

Instructor: K. Subramani

## WVU STUDENT EVALUATION OF INSTRUCTION: REPORT OF RESULTS

Fall 2002

CRN: 80994 01000

Email: ksmari@csee.wvu.edu

COURSE: CS 320

STUDENTS RESPONDING: 14

COURSE IS?	CLASS STATUS?	MISS CLASS?	LEARN?	GRADE?	COMPARED TO OTHER COURSE?
LIBERAL REQ.: 0	FR: 0	3 OR LESS: 10	VERY LITTLE: 0	A: 7	MUCH MORE: 2
MAJOR: 11	SO: 0	4 TO 6: 3	SOME: 0	B: 5	SOMEWHAT MORE: 7
MAJOR ELECTIVE: 3	JR: 3	MORE THAN 6: 1	MORE THAN AVG: 10	C: 2	ABOUT THE SAME: 4
GENERAL ELECTIVE: 0	SR: 10	OMITS: 0	QUITE A LOT: 4	D: 0	LESS THAN: 1
OMITS: 0	GR: 1		OMITS: 0	F: 0	OMITS: 0
	OMITS: 0			OMITS: 0	

	RESPONSE FREQUENCIES							CLASS		Norm (%)	
	NA	Rarely	Seldom	Usuly	Freq	Always	Omit	MEAN	STD	Unv	Col
1. The instructor was prepared and organized for each class session	0	0	0	2	2	10	0	4.57	0.76	43	55
2. Tests and/or assignments were fair:	0	0	1	1	4	8	0	4.36	0.93	49	59
3. Grading was fair:	0	0	1	1	5	7	0	4.29	0.91	38	47
4. The instructor provided useful feedback regarding performance	1	0	2	1	4	6	0	4.08	1.12	35	48
5. The instructor returned tests/assignments in a timely manner:	0	0	0	3	2	9	0	4.43	0.85	44	61
6. The instructor was available outside of class, during office hours	0	0	0	2	3	9	0	4.50	0.76	49	68
7. The instructor showed mastery of the subject matter.	0	0	0	0	4	10	0	4.71	0.47	55	69
8. The instructor shows enthusiasm when teaching.	0	0	1	2	3	8	0	4.29	0.99	31	45
9. The course material challenges students intellectually.	0	0	0	1	0	13	0	4.86	0.53	93	94
10. The instructor was concerned with whether or not the students learned the material.	1	0	0	1	5	6	1	4.42	0.67	48	62
11. The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	0	0	1	5	4	4	0	3.79	0.97	27	33
12. The instructor's lectures broadened my knowledge of the area beyond the information present	0	0	0	2	4	8	0	4.43	0.76	58	63
13. The instructor's explanations were clear.	0	0	2	3	3	6	0	3.93	1.14	33	51
14. The instructor stimulated class discussion.	1	0	0	3	6	3	1	4.00	0.74	22	47
15. Course material is well presented.	0	0	0	5	2	7	0	4.14	0.95	40	41
16. Overall this instructor's teaching is excellent.	0	0	2	1	3	8	0	4.21	1.12	27	16
17.	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	NA	NA		

	RESPONSE FREQUENCIES							CLASS		Norm (%)	
	NA	Poor	Fair	Sats	Good	Exc	Omit	MEAN	STD	Unv	Col
18. The clarity of the syllabus in describing the course content was:	0	0	0	1	4	9	0	4.57	0.65	76	87
19. The instructor's adherence to the syllabus was:	0	0	0	0	5	9	0	4.64	0.50	78	88
20. The clarity the instructor's speech was:	0	0	0	2	7	5	0	4.21	0.70	25	40
21. The instructor's clarity and completeness in answering question was:	0	0	0	5	5	4	0	3.93	0.83	21	34
22. The instructor teaching effectiveness was:	0	0	0	2	6	5	1	4.23	0.73	43	55
23. Considering the course objectives, my overall rating of the course is:	0	0	1	1	7	5	0	4.14	0.86	42	53
24. Overall, my learning in this course was:	0	0	1	1	8	4	0	4.07	0.83	43	53

SEE THE SEI INTERPRETIVE GUIDE FOR ASSISTANCE IN REVIEWING YOUR RESULTS.