Analysis of Algorithms - Homework I (Solutions)

K. Subramani LCSEE, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV {ksmani@csee.wvu.edu}

1 Problems

1. Write a recursive algorithm to check whether an integer x, exists in an array A of n integers.

Solution: Algorithm 1.1 searches for an integer x in array A[n]. It returns true, if $x \in A$ and false otherwise.

```
Function ARRAY-SEARCH(\mathbf{A}, x, n)
 1: if (n = 1) then
      if (A[1] = x) then
 2:
         return(true)
 3:
 4:
      else
         return(false)
 5:
      end if
 6:
 7: else
      if (A[n] = x) then
 8:
         return(true)
 9:
      else
10:
         ARRAY-SEARCH(\mathbf{A}, x, n-1)
11:
12:
      end if
13: end if
```

Algorithm 1.1: Array Search

2. Argue the correctness of your algorithm using induction.

Solution: Let P(n) denote the proposition that Algorithm 1.1 correctly searches for x in an array of size n.

Base case (n = 1): Observe that when n = 1, only lines 1 through 5 of Algorithm 1.1 are executed. If $x \in \mathbf{A}$, then the conditional in the **if** statement of line 2 is satisfied and hence **true** is returned. Likewise, if $x \notin \mathbf{A}$, the conditional is falsified and hence line 5 of Algorithm 1.1 is executed, i.e., **false** is returned by Algorithm 1.1. We have thus established that when there is only one element in array \mathbf{A} , Algorithm 1.1 functions correctly.

Inductive Hypothesis: Assume that P(k) is **true**, i.e., assume that when Algorithm 1.1 is presented with an integer x and an array **A** of exactly k elements, then it returns **true** when $x \in \mathbf{A}$ and **false** otherwise.

Now consider the case in which Algorithm 1.1 is presented with an array of size k + 1 and asked to search for the presence of an integer x. We consider the following two cases:

(i) x = A[k+1] - In this case, line 9 of Algorithm 1.1 is executed. Since $x \in \mathbf{A}$, the algorithm functions correctly.

(ii) $x \neq A[k+1]$ - In this case, Algorithm 1.1 recurses over the first k elements of **A**. From the inductive hypothesis, we know that Algorithm 1.1 functions correctly, when **A** has exactly k elements, i.e., if $x \in \mathbf{A}$, then **true** is returned and if $x \notin \mathbf{A}$, then **false** is returned.

We thus see that if Algorithm 1.1 functions correctly on arrays of size k, then it also functions correctly on arrays of size k + 1; using the first principle of mathematical induction, we conclude that Algorithm 1.1 functions correctly for all $n \ge 1$.

3. Provide upper and lower bounds on $S = \sum_{i=1}^{n} i \cdot \log i$.

Solution: We use the integration bounds discussed in class. Observe that $i \cdot \log i$ is an increasing function of *i*. Hence, we must have,

$$S \le \int_{i=1}^{n+1} x \log x \, dx$$

Observe that

$$\int x \log x \, dx = \log x \int x - \int \left[\frac{d}{dx}(\log x) \cdot \int x \, dx\right] \, dx$$
$$= \frac{x^2 \log x}{2} - \int \frac{x}{2} \, dx$$
$$= \frac{x^2 \log x}{2} - \frac{x^2}{4}$$

Thus, $S \leq \left[\frac{(n+1)^2\log(n+1)}{2} - \frac{(n+1)^2}{4} - \frac{1}{4}\right]$.

For the lower bound, observe that $S = 1 \log 1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n} i \cdot \log i$. Applying the lower bound from calculus, we conclude that

$$S \ge \int_{1}^{n} x \cdot \log x \, dx$$

= $\frac{n^{2} \log n}{2} - \frac{n^{2}}{4} - \frac{1}{4}.$

4. Let T denote a proper binary tree with n nodes having height h. Formally establish that $h \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$.

We use induction on the number of nodes n in the tree T.

Base case n = 1: In this case, the only node in T and therefore the height h of T is zero. Since $h \le \frac{1-1}{2}$, the base case is proven.

Inductive hypothesis: Assume that whenever the number of nodes in a proper binary tree is at most k, the height of the tree is at most $\frac{k-1}{2}$. Now consider a proper binary tree having (k + 1) nodes; since T is proper, we know that:

- (i) There are at least two leaves at level h.
- (ii) We can group the leaves at level h into pairs, such that both leaves of a pair are children of the same node at level h 1.

Remove one such leaf pair, say (l_1, l_2) , which are children of node l at level (h - 1). The resultant binary tree T' is still proper and has k - 1 nodes; let h' denote the height of T'. As per the inductive hypothesis, we know that $h' \leq \frac{k-2}{2}$. There are precisely two possibilities to consider:

- (i) h' = h In this case, $h = h' \le \frac{k-2}{2} \le \frac{k}{2}$.
- (ii) h' < h In this case l_1 and l_2 were the only nodes at level h and hence h' = h 1. As per the inductive hypothesis, $h' \le \frac{k-2}{2}$ and hence, $h = h' + 1 \le \frac{k-2}{2} + 1 = \frac{k}{2}$.

In either case, we have established that $h \le \frac{k}{2}$ and using mathematical induction, we can conclude that the height h of a proper binary tree T having n nodes is at most $\frac{n-1}{2}$.

5. Consider the following recursive definition of T(n).

$$T(1) = 1$$

 $T(n) = n \cdot T(n-1), n \ge 2.$

Show that $\log(T(n)) \in \Omega(n \cdot \log n)$.

Solution: Observe that T(n) is in fact n! and hence you are asked to show that $\log n! \in \Omega(n \cdot \log n)$. Note that

$$\log n! = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log i$$

$$= \sum_{i=2}^{n} \log i$$

$$\geq \int_{1}^{n} \log x \, dx$$

$$= [x \cdot \log x]_{1}^{n} - [x]_{1}^{n}$$

$$= (n \cdot \log n - (n-1)]$$

$$\geq n \cdot \log n - n$$

$$\geq n \cdot \log n - \frac{n}{2} \log n$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}n \cdot \log n$$

We can then conclude that $\log T(n) \in \Omega(n \cdot \log n)$. \Box