2^{2^ω} Nonisomorphic Short Ordered Commutative Domains whose Quotient Fields are Long

Krzysztof Ciesielski

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Volume 113, Issue 1 (Sep., 1991), 217-227.

Stable URL:
http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9939%28199109%29113%3C217%3ANSOCDW%3E2.0.CO%3B2-N

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society is published by American Mathematical Society. Please contact the publisher for further permissions regarding the use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/journals/ams.html.

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society
©1991 American Mathematical Society

JSTOR and the JSTOR logo are trademarks of JSTOR, and are Registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. For more information on JSTOR contact jstor-info@umich.edu.

©2002 JSTOR

http://www.jstor.org/
Sat Jan 5 12:03:03 2002

PROCEEDINGS OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 113, Number 1, September 1991

$2^{2^{\omega}}$ NONISOMORPHIC SHORT ORDERED COMMUTATIVE DOMAINS WHOSE QUOTIENT FIELDS ARE LONG

KRZYSZTOF CIESIELSKI

(Communicated by Andreas R. Blass)

ABSTRACT. A linearly ordered set is short if it does not contain any monotonic sequence of length ω_1, and it is long if it contains a monotonic sequence of length α for every ordinal $\alpha < (2^{\omega})^{+}$. We prove that there exists a family $\mathbf{F}$ of power $2^{2^{\omega}}$ of long ordered fields of size 2^{ω} that are pairwise nonisomorphic (as fields) and such that every field $F \in \mathbf{F}$ has $2^{2^{\omega}}$ nonisomorphic short subdomains whose field of quotients is F. The generalization of this result for higher cardinals is also discussed. This generalizes the author's result of [Ci].

0. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATION

Our set theoretic and algebraic notation is standard and follows [Je] and [La] respectively.

Ordinals are identified with the sets of their predecessors and cardinals with initial ordinals. For an ordinal α we denote by ω_{α} the αth infinite cardinal, and by $\alpha + 1$ the ordinal successor of α. For a cardinal κ we denote by 2^{κ} the cardinality of the power set $\mathbf{P}(\kappa)$ and by κ^{+} the cardinal successor of κ. Symbols $\mathbf{N}$, $\mathbf{Q}$, and $\mathbf{R}$ stand for the sets of natural, rational, and real numbers, respectively. For an ordered semigroup $G = \langle G, +, 0\rangle$ we define $G^{+} = \{g \in G : g \geq 0\}$. For a commutative domain D we also use the following notation connected with the existence of radicals

$$\operatorname{rad}(D) = \{d \in D : (\forall n \in \mathbf{N})(\exists y \in D)(y^n = d)\}.$$

Let X and Y_x be linearly ordered sets such that $\mathbf{N} \subset Y_x$ for all $x \in X$. We define the support of $f \in \prod_{x\in X} Y_x$ by $\operatorname{supp}(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0\}$ and the direct sum of the family $\{Y_x\}_{x\in X}$ by $S(X, \{Y_x\}_{x\in X}) = \{f \in \prod_{x\in X} Y_x : \operatorname{supp}(f)$ is finite$\}$. (It is usually denoted as $\sum_{x\in X} Y_x$, but in this paper such a notation would lead to very complicated formulas.) In the case when $Y_x = Y$ for all $x \in X$ we define $S(X, Y) = S(X, \{Y_x\}_{x\in X})$.

Received by the editors April 12, 1989 and, in revised form, December 3, 1990.
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 03E05; Secondary 06F25, 12J15.
Key words and phrases. Nonisomorphic, ordered commutative domains, quotient fields.

©1991 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/91 $1.00 + $.25 per page

A zero element of $S(X, \{Y_x\}_{x\in X})$ is defined as the unique element $0 \in S(X, \{Y_x\}_{x\in X})$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(0) = \emptyset$. For $x \in X$ we put $e_x \in S(X, \{Y_x\}_{x\in X})$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(e_x) = \{x\}$ and $e_x(x) = 1$. Moreover, if $0 \in X$ then every element $y \in Y_0$, $y \neq 0$, is identified with $y = f_y \in S(X, \{Y_x\}_{x\in X})$, where $\operatorname{supp}(f_y) = \{0\}$ and $f_y(0) = y$. In particular, $1 = f_1 = e_0$.

The family $S(X, \{Y_x\}_{x\in X})$ is ordered antilexicographicaly, i.e., by the formula

$$f < g \text{ if and only if } f(m) < g(m), \text{ where } m = \max\{x : f(x) \neq g(x)\}.$$

1. Main lemmas

In what follows we use the following easily verified well-known facts. (See e.g. [Ci, Lemma 1].)

Proposition 1.1. *Let $S = S(X, \{Y_x\}_{x\in X})$, where X and $Y_x \supset \mathbf{N}$, for $x \in X$, are linearly ordered sets. Then*

(1) *the linearly ordered set $\{e_x \in S : x \in X\}$ is order isomorphic with X;*

(2) *if $\langle Y_x, +\rangle$ are ordered commutative semigroups for $x \in X$ then so is S, where $(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$ for all f, $g \in S$ and $x \in X$;*

(3) *if $\langle Y_x, +\rangle$ are ordered commutative groups for $x \in X$ then so is S; moreover, if all Y_x's are divisible then so is S;*

(4) *if $\langle X, +\rangle$ is an ordered commutative semigroup, $\langle Y, +, \cdot\rangle$ is an ordered commutative domain, and $\langle Y_x, +, \cdot\rangle = \langle Y, +, \cdot\rangle$ for every $x \in X$, then S is an ordered commutative domain (sometimes denoted by $X(Y)$), where the product between p, $q \in S$ is defined by usual convolution of polynomial multiplication:*

$$(p \cdot q)(x) = \sum\{p(x_1) \cdot q(x_2) : x_1, x_2 \in X \text{ and } x_1 + x_2 = x\}.$$

We also use the following fact.

Proposition 1.2. *Let D be an ordered commutative domain such that D contains an increasing sequence of length $2^{\omega} + 1$. Then, every nontrivial interval of D is long.*

Proof. It is easy to prove by transfinite induction on $\alpha < (2^{\omega})^{+}$ that for every a, $b \in D$, $a < b$, there is an increasing sequence of type α in the interval $[a, b]$. This implies Proposition 1.2.

Let us also quote the following proposition, which is needed later. An easy proof can be found in [Ci, Lemma 5] or [Ha, p. 6].

Proposition 1.3. *If X and Y_x are short linearly ordered sets for all $x \in X$ then so is the direct sum $S(X\{Y_x\}_{x\in X})$.*

Now, let X be a linearly ordered set, let K be a field and define an ordered domain D by $D = S(S(X, \mathbf{N}), K)$. If $\mathbf{Y} = \{Y_x : x \in X\}$ is a set of different variables and

$$D' = K[\mathbf{Y}] = \bigcup\{K[Y_{x_1}, Y_{x_2}, \ldots, Y_{x_n}] : n \in \mathbf{N} \text{ and } x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in X\},$$

then D and D' are isomorphic (as rings). More precisely, an isomorphism $j: D \to D'$ is defined by

$$j(f) = \sum\{f(g) \cdot (Y_{x_1})^{g(x_1)} \cdot \cdots \cdot (Y_{x_n})^{g(x_n)} : g \in \operatorname{supp}(f) \text{ and } \operatorname{supp}(g) = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}\}.$$

From the above isomorphism and the theorem that $K[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ is a unique factorization domain (see e.g. [La]) we can easily conclude

Proposition 1.4. *Let $D = S(S(X, \mathbf{N}), K)$, where X is a linearly ordered set and K is a field. Then*

(1) *D is a unique factorization domain;*

(2) *an element $x \in D$ is invertible in D (i.e., x^{-1} exists in D) if and only if $x \in K\backslash\{0\}$.*

We also need the following lemma.

Lemma 1.5. *Let X be a linearly ordered set, K be a field, and let $D = S(S(X, \mathbf{N}), K)$. If L is the quotient field of D then $\operatorname{rad}(L) = \operatorname{rad}(K)$.*

In particular, if K is real closed then $\operatorname{rad}(L) = K^+$.

Proof. Inclusion " $\supset$ " is obvious.

To prove the converse inclusion let $x \in \operatorname{rad}(L)$ and let us assume $x \neq 0$.

By Proposition 1.4(1), every element of $L\backslash\{0\}$ can be represented as $cp_1p_2\cdots p_k/q_1q_2\cdots q_m$, where c is invertible in D, $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k, q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_m$ are irreducible elements of D, and the number $k+m$ from the above representation is the smallest possible; i.e., the elements p_i and q_j are not associated for every i and j. (Recall that $p, q \in D$ are associated if there exists an invertible element d in D such that $d \cdot p = q$.)

Let $x = cp_1p_2\cdots p_k/q_1q_2\cdots q_m$ be a representation of x as above. Choose arbitrary $n > k+m$ and let $y \in L$ be such that $y^n = x$. Moreover, also let $y = da_1a_2\cdots a_s/b_1b_2\cdots b_t$ be an irreducible representation as above. Hence, $x = y^n = d^n v_1v_2\cdots v_{ns}/w_1w_2\cdots w_{nt}$, where the elements v_i and w_j are not associated for every i and j. So, $k+m = n(s+t)$, which implies that $k = m = s = t = 0$.

In other words we proved that for an arbitrary $n > 0$ there exists an invertible element d in D such that $x = d^n$. But by Proposition 1.4(2), $d \in K$. Hence $x \in \operatorname{rad}(K)$.

2. Construction of the family of fields

Let $\mathbf{B}$ be a transcendence base of $\mathbf{R}$ over $\mathbf{Q}$ and let $\{B_\zeta : \zeta < 2^{2^\omega}\}$ be an enumeration without repetition of all subsets of $\mathbf{B}$ of cardinality 2^ω. Moreover, let $\mathbf{Q}(B_\zeta)$ stand for an algebraic closure of $\mathbf{Q} \cup B_\zeta$ in $\mathbf{R}$. For $\zeta < 2^{2^\omega}$ let $E_\zeta = S(S(2^\omega + 1, \mathbf{N}), Q(B_\zeta))$, an ordered commutative domain. Define K_ζ as the quotient field of E_ζ, and let F_ζ be the quotient field of $D_\zeta = S(S(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{N}), K_\zeta)$.

We define $\mathbf{F} = \{F_\zeta : \zeta < 2^{2^\omega}\}$.

Proposition 2.1. *The field* F_ζ *is long for every* $\zeta < 2^{2^\omega}$.

Proof. Let us fix $\zeta < 2^{2^\omega}$. Applying Proposition 1.1(1) twice for pair $2^\omega + 1$ and $S(2^\omega + 1, \mathbf{N})$ and for the pair $S(2^\omega + 1, \mathbf{N})$ and E_ζ, we conclude that E_ζ has an increasing subsequence of length $2^\omega + 1$. So by Proposition 1.2, E_ζ is long. But $E_\zeta \subset K_\zeta \subset F_\zeta$. Thus, every F_ζ is long.

Proposition 2.2. *The fields* F_ζ *and* F_ξ *are nonisomorphic for every* $\zeta < \xi < 2^{2^\omega}$.

Proof. Let us assume by way of contradiction that there exists a field isomorphism $j: F_\zeta \to F_\xi$ for some $\zeta < \xi < 2^{2^\omega}$. Thus by Lemma 1.5,

$$\begin{aligned} j(\mathbf{Q}(B_\zeta)^+) &= j(\mathrm{rad}(\mathbf{Q}(B_\zeta))) = j(\mathrm{rad}(K_\zeta)) = j(\mathrm{rad}(F_\zeta)) = \mathrm{rad}(j(F_\zeta)) \\ &= \mathrm{rad}(F_\xi) = \mathrm{rad}(K_\xi) = \mathrm{rad}(\mathbf{Q}(B_\xi)) = \mathbf{Q}(B_\xi)^+. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $j(\mathbf{Q}(B_\zeta)) = \mathbf{Q}(B_\xi)$, which is a contradiction since $\mathbf{Q}(B_\zeta)$ and $\mathbf{Q}(B_\xi)$ are different real closed subfields of $\mathbf{R}$ and hence are not isomorphic. Thus F_ζ and F_ξ are nonisomorphic.

Let us also notice that in the Proposition 2.2 we can talk about fields isomorphisms rather that about ordered fields isomorphisms, since all fields $\mathbf{Q}(B_\zeta)$ are real closed and therefore, their ordered structure can be recovered from their algebraic structure.

3. Construction of the family of short commutative domains

Let us fix $\zeta < 2^{2^\omega}$. We construct 2^{2^ω} nonisomorphic short subdomains $\{P_\eta : \eta < 2^{2^\omega}\}$ of F_ζ such that F_ζ is a quotient field of each P_η.

Let $\{C_\eta : \eta < 2^{2^\omega}\}$ be an enumeration, without repetition, of all subsets of B_ζ, and let i be a bijection between $\mathbf{R}$ and E_ζ. Moreover, for $\eta < 2^{2^\omega}$ and a finite set $A \subset \mathbf{R}$ let $E_{\eta, A}$ be a subdomain of E_ζ generated by $\mathbf{Q}(C_\eta) \subset E_\zeta$ and the set $\{i(r) : r \in A\} \subset E_\zeta$. In particular, $E_{\eta, \varnothing} = \mathbf{Q}(C_\eta)$. Let $G = S(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{N})$, and let us define

$$P_\eta = S(G, \{E_{\eta, \mathrm{supp}(g)}\}_{g \in G}) \subset D_\zeta.$$

It is not difficult to prove that P_η is closed under multiplication. In fact, this easily follows from the fact that $\mathrm{supp}(g) \cup \mathrm{supp}(h) = \mathrm{supp}(g + h)$ for all $g, h \in G$. For more details see [Ci, Lemma 3]. Thus, P_η is a commutative domain for each $\eta < 2^{2^\omega}$.

Proposition 3.1. P_η *is short for each* $\eta < 2^{2^\omega}$.

Proof. $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{N}$ are short so, by Proposition 1.3, $G = S(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{N})$ is also short. Hence again by Proposition 1.3, it is enough to prove that $E_{\eta, \mathrm{supp}(g)}$ is short for every $g \in G$. But let $S = \bigcup\{\mathrm{supp}(i(r)) : r \in \mathrm{supp}(g)\} \subset S(2^\omega + 1, \mathbf{N})$. Let H be a subgroup of $S(2^\omega + 1, \mathbf{N})$ generated by S. Thus, H is at most countable,

hence is short. This implies that $S(H, \mathbf{Q}(B_\zeta))$ is short. But $S(H, \mathbf{Q}(B_\zeta))$ is evidently isomorphic to the subdomain

$$D' = \{h \in S(S(2^\omega + 1, \mathbf{N}), \mathbf{Q}(B_\zeta)) : \operatorname{supp}(h) \subset H\} \subset E_\zeta$$

and $E_{\eta, \operatorname{supp}(g)} \subset D'$. Hence $E_{\eta, \operatorname{supp}(g)}$ is short for every $g \in G$ and hence so is P_η.

Proposition 3.2. *The quotient field of P_η is equal to F_ζ for every $\eta < 2^{2^\omega}$.*

Proof. Let us fix $\eta < 2^{2^\omega}$, and let F be the quotient field of P_η in F_ζ. It is enough to prove that $D_\zeta = S(G, K_\zeta) \subset F$.

First notice that for every $y \in E_\zeta$ there exists $r \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $i(r) = y$. This implies that $y \cdot e_r \in P_\eta$ and so $y = y \cdot e_r / e_r \in F$. Thus we have proved that $E_\zeta \subset F$. So K_ζ as the quotient field of E_ζ is also included in F.

This implies that for every $x \in K_\zeta$ and $g \in G$ an element $x \cdot e_g \in F$. But obviously $D_\zeta = S(G, K_\zeta)$ is generated by $\{x \cdot e_g : x \in K_\zeta \text{ and } g \in G\}$, i.e., $D_\zeta \subset F$. This finishes the proof of Proposition 3.2.

As a last step to prove our main theorem we have to prove

Proposition 3.3. *P_ξ and P_η are nonisomorphic for every $\xi < \eta < 2^{2^\omega}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.2 we have for every $\eta < 2^{2^\omega}$,

$$\begin{aligned} Q(C_\eta)^+ &= \operatorname{rad}(\mathbf{Q}(C_\eta)) \subset \operatorname{rad}(P_\eta) \subset \operatorname{rad}(F_\eta) \cap P_\eta \\ &= \mathbf{Q}(B_\zeta)^+ \cap P_\eta = \mathbf{Q}(B_\zeta)^+ \cap \mathbf{Q}(C_\eta) = \mathbf{Q}(C_\eta)^+. \end{aligned}$$

In other words

$$\mathbf{Q}(C_\eta)^+ = \operatorname{rad}(P_\eta) \quad \text{for every } \eta < 2^{2^\omega}.$$

By way of contradiction let us assume that there exists a field isomorphism $j: P_\xi \to P_\eta$ for some $\xi < \eta < 2^{2^\omega}$. Thus by the above remark,

$$j(\mathbf{Q}(C_\xi)^+) = j(\operatorname{rad}(P_\xi)) = \operatorname{rad}(P_\eta) = \mathbf{Q}(C_\eta)^+.$$

Hence $j(\mathbf{Q}(C_\xi)) = \mathbf{Q}(C_\eta)$, which is impossible since $\mathbf{Q}(C_\xi)$ and $\mathbf{Q}(C_\eta)$ are real closed subfields of $\mathbf{R}$ and are different as $C_\xi \neq C_\eta$. Thus P_ξ and P_η are nonisomorphic.

This finishes the proof of our main theorem.

Theorem 1. *There exists a family $\mathbf{F}$ of power 2^{2^ω} of long ordered fields of size 2^ω that are pairwise nonisomorphic and such that every field F from $\mathbf{F}$ has 2^{2^ω} nonisomorphic short subdomains whose field of quotients is F.*

4. Generalizations for higher cardinals

Let κ be an infinite cardinal. We say that a linearly ordered set is κ-short if it does not contain any monotonic sequence of length κ^+, and it is κ-long if it contains a monotone sequence of length α for every ordinal $\alpha < (2^\kappa)^+$.

The following theorem is a generalization of Theorem 1 for arbitrary κ replacing ω.

Theorem 2. *Let κ be an infinite cardinal. Then there exists a family $\mathbf{F}$ of power 2^{2^κ} of κ-long ordered fields of size 2^κ that are pairwise nonisomorphic and such that every field $F \in \mathbf{F}$ has 2^{2^κ} nonisomorphic κ-short subdomains whose field of quotients is F.*

The proof of the Theorem 2 is based on the same concept as that of Theorem 1. However, it also needs some new ideas and thus we sketch its proof.

First we need the following propositions:

Propositions 4.1. *There exists a family $\mathbf{X}$ of 2^{2^κ} nonisomorphic linear orderings of cardinality 2^κ each containing an increasing sequence of length $2^\kappa + 1$.*

Proof. Let $P = (2^\kappa + 1) \times [0, 1]$ be ordered lexicographically. For every $A \subset 2^\kappa$ let $X_A = \{(\xi, r) \in P : (\xi \in A \cup \{2^\kappa\}) \Rightarrow (r = 1)\} \subset P$. It is not difficult to see that $\mathbf{X} = \{X_A : A \subset 2^\kappa\}$ satisfies our requirements.

For linearly ordered sets X and Y let $X \oplus Y$ be the linearly ordered set $X \cup Y$ such that the orderings on X and Y are preserved and $x < y$ for every $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Let us also notice that the family $\mathbf{X}$ from Proposition 4.1 has the following stronger property:

Proposition 4.2. *Let Y_1 and Y_2 be linearly ordered sets, and let X_1, $X_2 \in \mathbf{X}$ where $\mathbf{X}$ satisfies the conclusion of Proposition 4.1. If $j: X_1 \oplus Y_1 \to X_2 \oplus Y_2$ is an order isomorphism then $X_1 = X_2$ and $j(Y_1) = Y_2$.*

We also need the following theorem of Todorcevic [To], which is an answer to a problem asked in an earlier version of this paper.

Theorem 3. *For every infinite cardinal κ there exists a κ-short linearly ordered set Y of size 2^κ with 2^{2^κ} nonisomorphic rigid linear suborderings of power 2^κ.*

For the sake of completeness and the fact that [To] may not be widely available, we reproduce the proof of Theorem 3 in §5 of this paper.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let us fix an infinite cardinal, let Y be a κ-short linearly ordered set of cardinality 2^κ satisfying Theorem 3, and let $\mathbf{X}$ be from Proposition 4.1.

For $X \in \mathbf{X}$ let us define $G(X) = S(X \oplus Y, \mathbf{Q})$ and let

$$K_X = \{f \in \mathbf{R}^{G(X)} : \operatorname{supp}(f) \text{ is decreasingly well ordered in type } < \omega_1\}.$$

Lemma 4.3. *K_X is a real closed κ-long ordered field of cardinality 2^κ for every $X \in \mathbf{X}$.*

Proof. Let us fix $X \in \mathbf{X}$. By Proposition 1.1(3) $G(X)$ is a divisible group. Thus K_X is a real closed field (see [A1, Corollary 3.2]). By the choice of X it also contains increasing sequence of length $2^\kappa + 1$, and the same argument as for Proposition 1.2 shows that K_X is κ-long. The cardinality of K_X is obvious.

Lemma 4.4. *The fields* K_{X_1} *and* K_{X_2} *are nonisomorphic for different* $X_1, X_2 \in \mathbf{X}$.

Proof. Let us assume that there exists a field isomorphism $j: K_{X_1} \to K_{X_2}$ for some $X_1, X_2 \in \mathbf{X}$. We prove that this implies $X_1 = X_2$.

We start with the following:

Claim. There exists an ordered group isomorphism $i: S(X_1 \oplus Y, \mathbf{Q}) \to S(X_2 \oplus Y, \mathbf{Q})$.

Proof of Claim. By definition $G(X_k) = S(X_k \oplus Y, \mathbf{Q})$. Thus we have to find an ordered group isomorphism $i: G(X_1) \to G(X_2)$.

Let $\sim$ be the equivalence relation defined by $a \sim b$ if and only if there exist natural numbers n and m such that $a < n \cdot b$ and $b < m \cdot a$, with the equivalence class of a denoted by $[a]$. Then, $K_{X_k}/_{\sim} = \{[a] : a \in (K_{X_k})^+, a > 0\}$ is an ordered group.

Next, notice that j preserves the orderings of K_{X_1} and K_{X_2} as these fields are real closed. Moreover, $G(X_k)$ is isomorphic, as an ordered group, with the group $K_{X_k}/_{\sim}$. But an isomorphism between K_{X_1} and K_{X_2} induces an isomorphism between $K_{X_1}/_{\sim}$ and $K_{X_2}/_{\sim}$. This finishes the proof of the claim.

Since $i: S(X_1 \oplus Y, \mathbf{Q}) \to S(X_2 \oplus Y, \mathbf{Q})$ is an ordered group isomorphism, we can repeat the proof the claim to show the existence of an order isomorphism between $S(X_k \oplus Y, \mathbf{Q})/_{\sim}$ and $X_k \oplus Y$ for $k = 1, 2$ and between $S(X_1 \oplus Y, \mathbf{Q})/_{\sim}$ and $S(X_2 \oplus Y, \mathbf{Q})/_{\sim}$ where $\sim$ is defined similarly as in the claim. This gives us an order isomorphism between $X_1 \oplus Y$ and $X_2 \oplus Y$. But by Proposition 4.2, this implies that $X_1 = X_2$. This finishes the proof of Lemma 4.4.

Now for $X \in \mathbf{X}$ let F_X be a quotient field of $S(S(Y, \mathbf{N}), K_X)$ and let us define

$$\mathbf{F} = \{F_X : X \in \mathbf{X}\}.$$

Evidently $F_X \supset K_X$ is κ-long. Also, if F_{X_1} and F_{X_2} are isomorphic for some $X_1, X_2 \in \mathbf{X}$ then, by Lemmas 1.5 and 4.3, K_{X_1} and K_{X_2} are isomorphic as well. But by Lemma 4.4, this implies that $X_1 = X_2$. In other words, different fields from $\mathbf{F}$ are nonisomorphic.

Now let us fix $X \in \mathbf{X}$ and put $F = F_X$. To finish the proof of Theorem 2 we have to find $2^{2^{\kappa}}$ nonisomorphic κ-short subdomains of F for which a field of quotient is F.

Let $\mathbf{Z}$ be a family of $2^{2^{\kappa}}$ nonisomorphic subsets of Y of cardinality 2^{κ}. For $Z \in \mathbf{Z}$ let

$$L_Z = \{f \in \mathbf{R}^{S(Z, \mathbf{Q})} : \operatorname{supp}(f) \text{ is decreasingly well ordered in type } < \omega_1\}.$$

As in Lemma 4.3 we conclude that L_Z is a real closed field of cardinality 2^{κ}. Notice also that if $T = \{f \in L_Z : \operatorname{supp}(f) \text{ has at most one element}\}$ then L_Z

can be identified with decreasing sequences from $T^{<\omega_1}$ where $T^{<\omega_1}$ is ordered lexicographically. Thus, similarly as in Lemma 4.5, we can show that L_Z is κ-short. Moreover, up to isomorphism, $L_Z \subset K_X$ as $S(Z, \mathbf{Q})$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $S(X \oplus Y, \mathbf{Q})$.

Let i be a bijection between Y and F, and for a finite set $A \subset Y$ let E_A be the subdomain of F generated by $L_Z \subset F$ and the set $\{i(r) : r \in A\} \subset F$. In particular $E_\emptyset = L_Z$. Let us define

$$S_Z = S(S(Y, \mathbf{N}), \{E_{\mathrm{supp}(g)}\}_{g \in S(Y, \mathbf{N})}) \subset F.$$

As Proposition 1.3 is true also for κ-short linear orderings we easily conclude that $S(Y, \mathbf{N})$ is κ-short. Also, as $E_{\mathrm{supp}(g)}$ is generated by κ-short D_Z and finite numbers of elements, it is not difficult to prove that $E_{\mathrm{supp}(g)}$ is κ-short for every $g \in S(Y, N)$. Hence S_Z is κ-short.

The proofs that S_Z is a subdomain of F and that the quotient field of S_Z is F are similar to that presented in §3 for $\kappa = \omega$ and are left to the reader.

Finally, let S_{Z_1} and S_{Z_2} be isomorphic for some $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then, as in Proposition 3.3, we can prove that L_{Z_1} and L_{Z_2} are isomorphic. But now, repeating the argument from Lemma 4.4, we conclude that Z_1 and Z_2 are isomorphic, so $Z_1 = Z_2$.

This finishes the proof of the Theorem 2.

5. Proof of the Theorem 3

The purpose of this section is to reproduce the general construction of [To] from which Theorem 3 follows. Familarity with [Je, §1.7] or [Ku, §2.6] is assumed.

Fix a regular uncountable cardinal θ that is not inaccessible, and let $\lambda < \theta$ be the minimal cardinal with the property $2^\lambda \geq \theta$. Let $\lim(\omega)$ be the class of all ordinals of cofinality ω. For each δ in $\lim(\omega) \cap \theta$ fix a strictly increasing function $x_\delta : \omega \to \delta$ cofinal in δ. Let $\ll$ be a linear ordering of θ such that $(\theta, \ll)$ is isomorphic to a subset of $(\{0, 1\}^\lambda, \leq_{\mathrm{lex}})$ where $\leq_{\mathrm{lex}}$ stands for the lexicographical order. As in Proposition 1.3 we argue that $(\theta, \ll)$ is λ-short.

Now for each $S \subset \lim(\omega) \cap \theta$ we define

$$L(S) = \{x_\delta : \delta \in S\}$$

ordered lexicographically with respect to $\ll$, i.e.,

$$x_\delta < x_\gamma \text{ if and only if } x_\delta(n) \ll x_\gamma(n), \text{ where } n = \min\{m : x_\delta(m) \neq x_\gamma(m)\}.$$

It is easy to see that

Proposition 5.1. *$L(S)$ is λ-short for every $S \subset \lim(\omega) \cap \theta$.*

We also need the following propositions:

Proposition 5.2. *If $S \subset \lim(\omega) \cap \theta$ is stationary then there is $r(S) \subset S$ with $S \backslash r(S)$ being nonstationary such that every nontrivial interval of $L(r(S))$ contains x_δ for a stationary set of δ's in $r(S)$.*

Proof. Let S_0 be the set of those $\delta \in S$ such that for some natural number n the set $\{\gamma \in S : x_{\delta|n} \subset x_\gamma\}$ is nonstationary. First let us show that S_0 is nonstationary.

So by way of contradiction, let us assume that S_0 is stationary. Thus, there exists a stationary set $T \subset S$ such that the number n from the above definition is the same for every $\delta \in T$. Now using the Pressing-Down Lemma n-times (see [Ku, Lemma 6.15, p. 80]) for the regressive functions $f_i(x_\delta) = x_\delta(i)$ we can find a stationary set $T' \subset T$ such that $x_{\delta|n} = x_{\gamma|n}$ for every $\delta, \gamma \in T'$. But this implies that for $\delta \in T' \subset S_0$ the set $\{\gamma \in S : x_{\delta|n} \subset x_\gamma\}$ contains T', i.e., is stationary. This gives the desired contradiction.

Now for $\delta, \gamma \in S \backslash S_0$ let $I(\delta, \gamma)$ denote the set of those $\alpha \in S \backslash S_0$ such that x_α belongs to the open interval in $L(S \backslash S_0)$ with endpoints x_δ and x_γ. Notice that if $I(\delta, \gamma)$ is nonstationary for some $\delta, \gamma \in S \backslash S_0$ then $I(\delta, \gamma)$ is empty. Otherwise, if $x_\alpha \in I(\delta, \gamma)$ then for some natural number n the set $\{\gamma \in S \backslash S_0 : x_{\alpha|n} \subset x_\gamma\}$ is a subset of the interval with endpoints x_δ and x_γ, i.e., $x_\alpha \in S_0$.

Let S_1 be the set of those $\gamma \in S \backslash S_0$ such that for some $\delta < \gamma$, $\delta \in S \backslash S_0$, the set $\{\alpha : x_\alpha \in I(\delta, \gamma)\}$ is nonstationary. If S_1 is nonstationary then $r(S) = S \backslash (S_0 \cup S_1)$ satisfies the required properties. So by way of contradiction, let us assume that S_1 is stationary, and let f be the regressive function f on S_1 defined by $f(\gamma) = \delta$ where $\delta < \gamma$ is such that $I(\delta, \gamma)$ is empty. By the Pressing-Down Lemma there exists a stationary set $T \subset S_1$ such that f is constant on T. But this is impossible because at most two of the intervals $I(f(\gamma), \gamma)$ for $\gamma \in T$ would be empty. This finishes the proof of Proposition 5.2.

Proposition 5.3. *If $S \subset \lim(\omega) \cap \theta$ is stationary then $L(S)$ is not isomorphic to a subset of $(\{0, 1\}^\lambda, \leq_{\text{lex}})$.*

Proof. By the minimality of $\lambda < \theta$ and the fact that θ is regular, $2^{<\lambda} < \lambda$. Thus, $(\{0, 1\}^\lambda, \leq_{\text{lex}})$ does not contain λ disjoint intervals. On the other hand the set $\{x_{\delta|n} : \delta \in S \text{ and } n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ has cardinality $\theta \geq \lambda$ and, by Proposition 5.2, for a stationary set of δ's the set $\{x_\gamma : x_{\delta|n} \subset x_\gamma\}$ contains a nonempty interval. Thus, $L(S)$ contains λ disjoint nonempty intervals.

Proposition 5.4. *If there is an order embedding of $L(S_0)$ into $L(S_1)$ then $S_0 \backslash S_1$ is nonstationary in θ.*

Proof. Assume that $S = S_0 \backslash S_1$ is stationary and fix strictly increasing $f: L(S_0) \to L(S_1)$. Since f is one-to-one, the Pressing-Down Lemma implies that the

set of those δ in S for which $f(x_\delta) = x_\gamma$ and $\delta \geq \gamma$ (so $\delta > \gamma$, since $\delta \neq \gamma$) is nonstationary.

So we may find a stationary set $S' \subset S$ such that for every δ in S' if $f(x_\delta) = x_\gamma$ then $\delta < \gamma$. Moreover, we can also assume, choosing a subset of S', if necessary, that for some natural number n if $f(x_\delta) = x_\gamma$ then $x_\gamma(n-1) < \delta \leq x_\gamma(n)$. Furthermore, applying the Pressing-Down Lemma n-times, we can find a stationary set $S'' \subset S'$ and a function $t: n \to \theta$ such that $t \subset x_\gamma$ for every γ such that $\delta \in S''$ and $f(x_\delta) = x_\gamma$. Moreover, we may assume that if $\delta < \delta'$ are in S'' and if $f(x_\delta) = x_\gamma$ then $\delta \leq x_\gamma(n) < \gamma < \delta'$. But this means that the lexicographical ordering of $f(L(S''))$ is determined by the n th coordinate and therefore, is isomorphic with the subset of $(\{0, 1\}^\lambda, \leq_{\text{lex}})$, which contradicts Proposition 5.3.

As an immediate corollary from Propositions 5.3 and 5.4 we obtain the following conclusion.

Proposition 5.5. *Let $S \subset \lim(\omega) \cap \theta$ be stationary in θ. If $r(S) \subset S$ is as in Proposition 5.2 then $L(r(S))$ is rigid.*

Now notice that there exist a family $\mathbf{S}_0$ of size 2^θ of stationary subsets of $\lim(\omega) \cap \theta$ such that symmetric difference $S_0 \Delta S_1$ is stationary for all distinct S_0, $S_1 \in \mathbf{S}_0$. This follows from the fact that $\lim(\omega) \cap \theta$ is the union of θ disjoint stationary sets (see [Je, Lemma 7.6, p. 59]). Put $Y_\theta = L(\lim(\omega) \cap \theta)$ and let $\mathbf{S}_\theta = \{r(S) : S \in \mathbf{S}_0\}$.

If 2^κ is regular then put $\theta = 2^\kappa$ and $Y = Y_\theta$ satisfies the requirements of Theorem 3 as $\{L(S) : S \in \mathbf{S}_\theta\}$ is a family of rigid pairwise nonisomorphic subsets of Y.

Suppose 2^κ is singular with cofinality θ, and fix a sequence θ_ξ $(\xi < \theta)$ of successor cardinals increasingly converging to 2^κ such that $\theta_0 > \theta$. For each ξ fix a family $\mathbf{S}_{\theta_\xi}$ as above and let $K = \{k_\xi : \xi < \theta\}$ be a suborder of $(\{0, 1\}^\kappa, \leq_{\text{lex}})$. Let Y be an ordering obtained by inserting $L(\lim(\omega) \cap \theta_\xi)$ in place of k_ξ $(\xi < \theta)$ in K. It is not difficult to see that Y is κ-short and has cardinality 2^κ. Moreover, if for each $\xi < \theta$ we choose $S_\xi \in \mathbf{S}_{\theta_\xi}$, and taking only $L(S_\xi)$ in place of k_ξ, we get (compare Proposition 5.5) a rigid linear subordering of Y. Since there are 2^{2^κ} different choices of S_ξ's, and different choices give nonisomorphic orders (compare Proposition 5.4), Theorem 3 is proved.

References

[A1] N. Alling, *On the existence of real-closed fields that are η_α-sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1962), 341–352.

[Ci] K. Ciesielski, *Short ordered commutative domain whose quotient field is not short*, Algebra Universalis **23** (1987), 1–6.

[Ha] F. Hausdorff, *Grundzüge der Mengenlehre*, Verlag von Veit, Leipzig, 1914. (German)

[Je] T. Jech, *Set theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.

[Ku] K. Kunen, *Set theory*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.

[La] S. Lang, *Algebra*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1984.

[To] S. Todorcevic, *A class of linearly ordered sets*, handwritten notes, January 1989.

Department of Mathematics, West Virginia University, Morgantown, West Virginia 26506