zeta : \zeta < \alpha\}$ for some $\alpha < \omega_2$ and $N_k = \{f \in Y : f \in A \text{ for some element } \{\langle \alpha + k, \langle A, \varepsilon\rangle\rangle\} \in G\}$, then the family $\{N_k : k < \omega\}$ forms a countable network for Y.) We now give a generic extension of $M[f][G]$ which preserves the property $(*)$ and satisfies Martin's Axiom.

In $M[f][G]$ let R be an iteration with finite supports of ccc forcings of the form $T_\alpha = \langle \omega_1, \leq_\alpha\rangle$ such that $R \Vdash \mathrm{MA} + (\mathfrak{c} = \omega_2)$ (compare for example [2, §6, pp. 444–451]). Hence $R = H(\omega_2, \omega_1)$ and, for $g, g' \in R$, $g \leq g'$ if and only if $\mathrm{dom}(g) \supset \mathrm{dom}(g')$ and $g \restriction \alpha \Vdash g(\alpha) \leq_\alpha g'(\alpha)$ for every $\alpha \in \mathrm{dom}(g')$.

Let H be an $M[f][G]$-generic filter over R. Clearly

$$M[f][G][H] \models \mathrm{MA} + (\mathfrak{c} = \omega_2).$$

Moreover for every $Y \subset 2^{\omega_1}$ the sentence "N is a network of Y" is absolute; by the ccc property of R, for every $Z \in [X]^{\omega_1}$ from $M[f][G][H]$ there exists $Y \in [X]^{\omega_1}$ from $M[f][G]$ such that $Z \subset Y$. Hence

$$M[f][G][H] \models nw(Y) = \omega \text{ for every } Y \in [X]^{<\mathfrak{c}}.$$

Note that since $hL(Y) \leq nw(Y)$ we have (in $M[f][G][H]$) $hL(Y) = \omega$ for every $Y \in [X]^{<\mathfrak{c}}$. This implies $M[f][G][H] \models hL(X) = \omega$ (cf. [5] or [4]).

To complete the proof it suffices to show that $nw(X) = \mathfrak{c}$ holds in $M[f][G][H]$. The original idea of the proof is that, for $M[f][G], \models nw(X) = \omega$ (see [4] or [3]).

By way of contradiction assume that there exists a family $\mathscr{F} = \{F_\zeta : \zeta < \omega_1\}$ in $M[f][G][H]$ such that

$$M[f][G][H] \models \text{"}\mathscr{F} \text{ is a network for } X\text{"}.$$

By the regularity of X the family $\{\mathrm{cl}_X F_\zeta : \zeta < \omega_1\}$ is also a network for X, so we can assume that the sets F_ζ are closed in X. Hence, by hereditary Lindelöfness of X, there exists in $M[f][G][H]$ a sequence $\mathscr{E} = \langle \varepsilon_n^\zeta \in H(\omega_2, 2) : \zeta < \omega_1 \ \&\ n < \omega\rangle$ such that

$$X \setminus F_\zeta = X \cap \bigcup\{[\varepsilon_n^\zeta] : n < \omega\} \quad \text{for all } \zeta < \omega_1.$$

Let R_α be an iteration of length α of the forcings T_β for $\beta < \alpha$ (i.e. $R_\alpha = \{g \restriction \alpha : g \in R\}$). Then $H_\alpha = R_\alpha \cap H$ is an $M[f][G]$-generic filter over R_α.

Since the forcing R is ccc and $|\mathscr{E}| \leq \omega_1$, there exists $\alpha < \omega_2$ such that $\mathscr{E} \in M[f][G][H_\alpha]$.

For $\beta < \omega_1$ put $\bar{Q}^\beta = \prod\{Q_\gamma : \beta \leq \gamma < \omega_2\}$, $G^\beta = G \cap \bar{Q}^\beta$ and $G_\beta = G \cap \prod\{Q_\gamma : \gamma < \beta\}$. We know that Q is ccc in $M[f]$ and $|R_\alpha| \leq \omega_1$; thus there exists $\beta < \omega_2$ such that $R_\alpha \in M[f][G_\beta]$.

Hence, by the product lemma,

$$M[f][G][H_\alpha] = M[f][G_\beta][G^\beta][H_\alpha] = M[f][G_\beta][H_\alpha][G^\beta],$$

i.e.

$$\mathscr{E} \in M[f][G_\beta][H_\alpha][G^\beta].$$

But in $M[f][G_\beta]$ we have $\bar{Q}^\beta \Vdash R_\alpha$ is ccc; consequently $\bar{Q}^\beta \times R_\alpha$ is ccc and $R_\alpha \Vdash \bar{Q}^\beta$ is ccc. Hence in $M[f][G_\beta][H_\alpha]$, the forcing $\bar{Q}^\beta$ is ccc and $|\mathscr{E}| \leq \omega_1$.

Therefore there exists $\gamma < \omega_2$ such that $\beta < \gamma$ and

$$\mathscr{E} \in M[f][G_\beta][H_\alpha][G^\beta_\gamma] = M[f][G_\gamma][H_\alpha]$$

where $G^\beta_\gamma = G^\beta \cap \prod\{Q_\delta : \beta \leq \delta < \gamma\}$. Moreover $\prod\{Q_\delta : \delta < \gamma\} \in M[f \restriction \omega_2 \times \gamma]$, i.e.

$$M[f][G_\gamma] = M[f \restriction \omega_2 \times \gamma][G_\gamma][f \restriction \omega_2 \times (\omega_2 \setminus \gamma)].$$

Hence there exists $\delta < \omega_2$, $\delta > \gamma$, such that

$$R_\alpha \in M[f \restriction \omega_2 \times \gamma][G_\gamma][f \restriction \omega_2 \times (\delta \setminus \gamma)] = M[f \restriction \omega_2 \times \delta][G_\gamma].$$

In particular we have

$$\mathscr{E} \in M[f \restriction \omega_2 \times \delta][G_\gamma][H_\alpha][f \restriction \omega_2 \times (\omega_2 \setminus \delta)].$$

Let $N = M[f \restriction \omega_2 \times \delta][G_\gamma][H_\alpha]$, $a \in \omega_2 \setminus \bigcup\{\mathrm{dom}(\varepsilon^\zeta_n) : \zeta < \omega_1 \ \&\ n < \omega\}$ and $f_\delta(a) = i$, and put

$$\phi \equiv \text{“}(\forall \zeta < \omega_1)(f_\delta \notin F_\zeta \text{ or } F_\zeta \not\subset [\{\langle a, i\rangle\}])\text{”}.$$

We next prove

$$N[f \restriction \omega_2 \times (\omega_2 \setminus \delta)] \models \phi. \tag{**}$$

Fix $\zeta < \omega_1$ and assume that $f_\delta \in F_\zeta$. Then there exists $s \in H(\omega_2 \times (\omega_2 \setminus \delta), 2)$ such that

$$N \models s \Vdash (f_\delta \in F_\zeta = X \setminus \bigcup\{[\varepsilon^\zeta_n] : n < \omega\}).$$

But $\langle \varepsilon^\zeta_n : n < \omega\rangle \in N$, i.e. the last statement is equivalent to

$$X \cap [\varepsilon] \subset X \setminus \bigcup\{[\varepsilon^\zeta_n] = F_\zeta,$$

where $\varepsilon = \{\langle \xi, i\rangle : \langle \xi, \delta, i\rangle \in s\}$. Hence, for $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon \restriction \bigcup\{\mathrm{dom}(\varepsilon^\zeta_n) : n < \omega\}$, $X \cap [\varepsilon'] \subset F_\zeta$. Put $\varepsilon'' = \varepsilon' \cup \{\langle a, 1 - i\rangle\}$. Then

$$\varnothing \neq [\varepsilon''] \cap X \subset [\varepsilon'] \cap X \subset F_\zeta \quad \text{and} \quad [\varepsilon''] \cap X \cap [\{\langle a, i\rangle\}] = \varnothing;$$

i.e. $F_\zeta \not\subset [\{\langle a, i\rangle\}]$. This completes the proof of (**).

To finish the proof of the theorem first observe that the sentence ϕ is absolute, hence $M[f][G][H] = \text{“}\phi\text{”}$ (i.e. $M[f][G][H] \models \mathscr{F}$ is not a network for X). This contradicts our assumption.

COROLLARY. $\mathrm{Con(ZFC)} \to \mathrm{Con(ZFC + MA + \neg CH +}$ *there exists a regular space* X *such that* $nw(X) = \mathfrak{c}$ *but* $hL(X^\omega) = hd(X^\omega) = \omega$).

PROOF. It is enough to show that the condition "$nw(Y) = \omega$ for every $Y \in [X]^{\omega_1}$" implies $hL(X^\omega) = hd(X^\omega) = \omega$. So let us assume that $nw(Y) = \omega$ for every $Y \in [X]^{\omega_1}$. Then for every $n < \omega$ and $Y \in [X]^{\omega_1}$ we have $hL(Y^n)\, hd(Y^n) \leq nw(Y^n) = \omega$. Therefore $hL(X^n) = hd(X^n) = \omega$ and hence (see for example [1, p. 51]) $hL(X^\omega) = hd(X^\omega) = \omega$.

REFERENCES

[1] A. V. ARHANGEL'SKIĬ, *The structure and the classification of topological spaces and cardinal invariants*, ***Uspehi Matematičeskih Nauk***, vol. 33 (1978), no. 6 (204), pp. 29–83 (Russian); English translation, ***Russian Mathematical Surveys***, vol. 33 (1978), no. 6, pp. 33–96.

[2] J. P. BURGESS, *Forcing*, ***Handbook of mathematical logic*** (J. Barwise, editor), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977, pp. 403–453.

[3] K. CIESIELSKI, *On the netweight of subspaces*, ***Fundamenta Mathematicae***, vol. 117 (1983), pp. 37–46.

[4] ———, *The topologies generated by graphs*, ***Proceedings of the Jadwisin conference 1981***, University of Leeds Press, Leeds, 1983, pp. 67–92.

[5] I. JUHÁSZ, ***Cardinal functions in topology—ten years later***, Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1980.

[6] K. KUNEN, ***Set theory***, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980,

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
WARSAW UNIVERSITY
WARSAW, POLAND

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
BOWLING GREEN STATE UNIVERSITY
BOWLING GREEN, OHIO 43403

The Warsaw address is the permanent one.