Advanced Analysis of Algorithms - Homework III

K. Subramani LCSEE, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV {ksmani@csee.wvu.edu}

1 Instructions

- 1. The homework is due on November 5, in class.
- 2. Each question is worth 4 points.
- 3. Attempt as many problems as you can. You will be given partial credit, as per the policy discussed in class.
- 4. The work must be entirely your own. You are expressly **prohibited** from consulting with colleagues or the internet (with the exception of the material on the course website, your class notes and [NN09]).

2 Problems

- 1. Let $\mathbf{A}[1 \cdots n]$ denote an unsorted array of *n* distinct numbers. An inversion pair of \mathbf{A} , is a pair of indices (i, j), such that i < j and A[i] > A[j]. Devise a divide-and-conquer algorithm to compute the number of inversion pairs in \mathbf{A} .
- 2. In class, we repeatedly exploited the fact that all edge costs were non-negative in arguing the correctness of the Floyd-Warshall algorithm for the shortest paths problem. Now assume that the edge costs can be negative.
 - (a) Identify the conditions under which the optimal substructure property does not hold.
 - (b) Devise a dynamic programming based algorithm for the All-Pairs shortest path problem in the presence of negative weights. Your algorithm should either produce the All-Pairs shortest paths or indicate that the input instance does not have the optimal substructure property.
- 3. (a) Compute the product of the two matrices below, using Strassen's matrix multiplication algorithm.

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 3\\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2\\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(b) Compute the optimal parenthesization of the following matrix chain: $\langle A_{10\times 15} \cdot B_{15\times 9} \cdot C_{9\times 7} \cdot D_{7\times 10} \rangle$.

In both problems, you are required to show all the intermediate steps (and tables, if necessary).

- 4. Suppose that we are given a directed acyclic graph G = (V, E) with real-valued edge weights and two distinguished vertices s and t. Describe a dynamic programming approach for finding a longest weighted simple path from s to t. Establish the correctness of your algorithm and give an asymptotic bound on its running time.
- 5. (a) In class, we discussed the notion of binary search trees. Argue that the number of distinct binary search trees on n nodes is $\frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$.

(b) In the Optimal Binary Search Tree (OPST) problem, we are required to organize n keys, $key_1 \le key_2 \le \dots key_n$, in a binary search tree so that the expected search time is minimized. Consider the dynamic program algorithm developed in class for the OPST problem.

Let A[i, j] denote the expected search time of the optimal binary search tree on keys key_i through key_j . As discussed in class,

$$A[i,j] = \min_{i \le k \le j} A[i,k] + A[k+1,j] + \sum_{m=i}^{j} p_{m}, \text{ if } i < j$$

$$A[i,i] = p_{i}$$

$$A[i,i-1] = 0$$

$$A[j,j+1] = 0$$
(1)

We can record the actual value of k that created the optimal split in System (1), by using an auxiliary array. Define root[i, j] to be value of k that minimizes A[i, j]. Argue that there are always roots of optimal subtrees such that

$$root[i, j-1] \leq root[i, j] \leq root[i+1, j], \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$$

Use the above proof to design an algorithm for the OPST problem that runs in $O(n^2)$ time. Note that the algorithm discussed in class runs in $\Theta(n^4)$ time.

References

[NN09] Richard Neapolitan and Kumarss Naimipour. *Foundations of Algorithms Using C++ Pseudocode*. Jones and Bartlett, 2009.