

Course Name: Computer Science-520-001 - Fall 2016

## Course Related Questions

### Overall Course Rating

	Count	Poor	Fair	Satisf.	Good	Excell.	Mean	Median*	Std Dev
The overall quality of this course was.	5	0	1	2	1	1	3.40	3.25	1.14
The instructor's overall teaching effectiveness was.	5	0	1	2	1	1	3.40	3.25	1.14
Overall, my learning in this course was.	5	0	2	1	1	1	3.20	3.00	1.30

### Course Content and Course Evaluation

	Count	Str. Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str. Agree	Mean	Median*	Std Dev
The course content was worth learning.	5	0	0	0	4	1	4.20	4.13	0.45
The assignments solidified my understanding.	5	0	0	2	2	1	3.80	3.75	0.84
Evaluation of the students was explained clearly.	5	0	0	2	2	1	3.80	3.75	0.84
Grading procedures were fair.	5	0	0	2	2	1	3.80	3.75	0.84
The textbook and/or supplemental materials were helpful.	5	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	4.67	0.55

\* For an explanation of the *interpolated median*, please see [http://spu.edu/depts/insdev/interpolated\\_median\\_explanation.pdf](http://spu.edu/depts/insdev/interpolated_median_explanation.pdf)

## Instructor Related Questions

### Instructor Organization and Clarity

	Count	Str. Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str. Agree	Mean	Median*	Std Dev
Set out and met clear objectives for the course.	5	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	4.25	0.84
Explained concepts and materials clearly and at an appropriate pace.	5	0	1	2	1	1	3.40	3.25	1.14
Presented course topics in a coherent fashion.	5	0	0	2	2	1	3.80	3.75	0.84
Returned assignments in a timely manner.	5	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	4.67	0.55

### Instructor Ability to Engage Students Intellectually

	Count	Str. Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str. Agree	Mean	Median*	Std Dev
Emphasized conceptual understanding and critical thinking	5	0	0	1	3	1	4.00	4.00	0.71
Related course topics to one another	5	0	1	0	3	1	3.80	4.00	1.10

### Instructor Interaction with Students

	Count	Str. Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str. Agree	Mean	Median*	Std Dev
Demonstrated concern about whether students were learning.	5	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	4.25	0.84
Inspired and motivated student interest in the course content.	5	0	1	2	1	1	3.40	3.25	1.14
Was available outside of class.	5	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	4.25	0.84

\* For an explanation of the *interpolated median*, please see [http://spu.edu/depts/insdev/interpolated\\_median\\_explanation.pdf](http://spu.edu/depts/insdev/interpolated_median_explanation.pdf)

## Student Engagement

### I took this course because:

Options	Count	Percentage
It was a major/minor requirement	4	80.0%
It was a GEC/GEF requirement	0	0.0%
Instructor Reputation	0	0.0%
General Interest	1	20.0%
Other	0	0.0%

### What grade do you anticipate receiving in this course?

Options	Count	Percentage
A	5	100.0%
B	0	0.0%
C	0	0.0%
D	0	0.0%
F	0	0.0%
Other	0	0.0%

### How many hours a week did you spend on out-of-class activities, such as studying, homework, etc.?

Options	Count	Percentage
Less than 1	0	0.0%
1-4	0	0.0%
5-9	4	80.0%
10-14	1	20.0%
15 or greater	0	0.0%

### What percentage of the time spent on out of-class-activities do you consider to be valuable to the course?

Options	Count	Percentage
< 20%	0	0.0%
20-39%	1	20.0%
40-59%	2	40.0%
60-79%	0	0.0%
80-100%	2	40.0%

## Comments and any Open Ended Questions

### Comments on Instructor: **Krishnamurthy Subramani**

#### Comment

Great instructor. He really cares if students understand material or not. He won't proceed further if somebody doesn't understand the concept.

### Comments on Course: **Advanced Analysis-Algorithms**

#### Comment

Very valuable course. I found this course one of most important ones.