## Analysis of Algorithms - Quiz II (Solutions)

K. Subramani LCSEE, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV {ksmani@csee.wvu.edu}

## **1** Problems

1. Divide-And-Conquer: Use Strassen's matrix mutiplication algorithm to multiply

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2\\ 4 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5\\ 9 & 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Solution: We set  $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y}$  and partition each matrix into four submatrices as discussed in class. Accordingly,  $\mathbf{A} = [3], \mathbf{B} = [2], \mathbf{C} = [4], \mathbf{D} = [8], \mathbf{E} = [1], \mathbf{F} = [5], \mathbf{G} = [9]$  and  $\mathbf{H} = [6]$ , where,

$$\mathbf{Z} = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{J} \\ \mathbf{K} & \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right], \mathbf{X} = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{array} \right] \text{ and } \mathbf{Y} = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{E} & \mathbf{F} \\ \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{H} \end{array} \right]$$

Applying Strassen's algorithm, we compute the following products:

- (i)  $S_1 = \mathbf{A} \cdot (\mathbf{F} \mathbf{H}) = [3] \cdot ([5] [6]) = [-3].$ (ii)  $S_2 = (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}) \cdot \mathbf{H} = ([3] + [2]) \cdot [6] = [30].$ (iii)  $S_3 = (\mathbf{C} + \mathbf{D}) \cdot \mathbf{E} = ([4] + [8]) \cdot [1] = [12].$ (iv)  $S_4 = \mathbf{D} \cdot (\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{E}) = [8] \cdot ([9] - [1]) = [64].$ (v)  $S_5 = (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{D}) \cdot (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{H}) = ([3] + [8]) \cdot ([1] + [6]) = [77].$ (vi)  $S_6 = (\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{D}) \cdot (\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{H}) = ([2] - [8]) \cdot ([9] + [6]) = [-90].$
- (vii)  $S_7 = (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{C}) \cdot (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{F}) = ([3] [4]) \cdot ([1] + [5]) = [-6].$

From the above products, we can compute  $\mathbf{Z}$  as follows:

- (i)  $\mathbf{I} = S_5 + S_6 + S_4 S_2 = 21$
- (ii)  $\mathbf{J} = S_1 + S_2 = 27$
- (iii)  $\mathbf{K} = S_3 + S_4 = 76$
- (iv)  $\mathbf{L} = S_1 S_7 S_3 + S_5 = 68$

The correctness can easily be verified using the naive algorithm.  $\Box$ 

2. Dynamic Programming: Assume that you are given a chain of matrices  $\langle A_1 \ A_2 \ A_3 \ A_4 \rangle$ , with dimensions  $2 \times 5$ ,  $5 \times 4$ ,  $4 \times 2$  and  $2 \times 4$  respectively. Compute the optimal number of multiplications required to calculate the chain product.

**Solution:** Let m[i, j] denote the optimal number of multiplications to multiply the chain  $\langle A_i, A_{i+1}, \ldots, A_j \rangle$ , where matrix  $A_i$  has dimensions  $d_{i-1} \times d_i$ . As per the discussion in class, we know that

$$m[i,j] = 0, \text{ if } j \le i$$
  
=  $\min_{k:i \le k \le j} m[i,k] + m[k+1,j] + d_{i-1} \cdot d_k \cdot d_j$ 

Computing  $\mathbf{M} = [m[i, j]], i = 1, 2, 3, 4; j = i, i + 1, \dots, 4$ , in bottom-up fashion, we get

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 40 & 56 & 72 \\ 0 & 0 & 40 & 80 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 32 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

As per the above table, the optimal number of multiplications to multiply the given chain is 72.  $\Box$ 

3. Shortest Paths: The matrix W represents the adjacency matrix of a 4-vertex graph.

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & -3 & \infty \\ \infty & 0 & -2 & 2 \\ \infty & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ \infty & \infty & \infty & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Run the "matrix-multiplication" algorithm on this graph to compute the All-Pairs shortest paths. Recall that in this algorithm, we increase the number of edges on the shortest path between a pair of vertices from 0 to (n - 1), on an *n*-vertex graph. You are required to show all the intermediate matrices.

Solution: As per the algorithm discussed in class,

$$l_{ij}^{k} = \min_{1 \le k \le n} (l_{ik}^{k-1} + w_{kj})$$

where  $l_{ij}$  represents the length of the shortest path from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$  using at most k edges. Using the trick discussed in class,

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{L}^{1} &= \mathbf{W} \\ \mathbf{L}^{2} &= \mathbf{W} \otimes \mathbf{W} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & -3 & -2 \\ \infty & 0 & -2 & -1 \\ \infty & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ \infty & \infty & \infty & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{L}^{4} &= \mathbf{L}^{2} \otimes \mathbf{L}^{2} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & -3 & -2 \\ \infty & 0 & -2 & -1 \\ \infty & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ \infty & \infty & \infty & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $L^4$  represents the matrix of shortest path distances; note that the matrix multiplication is not traditional matrix multiplication, but the funny matrix multiplication that we discussed in class.  $\Box$ 

4. **Shortest Paths:** In class, we discussed how the Floyd-Warshall algorithm is used to compute the length of the shortest path between all vertex-pairs of the input graph; the algorithm can effect this computation *only* if there are no negative cost cycles in the graph. How would you use the Floyd-Warshall approach to declare that the input graph has a negative cost cycle?

Solution: As per the discussion in class,

$$d_{ij}^{k} = \min\{d_{ij}^{(k-1)}, d_{ik}^{(k-1)} + d_{kj}^{(k-1)}\}$$

where  $d_{ij}^k$  represents the length of the shortest path from vertex  $v_i$  to vertex  $v_j$ , with all intermediate vertices in the set  $S = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$ . Likewise,  $\mathbf{D}^k = [d_{ij}]_{i,j=1,2,\ldots,n}$ . The crucial observation is that there exists a negative cycle in the input graph if and only if there is a vertex  $v_i$  such that  $d_{ii}^k < 0$ , for some  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ . Since the distance of a vertex to itself is monotonically decreasing over the iterations of Floyd-Warshall, we only need to check whether  $d_{ii}^n < 0$ , for any vertex  $v_i$  and if so, declare that the input graph has a negative cost cycle. In the event that  $d_{ii}^n \ge 0$ ,  $\forall i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ , the graph does not contain a negative cost cycle.  $\Box$ 

5. String Algorithms: Draw a compressed trie for the following set of strings:

{abab, baba, ccccc, bbaaa, caa, bbaacc, cbcc, cbca}.

Solution:  $\Box$ 



Figure 1: Compressed Trie