

Permutations, Combinations and The Binomial Theorem

K. Subramani¹

¹ Lane Department of Computer Science and Electrical Engineering
West Virginia University

31 March, 5 April, 7 April 2016

Outline

1 Permutations

Outline

1 Permutations

2 Combinations

Outline

1 Permutations

2 Combinations

3 The Binomial Theorem

Motivating Examples

Motivating Examples

Example

Motivating Examples

Example

How many 4 digit numbers can you create using the digits 1, 2, 3, and 4, assuming no digit repeats?

Motivating Examples

Example

How many 4 digit numbers can you create using the digits 1, 2, 3, and 4, assuming no digit repeats?

Example

Motivating Examples

Example

How many 4 digit numbers can you create using the digits 1, 2, 3, and 4, assuming no digit repeats?

Example

How many 2 digit numbers can you create using the digits 1, 2, 3, and 4, assuming no digit repeats?

Motivating Examples

Example

How many 4 digit numbers can you create using the digits 1, 2, 3, and 4, assuming no digit repeats?

Example

How many 2 digit numbers can you create using the digits 1, 2, 3, and 4, assuming no digit repeats?

Example

In how many ways can 6 people be seated in a row?

Motivating Examples

Example

How many 4 digit numbers can you create using the digits 1, 2, 3, and 4, assuming no digit repeats?

Example

How many 2 digit numbers can you create using the digits 1, 2, 3, and 4, assuming no digit repeats?

Example

In how many ways can 6 people be seated in a row?

Example

Motivating Examples

Example

How many 4 digit numbers can you create using the digits 1, 2, 3, and 4, assuming no digit repeats?

Example

How many 2 digit numbers can you create using the digits 1, 2, 3, and 4, assuming no digit repeats?

Example

In how many ways can 6 people be seated in a row?

Example

In how many ways can 6 people be seated around a circular table with 6 chairs?

Motivating Examples

Example

How many 4 digit numbers can you create using the digits 1, 2, 3, and 4, assuming no digit repeats?

Example

How many 2 digit numbers can you create using the digits 1, 2, 3, and 4, assuming no digit repeats?

Example

In how many ways can 6 people be seated in a row?

Example

In how many ways can 6 people be seated around a circular table with 6 chairs? (Only relative positions can be distinguished.)

Permutations

Permutations

Definition

Permutations

Definition

A permutation is an ordered arrangement of objects.

Permutations

Definition

A permutation is an ordered arrangement of objects.

The number of distinct permutations of r distinct objects chosen from n distinct objects is denoted by $P(n, r)$.

Permutations

Definition

A permutation is an ordered arrangement of objects.

The number of distinct permutations of r distinct objects chosen from n distinct objects is denoted by $P(n, r)$.

Definition

Permutations

Definition

A permutation is an ordered arrangement of objects.

The number of distinct permutations of r distinct objects chosen from n distinct objects is denoted by $P(n, r)$.

Definition

$$n! =$$

Permutations

Definition

A permutation is an ordered arrangement of objects.

The number of distinct permutations of r distinct objects chosen from n distinct objects is denoted by $P(n, r)$.

Definition

$$n! = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0 \end{cases}$$

Permutations

Definition

A permutation is an ordered arrangement of objects.

The number of distinct permutations of r distinct objects chosen from n distinct objects is denoted by $P(n, r)$.

Definition

$$n! = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0 \\ n \cdot (n-1)!, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Computing the number of permutations

Computing the number of permutations

Computing $P(n, r)$

Computing the number of permutations

Computing $P(n, r)$

Using the multiplication principle,

Computing the number of permutations

Computing $P(n, r)$

Using the multiplication principle,

$$P(n, r) =$$

Computing the number of permutations

Computing $P(n, r)$

Using the multiplication principle,

$$P(n, r) = n$$

Computing the number of permutations

Computing $P(n, r)$

Using the multiplication principle,

$$P(n, r) = n \cdot (n - 1)$$

Computing the number of permutations

Computing $P(n, r)$

Using the multiplication principle,

$$P(n, r) = n \cdot (n - 1) \cdot \dots \cdot (n - r + 1)$$

Computing the number of permutations

Computing $P(n, r)$

Using the multiplication principle,

$$\begin{aligned} P(n, r) &= n \cdot (n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot (n-r+1) \\ &= \end{aligned}$$

Computing the number of permutations

Computing $P(n, r)$

Using the multiplication principle,

$$\begin{aligned} P(n, r) &= n \cdot (n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot (n-r+1) \\ &= n \cdot (n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot (n-r+1) \cdot \frac{(n-r) \cdot (n-r-1) \cdot \dots \cdot 1}{(n-r) \cdot (n-r-1) \cdot \dots \cdot 1} \end{aligned}$$

Computing the number of permutations

Computing $P(n, r)$

Using the multiplication principle,

$$\begin{aligned} P(n, r) &= n \cdot (n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot (n-r+1) \\ &= n \cdot (n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot (n-r+1) \cdot \frac{(n-r) \cdot (n-r-1) \cdot \dots \cdot 1}{(n-r) \cdot (n-r-1) \cdot \dots \cdot 1} \\ &= \end{aligned}$$

Computing the number of permutations

Computing $P(n, r)$

Using the multiplication principle,

$$\begin{aligned} P(n, r) &= n \cdot (n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot (n-r+1) \\ &= n \cdot (n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot (n-r+1) \cdot \frac{(n-r) \cdot (n-r-1) \cdot \dots \cdot 1}{(n-r) \cdot (n-r-1) \cdot \dots \cdot 1} \\ &= \frac{n!}{(n-r)!}, \quad 0 \leq r \leq n \end{aligned}$$

Permutations (contd.)

Permutations (contd.)

Example

Permutations (contd.)

Example

Compute $P(7, 3)$, $P(n, 0)$, $P(n, 1)$, and $P(n, n)$.

Permutations (contd.)

Example

Compute $P(7, 3)$, $P(n, 0)$, $P(n, 1)$, and $P(n, n)$.

Solution:

Permutations (contd.)

Example

Compute $P(7, 3)$, $P(n, 0)$, $P(n, 1)$, and $P(n, n)$.

Solution: 210,

Permutations (contd.)

Example

Compute $P(7, 3)$, $P(n, 0)$, $P(n, 1)$, and $P(n, n)$.

Solution: 210, 1,

Permutations (contd.)

Example

Compute $P(7, 3)$, $P(n, 0)$, $P(n, 1)$, and $P(n, n)$.

Solution: 210, 1, n ,

Permutations (contd.)

Example

Compute $P(7, 3)$, $P(n, 0)$, $P(n, 1)$, and $P(n, n)$.

Solution: 210, 1, n , and $n!$.

Permutations (contd.)

Example

Compute $P(7, 3)$, $P(n, 0)$, $P(n, 1)$, and $P(n, n)$.

Solution: 210, 1, n , and $n!$.

Example

Permutations (contd.)

Example

Compute $P(7, 3)$, $P(n, 0)$, $P(n, 1)$, and $P(n, n)$.

Solution: 210, 1, n , and $n!$.

Example

How many 3 letter words can be formed using the letters in the word “compiler”?

Permutations (contd.)

Example

Compute $P(7, 3)$, $P(n, 0)$, $P(n, 1)$, and $P(n, n)$.

Solution: 210, 1, n , and $n!$.

Example

How many 3 letter words can be formed using the letters in the word “compiler”?

Solution:

Permutations (contd.)

Example

Compute $P(7, 3)$, $P(n, 0)$, $P(n, 1)$, and $P(n, n)$.

Solution: 210, 1, n , and $n!$.

Example

How many 3 letter words can be formed using the letters in the word “compiler”?

Solution: $P(8, 3)$.

Permutations (contd.)

Example

Compute $P(7, 3)$, $P(n, 0)$, $P(n, 1)$, and $P(n, n)$.

Solution: 210, 1, n , and $n!$.

Example

How many 3 letter words can be formed using the letters in the word “compiler”?

Solution: $P(8, 3)$.

Example

Permutations (contd.)

Example

Compute $P(7, 3)$, $P(n, 0)$, $P(n, 1)$, and $P(n, n)$.

Solution: 210, 1, n , and $n!$.

Example

How many 3 letter words can be formed using the letters in the word “compiler”?

Solution: $P(8, 3)$.

Example

In how many ways can a president and vice-president be chosen from a group of 20 people?

Permutations (contd.)

Example

Compute $P(7, 3)$, $P(n, 0)$, $P(n, 1)$, and $P(n, n)$.

Solution: 210, 1, n , and $n!$.

Example

How many 3 letter words can be formed using the letters in the word “compiler”?

Solution: $P(8, 3)$.

Example

In how many ways can a president and vice-president be chosen from a group of 20 people?

Solution:

Permutations (contd.)

Example

Compute $P(7, 3)$, $P(n, 0)$, $P(n, 1)$, and $P(n, n)$.

Solution: 210, 1, n , and $n!$.

Example

How many 3 letter words can be formed using the letters in the word “compiler”?

Solution: $P(8, 3)$.

Example

In how many ways can a president and vice-president be chosen from a group of 20 people?

Solution: $P(20, 2)$.

One more example

One more example

Example

One more example

Example

A library has 4 books on programming, 7 on algorithms and 3 on complexity.

One more example

Example

A library has 4 books on programming, 7 on algorithms and 3 on complexity.
In how many ways can the books be ordered on a shelf?

One more example

Example

A library has 4 books on programming, 7 on algorithms and 3 on complexity.
In how many ways can the books be ordered on a shelf?
Provided that the books of a subject are required to be together?

One more example

Example

A library has 4 books on programming, 7 on algorithms and 3 on complexity.
In how many ways can the books be ordered on a shelf?
Provided that the books of a subject are required to be together?

One more example

Example

A library has 4 books on programming, 7 on algorithms and 3 on complexity.
In how many ways can the books be ordered on a shelf?
Provided that the books of a subject are required to be together?

Solution

One more example

Example

A library has 4 books on programming, 7 on algorithms and 3 on complexity.
In how many ways can the books be ordered on a shelf?
Provided that the books of a subject are required to be together?

Solution

If there is no restriction, the number of arrangements is

One more example

Example

A library has 4 books on programming, 7 on algorithms and 3 on complexity.
In how many ways can the books be ordered on a shelf?
Provided that the books of a subject are required to be together?

Solution

If there is no restriction, the number of arrangements is $P(14, 14) = 14!$.

One more example

Example

A library has 4 books on programming, 7 on algorithms and 3 on complexity.
In how many ways can the books be ordered on a shelf?
Provided that the books of a subject are required to be together?

Solution

If there is no restriction, the number of arrangements is $P(14, 14) = 14!$.

Now consider the case in which the books of a given subject are required to be together.

One more example

Example

A library has 4 books on programming, 7 on algorithms and 3 on complexity.
In how many ways can the books be ordered on a shelf?
Provided that the books of a subject are required to be together?

Solution

If there is no restriction, the number of arrangements is $P(14, 14) = 14!$.

Now consider the case in which the books of a given subject are required to be together.

First arrange the three subjects.

One more example

Example

A library has 4 books on programming, 7 on algorithms and 3 on complexity.

In how many ways can the books be ordered on a shelf?

Provided that the books of a subject are required to be together?

Solution

If there is no restriction, the number of arrangements is $P(14, 14) = 14!$.

Now consider the case in which the books of a given subject are required to be together.

First arrange the three subjects. This can be done in $P(3, 3) = 3!$ ways.

One more example

Example

A library has 4 books on programming, 7 on algorithms and 3 on complexity.

In how many ways can the books be ordered on a shelf?

Provided that the books of a subject are required to be together?

Solution

If there is no restriction, the number of arrangements is $P(14, 14) = 14!$.

Now consider the case in which the books of a given subject are required to be together.

First arrange the three subjects. This can be done in $P(3, 3) = 3!$ ways.

Corresponding to each such arrangement,

One more example

Example

A library has 4 books on programming, 7 on algorithms and 3 on complexity.
In how many ways can the books be ordered on a shelf?
Provided that the books of a subject are required to be together?

Solution

If there is no restriction, the number of arrangements is $P(14, 14) = 14!$.

Now consider the case in which the books of a given subject are required to be together.

First arrange the three subjects. This can be done in $P(3, 3) = 3!$ ways.

Corresponding to each such arrangement,
the programming books can be permuted in

One more example

Example

A library has 4 books on programming, 7 on algorithms and 3 on complexity.
In how many ways can the books be ordered on a shelf?
Provided that the books of a subject are required to be together?

Solution

If there is no restriction, the number of arrangements is $P(14, 14) = 14!$.

Now consider the case in which the books of a given subject are required to be together.

First arrange the three subjects. This can be done in $P(3, 3) = 3!$ ways.

Corresponding to each such arrangement,

the programming books can be permuted in $P(4, 4) = 4!$ ways,

One more example

Example

A library has 4 books on programming, 7 on algorithms and 3 on complexity.
In how many ways can the books be ordered on a shelf?
Provided that the books of a subject are required to be together?

Solution

If there is no restriction, the number of arrangements is $P(14, 14) = 14!$.

Now consider the case in which the books of a given subject are required to be together.

First arrange the three subjects. This can be done in $P(3, 3) = 3!$ ways.

Corresponding to each such arrangement,

the programming books can be permuted in $P(4, 4) = 4!$ ways,

the algorithms books can be permuted in

One more example

Example

A library has 4 books on programming, 7 on algorithms and 3 on complexity.
In how many ways can the books be ordered on a shelf?
Provided that the books of a subject are required to be together?

Solution

If there is no restriction, the number of arrangements is $P(14, 14) = 14!$.

Now consider the case in which the books of a given subject are required to be together.

First arrange the three subjects. This can be done in $P(3, 3) = 3!$ ways.

Corresponding to each such arrangement,

the programming books can be permuted in $P(4, 4) = 4!$ ways,

the algorithms books can be permuted in $P(7, 7) = 7!$ ways,

One more example

Example

A library has 4 books on programming, 7 on algorithms and 3 on complexity.
In how many ways can the books be ordered on a shelf?
Provided that the books of a subject are required to be together?

Solution

If there is no restriction, the number of arrangements is $P(14, 14) = 14!$.

Now consider the case in which the books of a given subject are required to be together.

First arrange the three subjects. This can be done in $P(3, 3) = 3!$ ways.

Corresponding to each such arrangement,

the programming books can be permuted in $P(4, 4) = 4!$ ways,

the algorithms books can be permuted in $P(7, 7) = 7!$ ways,

and the complexity books can be permuted in

One more example

Example

A library has 4 books on programming, 7 on algorithms and 3 on complexity.
In how many ways can the books be ordered on a shelf?
Provided that the books of a subject are required to be together?

Solution

If there is no restriction, the number of arrangements is $P(14, 14) = 14!$.

Now consider the case in which the books of a given subject are required to be together.

First arrange the three subjects. This can be done in $P(3, 3) = 3!$ ways.

Corresponding to each such arrangement,

the programming books can be permuted in $P(4, 4) = 4!$ ways,

the algorithms books can be permuted in $P(7, 7) = 7!$ ways,

and the complexity books can be permuted in $P(3, 3) = 3!$ ways.

One more example

Example

A library has 4 books on programming, 7 on algorithms and 3 on complexity.
In how many ways can the books be ordered on a shelf?
Provided that the books of a subject are required to be together?

Solution

If there is no restriction, the number of arrangements is $P(14, 14) = 14!$.

Now consider the case in which the books of a given subject are required to be together.

First arrange the three subjects. This can be done in $P(3, 3) = 3!$ ways.

Corresponding to each such arrangement,

the programming books can be permuted in $P(4, 4) = 4!$ ways,

the algorithms books can be permuted in $P(7, 7) = 7!$ ways,

and the complexity books can be permuted in $P(3, 3) = 3!$ ways.

Using the multiplication principle, the total number of arrangements is $3! \cdot 4! \cdot 7! \cdot 3!$.

More Examples

More Examples

Example

More Examples

Example

Solve the motivating examples.

Motivating Examples

Motivating Examples

Example

Motivating Examples

Example

How many 5-card hands are possible with a 52 card deck?

Motivating Examples

Example

How many 5-card hands are possible with a 52 card deck?

Example

Motivating Examples

Example

How many 5-card hands are possible with a 52 card deck?

Example

Ten athletes compete in an Olympic event.

Motivating Examples

Example

How many 5-card hands are possible with a 52 card deck?

Example

Ten athletes compete in an Olympic event. Three will be declared winners.

Motivating Examples

Example

How many 5-card hands are possible with a 52 card deck?

Example

Ten athletes compete in an Olympic event. Three will be declared winners.
In how many ways can the winners be selected?

Motivating Examples

Example

How many 5-card hands are possible with a 52 card deck?

Example

Ten athletes compete in an Olympic event. Three will be declared winners.
In how many ways can the winners be selected?

Example

Motivating Examples

Example

How many 5-card hands are possible with a 52 card deck?

Example

Ten athletes compete in an Olympic event. Three will be declared winners.
In how many ways can the winners be selected?

Example

A committee of 3 is to be formed from 5 men and 2 women.

Motivating Examples

Example

How many 5-card hands are possible with a 52 card deck?

Example

Ten athletes compete in an Olympic event. Three will be declared winners.
In how many ways can the winners be selected?

Example

A committee of 3 is to be formed from 5 men and 2 women.
In how many ways can the committee be formed, if

Motivating Examples

Example

How many 5-card hands are possible with a 52 card deck?

Example

Ten athletes compete in an Olympic event. Three will be declared winners.
In how many ways can the winners be selected?

Example

A committee of 3 is to be formed from 5 men and 2 women.
In how many ways can the committee be formed, if

Motivating Examples

Example

How many 5-card hands are possible with a 52 card deck?

Example

Ten athletes compete in an Olympic event. Three will be declared winners.
In how many ways can the winners be selected?

Example

A committee of 3 is to be formed from 5 men and 2 women.
In how many ways can the committee be formed, if

- 1 The committee must include at least one woman.

Motivating Examples

Example

How many 5-card hands are possible with a 52 card deck?

Example

Ten athletes compete in an Olympic event. Three will be declared winners.
In how many ways can the winners be selected?

Example

A committee of 3 is to be formed from 5 men and 2 women.
In how many ways can the committee be formed, if

- 1 The committee must include at least one woman.
- 2 There cannot be more than two men on the committee.

Combinations

Definition

Combinations

Definition

A combination is an (unordered) selection of objects.

Combinations

Definition

A combination is an (unordered) selection of objects.

The number of distinct combinations of r distinct objects chosen from n distinct objects is denoted by $C(n, r)$.

Combinations

Definition

A combination is an (unordered) selection of objects.

The number of distinct combinations of r distinct objects chosen from n distinct objects is denoted by $C(n, r)$.

Computing $C(n, r)$

Combinations

Definition

A combination is an (unordered) selection of objects.

The number of distinct combinations of r distinct objects chosen from n distinct objects is denoted by $C(n, r)$.

Computing $C(n, r)$

Focus on a given combination of r objects chosen from n objects.

Combinations

Definition

A combination is an (unordered) selection of objects.

The number of distinct combinations of r distinct objects chosen from n distinct objects is denoted by $C(n, r)$.

Computing $C(n, r)$

Focus on a given combination of r objects chosen from n objects.

The objects in this combination can be permuted in $r!$ different ways to get $r!$ distinct permutations.

Combinations

Definition

A combination is an (unordered) selection of objects.

The number of distinct combinations of r distinct objects chosen from n distinct objects is denoted by $C(n, r)$.

Computing $C(n, r)$

Focus on a given combination of r objects chosen from n objects.

The objects in this combination can be permuted in $r!$ different ways to get $r!$ distinct permutations.

It follows that $C(n, r) \cdot r! = P(n, r)$,

Combinations

Definition

A combination is an (unordered) selection of objects.

The number of distinct combinations of r distinct objects chosen from n distinct objects is denoted by $C(n, r)$.

Computing $C(n, r)$

Focus on a given combination of r objects chosen from n objects.

The objects in this combination can be permuted in $r!$ different ways to get $r!$ distinct permutations.

It follows that $C(n, r) \cdot r! = P(n, r)$, i.e., $C(n, r) = \frac{P(n, r)}{r!} = \frac{n!}{r! \cdot (n-r)!}$, $0 \leq r \leq n$.

Combinations

Definition

A combination is an (unordered) selection of objects.

The number of distinct combinations of r distinct objects chosen from n distinct objects is denoted by $C(n, r)$.

Computing $C(n, r)$

Focus on a given combination of r objects chosen from n objects.

The objects in this combination can be permuted in $r!$ different ways to get $r!$ distinct permutations.

It follows that $C(n, r) \cdot r! = P(n, r)$, i.e., $C(n, r) = \frac{P(n, r)}{r!} = \frac{n!}{r! \cdot (n-r)!}$, $0 \leq r \leq n$.

Example

Combinations

Definition

A combination is an (unordered) selection of objects.

The number of distinct combinations of r distinct objects chosen from n distinct objects is denoted by $C(n, r)$.

Computing $C(n, r)$

Focus on a given combination of r objects chosen from n objects.

The objects in this combination can be permuted in $r!$ different ways to get $r!$ distinct permutations.

It follows that $C(n, r) \cdot r! = P(n, r)$, i.e., $C(n, r) = \frac{P(n, r)}{r!} = \frac{n!}{r! \cdot (n-r)!}$, $0 \leq r \leq n$.

Example

Compute $C(7, 3)$, $C(n, 0)$, $C(n, 1)$ and $C(n, n)$.

Combinations

Definition

A combination is an (unordered) selection of objects.

The number of distinct combinations of r distinct objects chosen from n distinct objects is denoted by $C(n, r)$.

Computing $C(n, r)$

Focus on a given combination of r objects chosen from n objects.

The objects in this combination can be permuted in $r!$ different ways to get $r!$ distinct permutations.

It follows that $C(n, r) \cdot r! = P(n, r)$, i.e., $C(n, r) = \frac{P(n, r)}{r!} = \frac{n!}{r! \cdot (n-r)!}$, $0 \leq r \leq n$.

Example

Compute $C(7, 3)$, $C(n, 0)$, $C(n, 1)$ and $C(n, n)$.

Solution:

Combinations

Definition

A combination is an (unordered) selection of objects.

The number of distinct combinations of r distinct objects chosen from n distinct objects is denoted by $C(n, r)$.

Computing $C(n, r)$

Focus on a given combination of r objects chosen from n objects.

The objects in this combination can be permuted in $r!$ different ways to get $r!$ distinct permutations.

It follows that $C(n, r) \cdot r! = P(n, r)$, i.e., $C(n, r) = \frac{P(n, r)}{r!} = \frac{n!}{r! \cdot (n-r)!}$, $0 \leq r \leq n$.

Example

Compute $C(7, 3)$, $C(n, 0)$, $C(n, 1)$ and $C(n, n)$.

Solution: 35,

Combinations

Definition

A combination is an (unordered) selection of objects.

The number of distinct combinations of r distinct objects chosen from n distinct objects is denoted by $C(n, r)$.

Computing $C(n, r)$

Focus on a given combination of r objects chosen from n objects.

The objects in this combination can be permuted in $r!$ different ways to get $r!$ distinct permutations.

It follows that $C(n, r) \cdot r! = P(n, r)$, i.e., $C(n, r) = \frac{P(n, r)}{r!} = \frac{n!}{r! \cdot (n-r)!}$, $0 \leq r \leq n$.

Example

Compute $C(7, 3)$, $C(n, 0)$, $C(n, 1)$ and $C(n, n)$.

Solution: 35, 1,

Combinations

Definition

A combination is an (unordered) selection of objects.

The number of distinct combinations of r distinct objects chosen from n distinct objects is denoted by $C(n, r)$.

Computing $C(n, r)$

Focus on a given combination of r objects chosen from n objects.

The objects in this combination can be permuted in $r!$ different ways to get $r!$ distinct permutations.

It follows that $C(n, r) \cdot r! = P(n, r)$, i.e., $C(n, r) = \frac{P(n, r)}{r!} = \frac{n!}{r! \cdot (n-r)!}$, $0 \leq r \leq n$.

Example

Compute $C(7, 3)$, $C(n, 0)$, $C(n, 1)$ and $C(n, n)$.

Solution: 35, 1, n ,

Combinations

Definition

A combination is an (unordered) selection of objects.

The number of distinct combinations of r distinct objects chosen from n distinct objects is denoted by $C(n, r)$.

Computing $C(n, r)$

Focus on a given combination of r objects chosen from n objects.

The objects in this combination can be permuted in $r!$ different ways to get $r!$ distinct permutations.

It follows that $C(n, r) \cdot r! = P(n, r)$, i.e., $C(n, r) = \frac{P(n, r)}{r!} = \frac{n!}{r! \cdot (n-r)!}$, $0 \leq r \leq n$.

Example

Compute $C(7, 3)$, $C(n, 0)$, $C(n, 1)$ and $C(n, n)$.

Solution: 35, 1, n , 1.

Combinations (examples)

Combinations (examples)

Example

Combinations (examples)

Example

A committee of 8 students is to be selected from 19 freshmen and 34 sophomores.

Combinations (examples)

Example

A committee of 8 students is to be selected from 19 freshmen and 34 sophomores. In how many ways, can this committee be formed, if

Combinations (examples)

Example

A committee of 8 students is to be selected from 19 freshmen and 34 sophomores. In how many ways, can this committee be formed, if

- 1 it must contain 3 freshmen and 5 sophomores.

Combinations (examples)

Example

A committee of 8 students is to be selected from 19 freshmen and 34 sophomores. In how many ways, can this committee be formed, if

- 1 it must contain 3 freshmen and 5 sophomores. **Solution:**

Combinations (examples)

Example

A committee of 8 students is to be selected from 19 freshmen and 34 sophomores. In how many ways, can this committee be formed, if

- 1 it must contain 3 freshmen and 5 sophomores. **Solution:** $C(19, 3) \cdot C(34, 5)$.

Combinations (examples)

Example

A committee of 8 students is to be selected from 19 freshmen and 34 sophomores. In how many ways, can this committee be formed, if

- 1 it must contain 3 freshmen and 5 sophomores. **Solution:** $C(19, 3) \cdot C(34, 5)$.
- 2 it must contain exactly one freshman.

Combinations (examples)

Example

A committee of 8 students is to be selected from 19 freshmen and 34 sophomores. In how many ways, can this committee be formed, if

- 1 it must contain 3 freshmen and 5 sophomores. **Solution:** $C(19, 3) \cdot C(34, 5)$.
- 2 it must contain exactly one freshman. **Solution:**

Combinations (examples)

Example

A committee of 8 students is to be selected from 19 freshmen and 34 sophomores. In how many ways, can this committee be formed, if

- 1 it must contain 3 freshmen and 5 sophomores. **Solution:** $C(19, 3) \cdot C(34, 5)$.
- 2 it must contain exactly one freshman. **Solution:** $C(19, 1) \cdot C(34, 7)$.

Combinations (examples)

Example

A committee of 8 students is to be selected from 19 freshmen and 34 sophomores. In how many ways, can this committee be formed, if

- 1 it must contain 3 freshmen and 5 sophomores. **Solution:** $C(19, 3) \cdot C(34, 5)$.
- 2 it must contain exactly one freshman. **Solution:** $C(19, 1) \cdot C(34, 7)$.
- 3 it can contain at most one freshman.

Combinations (examples)

Example

A committee of 8 students is to be selected from 19 freshmen and 34 sophomores. In how many ways, can this committee be formed, if

- 1 it must contain 3 freshmen and 5 sophomores. **Solution:** $C(19, 3) \cdot C(34, 5)$.
- 2 it must contain exactly one freshman. **Solution:** $C(19, 1) \cdot C(34, 7)$.
- 3 it can contain at most one freshman. **Solution:**

Combinations (examples)

Example

A committee of 8 students is to be selected from 19 freshmen and 34 sophomores. In how many ways, can this committee be formed, if

- 1 it must contain 3 freshmen and 5 sophomores. **Solution:** $C(19, 3) \cdot C(34, 5)$.
- 2 it must contain exactly one freshman. **Solution:** $C(19, 1) \cdot C(34, 7)$.
- 3 it can contain at most one freshman. **Solution:** $C(34, 8)$

Combinations (examples)

Example

A committee of 8 students is to be selected from 19 freshmen and 34 sophomores. In how many ways, can this committee be formed, if

- ① it must contain 3 freshmen and 5 sophomores. **Solution:** $C(19, 3) \cdot C(34, 5)$.
- ② it must contain exactly one freshman. **Solution:** $C(19, 1) \cdot C(34, 7)$.
- ③ it can contain at most one freshman. **Solution:** $C(34, 8) + C(19, 1) \cdot C(34, 7)$.

Combinations (examples)

Example

A committee of 8 students is to be selected from 19 freshmen and 34 sophomores. In how many ways, can this committee be formed, if

- 1 it must contain 3 freshmen and 5 sophomores. **Solution:** $C(19, 3) \cdot C(34, 5)$.
- 2 it must contain exactly one freshman. **Solution:** $C(19, 1) \cdot C(34, 7)$.
- 3 it can contain at most one freshman. **Solution:** $C(34, 8) + C(19, 1) \cdot C(34, 7)$.
- 4 it contains at least one freshman.

Combinations (examples)

Example

A committee of 8 students is to be selected from 19 freshmen and 34 sophomores. In how many ways, can this committee be formed, if

- 1 it must contain 3 freshmen and 5 sophomores. **Solution:** $C(19, 3) \cdot C(34, 5)$.
- 2 it must contain exactly one freshman. **Solution:** $C(19, 1) \cdot C(34, 7)$.
- 3 it can contain at most one freshman. **Solution:** $C(34, 8) + C(19, 1) \cdot C(34, 7)$.
- 4 it contains at least one freshman. **Solution:** $C(53, 8) - C(34, 8)$.

More examples

More examples

Example

More examples

Example

Solve the motivating examples.

Handling Duplicates

Handling Duplicates

Permutations

Handling Duplicates

Permutations

If there are n objects of which a set of n_1 are indistinguishable from each other,

Handling Duplicates

Permutations

If there are n objects of which a set of n_1 are indistinguishable from each other, a second set of n_2 are indistinguishable from each other

Handling Duplicates

Permutations

If there are n objects of which a set of n_1 are indistinguishable from each other, a second set of n_2 are indistinguishable from each other and ... a k^{th} set of n_k objects are indistinguishable from each other,

Handling Duplicates

Permutations

If there are n objects of which a set of n_1 are indistinguishable from each other, a second set of n_2 are indistinguishable from each other and ... a k^{th} set of n_k objects are indistinguishable from each other, then the number of distinct permutations of the n objects is:

Handling Duplicates

Permutations

If there are n objects of which a set of n_1 are indistinguishable from each other, a second set of n_2 are indistinguishable from each other and ... a k^{th} set of n_k objects are indistinguishable from each other, then the number of distinct permutations of the n objects is:

$$\frac{n!}{n_1! \cdot n_2! \cdot \dots \cdot n_k!}$$

Handling Duplicates

Permutations

If there are n objects of which a set of n_1 are indistinguishable from each other, a second set of n_2 are indistinguishable from each other and ... a k^{th} set of n_k objects are indistinguishable from each other, then the number of distinct permutations of the n objects is:

$$\frac{n!}{n_1! \cdot n_2! \cdot \dots \cdot n_k!}$$

Example

Handling Duplicates

Permutations

If there are n objects of which a set of n_1 are indistinguishable from each other, a second set of n_2 are indistinguishable from each other and ... a k^{th} set of n_k objects are indistinguishable from each other, then the number of distinct permutations of the n objects is:

$$\frac{n!}{n_1! \cdot n_2! \cdot \dots \cdot n_k!}$$

Example

In how many distinct ways can the characters in the word MISSISSIPPI be permuted?

Handling Duplicates

Permutations

If there are n objects of which a set of n_1 are indistinguishable from each other, a second set of n_2 are indistinguishable from each other and ... a k^{th} set of n_k objects are indistinguishable from each other, then the number of distinct permutations of the n objects is:

$$\frac{n!}{n_1! \cdot n_2! \cdot \dots \cdot n_k!}$$

Example

In how many distinct ways can the characters in the word MISSISSIPPI be permuted?

Solution:

Handling Duplicates

Permutations

If there are n objects of which a set of n_1 are indistinguishable from each other, a second set of n_2 are indistinguishable from each other and ... a k^{th} set of n_k objects are indistinguishable from each other, then the number of distinct permutations of the n objects is:

$$\frac{n!}{n_1! \cdot n_2! \cdot \dots \cdot n_k!}$$

Example

In how many distinct ways can the characters in the word MISSISSIPPI be permuted?

Solution:

$$\frac{11!}{4! \cdot 4! \cdot 2!}.$$

Handling Repetitions

Handling Repetitions

Permutations

Handling Repetitions

Permutations

In how many ways can you select r objects from n , assuming that there is an infinite supply of all objects?

Handling Repetitions

Permutations

In how many ways can you select r objects from n , assuming that there is an infinite supply of all objects?

The number of arrangements of r objects from n objects with repetitions permitted is simply

Handling Repetitions

Permutations

In how many ways can you select r objects from n , assuming that there is an infinite supply of all objects?

The number of arrangements of r objects from n objects with repetitions permitted is simply n^r .

Handling Repetitions

Permutations

In how many ways can you select r objects from n , assuming that there is an infinite supply of all objects?

The number of arrangements of r objects from n objects with repetitions permitted is simply n^r .

Combinations

Handling Repetitions

Permutations

In how many ways can you select r objects from n , assuming that there is an infinite supply of all objects?

The number of arrangements of r objects from n objects with repetitions permitted is simply n^r .

Combinations

Consider the following problem:

Handling Repetitions

Permutations

In how many ways can you select r objects from n , assuming that there is an infinite supply of all objects?

The number of arrangements of r objects from n objects with repetitions permitted is simply n^r .

Combinations

Consider the following problem:

A jeweler wants to create a ring from 5 stones.

Handling Repetitions

Permutations

In how many ways can you select r objects from n , assuming that there is an infinite supply of all objects?

The number of arrangements of r objects from n objects with repetitions permitted is simply n^r .

Combinations

Consider the following problem:

A jeweler wants to create a ring from 5 stones.

He has at his disposal an infinite supply of rubies, pearls and diamonds.

Handling Repetitions

Permutations

In how many ways can you select r objects from n , assuming that there is an infinite supply of all objects?

The number of arrangements of r objects from n objects with repetitions permitted is simply n^r .

Combinations

Consider the following problem:

A jeweler wants to create a ring from 5 stones.

He has at his disposal an infinite supply of rubies, pearls and diamonds.

How many 5-stone sets are possible?

Handling Repetitions

Permutations

In how many ways can you select r objects from n , assuming that there is an infinite supply of all objects?

The number of arrangements of r objects from n objects with repetitions permitted is simply n^r .

Combinations

Consider the following problem:

A jeweler wants to create a ring from 5 stones.

He has at his disposal an infinite supply of rubies, pearls and diamonds.

How many 5-stone sets are possible?

Solution:

Handling Repetitions

Permutations

In how many ways can you select r objects from n , assuming that there is an infinite supply of all objects?

The number of arrangements of r objects from n objects with repetitions permitted is simply n^r .

Combinations

Consider the following problem:

A jeweler wants to create a ring from 5 stones.

He has at his disposal an infinite supply of rubies, pearls and diamonds.

How many 5-stone sets are possible?

Solution: Let us represent a ring as $\langle r, p, d \rangle$.

Handling Repetitions

Permutations

In how many ways can you select r objects from n , assuming that there is an infinite supply of all objects?

The number of arrangements of r objects from n objects with repetitions permitted is simply n^r .

Combinations

Consider the following problem:

A jeweler wants to create a ring from 5 stones.

He has at his disposal an infinite supply of rubies, pearls and diamonds.

How many 5-stone sets are possible?

Solution: Let us represent a ring as $\langle r, p, d \rangle$.

Some valid rings are:

Handling Repetitions

Permutations

In how many ways can you select r objects from n , assuming that there is an infinite supply of all objects?

The number of arrangements of r objects from n objects with repetitions permitted is simply n^r .

Combinations

Consider the following problem:

A jeweler wants to create a ring from 5 stones.

He has at his disposal an infinite supply of rubies, pearls and diamonds.

How many 5-stone sets are possible?

Solution: Let us represent a ring as $\langle r, p, d \rangle$.

Some valid rings are: $\langle 0, 0, 5 \rangle$,

Handling Repetitions

Permutations

In how many ways can you select r objects from n , assuming that there is an infinite supply of all objects?

The number of arrangements of r objects from n objects with repetitions permitted is simply n^r .

Combinations

Consider the following problem:

A jeweler wants to create a ring from 5 stones.

He has at his disposal an infinite supply of rubies, pearls and diamonds.

How many 5-stone sets are possible?

Solution: Let us represent a ring as $\langle r, p, d \rangle$.

Some valid rings are: $\langle 0, 0, 5 \rangle$, $\langle 1, 2, 2 \rangle$ and so on.

Handling Repetitions

Permutations

In how many ways can you select r objects from n , assuming that there is an infinite supply of all objects?

The number of arrangements of r objects from n objects with repetitions permitted is simply n^r .

Combinations

Consider the following problem:

A jeweler wants to create a ring from 5 stones.

He has at his disposal an infinite supply of rubies, pearls and diamonds.

How many 5-stone sets are possible?

Solution: Let us represent a ring as $\langle r, p, d \rangle$.

Some valid rings are: $\langle 0, 0, 5 \rangle$, $\langle 1, 2, 2 \rangle$ and so on.

Is this a permutations problem or a combinations problem?

Handling Repetitions

Permutations

In how many ways can you select r objects from n , assuming that there is an infinite supply of all objects?

The number of arrangements of r objects from n objects with repetitions permitted is simply n^r .

Combinations

Consider the following problem:

A jeweler wants to create a ring from 5 stones.

He has at his disposal an infinite supply of rubies, pearls and diamonds.

How many 5-stone sets are possible?

Solution: Let us represent a ring as $\langle r, p, d \rangle$.

Some valid rings are: $\langle 0, 0, 5 \rangle$, $\langle 1, 2, 2 \rangle$ and so on.

Is this a permutations problem or a combinations problem?

We want to select 5 objects out of 3 objects,

Handling Repetitions

Permutations

In how many ways can you select r objects from n , assuming that there is an infinite supply of all objects?

The number of arrangements of r objects from n objects with repetitions permitted is simply n^r .

Combinations

Consider the following problem:

A jeweler wants to create a ring from 5 stones.

He has at his disposal an infinite supply of rubies, pearls and diamonds.

How many 5-stone sets are possible?

Solution: Let us represent a ring as $\langle r, p, d \rangle$.

Some valid rings are: $\langle 0, 0, 5 \rangle$, $\langle 1, 2, 2 \rangle$ and so on.

Is this a permutations problem or a combinations problem?

We want to select 5 objects out of 3 objects, with repetitions allowed.

The jeweler ring problem

The jeweler ring problem

Solution

The jeweler ring problem

Solution

Think of the ring as laying out the rubies, pearls and diamonds on a straight line.

The jeweler ring problem

Solution

Think of the ring as laying out the rubies, pearls and diamonds on a straight line.
Use two markers to separate the three different types of stones, making sure that the total is exactly 5.

The jeweler ring problem

Solution

Think of the ring as laying out the rubies, pearls and diamonds on a straight line.

Use two markers to separate the three different types of stones, making sure that the total is exactly 5.

Observe that once the separating markers are placed the combination is uniquely determined.

The jeweler ring problem

Solution

Think of the ring as laying out the rubies, pearls and diamonds on a straight line.

Use two markers to separate the three different types of stones, making sure that the total is exactly 5.

Observe that once the separating markers are placed the combination is uniquely determined.

Thus, the problem reduces to the problem of placing two markers separating the rubies, pearls and diamonds.

The jeweler ring problem

Solution

Think of the ring as laying out the rubies, pearls and diamonds on a straight line.

Use two markers to separate the three different types of stones, making sure that the total is exactly 5.

Observe that once the separating markers are placed the combination is uniquely determined.

Thus, the problem reduces to the problem of placing two markers separating the rubies, pearls and diamonds.

Think of seven placeholders

The jeweler ring problem

Solution

Think of the ring as laying out the rubies, pearls and diamonds on a straight line.

Use two markers to separate the three different types of stones, making sure that the total is exactly 5.

Observe that once the separating markers are placed the combination is uniquely determined.

Thus, the problem reduces to the problem of placing two markers separating the rubies, pearls and diamonds.

Think of seven placeholders (5 for the stones and 2 for the markers).

The jeweler ring problem

Solution

Think of the ring as laying out the rubies, pearls and diamonds on a straight line.

Use two markers to separate the three different types of stones, making sure that the total is exactly 5.

Observe that once the separating markers are placed the combination is uniquely determined.

Thus, the problem reduces to the problem of placing two markers separating the rubies, pearls and diamonds.

Think of seven placeholders (5 for the stones and 2 for the markers).

We need to choose 2 positions for the markers.

The jeweler ring problem

Solution

Think of the ring as laying out the rubies, pearls and diamonds on a straight line.

Use two markers to separate the three different types of stones, making sure that the total is exactly 5.

Observe that once the separating markers are placed the combination is uniquely determined.

Thus, the problem reduces to the problem of placing two markers separating the rubies, pearls and diamonds.

Think of seven placeholders (5 for the stones and 2 for the markers).

We need to choose 2 positions for the markers.

Clearly, this can be done in

The jeweler ring problem

Solution

Think of the ring as laying out the rubies, pearls and diamonds on a straight line.

Use two markers to separate the three different types of stones, making sure that the total is exactly 5.

Observe that once the separating markers are placed the combination is uniquely determined.

Thus, the problem reduces to the problem of placing two markers separating the rubies, pearls and diamonds.

Think of seven placeholders (5 for the stones and 2 for the markers).

We need to choose 2 positions for the markers.

Clearly, this can be done in $C(7, 2)$ ways.

Generalizing the combinations with repetitions formula

Generalizing the combinations with repetitions formula

Generalization

Generalizing the combinations with repetitions formula

Generalization

Consider the problem of *selecting* r objects out of n distinct objects with repetitions allowed.

Generalizing the combinations with repetitions formula

Generalization

Consider the problem of *selecting* r objects out of n distinct objects with repetitions allowed.

This problem boils down to the problem of placing $(n - 1)$ distinct markers to separate the n distinct types, so that the total number of objects selected is r .

Generalizing the combinations with repetitions formula

Generalization

Consider the problem of *selecting* r objects out of n distinct objects with repetitions allowed.

This problem boils down to the problem of placing $(n - 1)$ distinct markers to separate the n distinct types, so that the total number of objects selected is r .

Think of $(n - 1) + r$ placeholders

Generalizing the combinations with repetitions formula

Generalization

Consider the problem of *selecting* r objects out of n distinct objects with repetitions allowed.

This problem boils down to the problem of placing $(n - 1)$ distinct markers to separate the n distinct types, so that the total number of objects selected is r .

Think of $(n - 1) + r$ placeholders (r for the selected objects and $(n - 1)$ for the markers).

Generalizing the combinations with repetitions formula

Generalization

Consider the problem of *selecting* r objects out of n distinct objects with repetitions allowed.

This problem boils down to the problem of placing $(n - 1)$ distinct markers to separate the n distinct types, so that the total number of objects selected is r .

Think of $(n - 1) + r$ placeholders (r for the selected objects and $(n - 1)$ for the markers).

We need to choose $(n - 1)$ of these positions for the markers.

Generalizing the combinations with repetitions formula

Generalization

Consider the problem of *selecting* r objects out of n distinct objects with repetitions allowed.

This problem boils down to the problem of placing $(n - 1)$ distinct markers to separate the n distinct types, so that the total number of objects selected is r .

Think of $(n - 1) + r$ placeholders (r for the selected objects and $(n - 1)$ for the markers).

We need to choose $(n - 1)$ of these positions for the markers.

Clearly, this can be done in $C(n - 1 + r, n - 1)$

Generalizing the combinations with repetitions formula

Generalization

Consider the problem of *selecting* r objects out of n distinct objects with repetitions allowed.

This problem boils down to the problem of placing $(n - 1)$ distinct markers to separate the n distinct types, so that the total number of objects selected is r .

Think of $(n - 1) + r$ placeholders (r for the selected objects and $(n - 1)$ for the markers).

We need to choose $(n - 1)$ of these positions for the markers.

Clearly, this can be done in $C(n - 1 + r, n - 1) = C(n + r - 1, n - 1)$

Generalizing the combinations with repetitions formula

Generalization

Consider the problem of *selecting* r objects out of n distinct objects with repetitions allowed.

This problem boils down to the problem of placing $(n - 1)$ distinct markers to separate the n distinct types, so that the total number of objects selected is r .

Think of $(n - 1) + r$ placeholders (r for the selected objects and $(n - 1)$ for the markers).

We need to choose $(n - 1)$ of these positions for the markers.

Clearly, this can be done in $C(n - 1 + r, n - 1) = C(n + r - 1, n - 1) = C(n + r - 1, r)$ ways.

Example

Example

Example

In how many ways can six children choose one lollipop from a selection of red, green and yellow lollipops,

Example

Example

In how many ways can six children choose one lollipop from a selection of red, green and yellow lollipops, assuming that we do not care which child gets which?

Example

Example

In how many ways can six children choose one lollipop from a selection of red, green and yellow lollipops, assuming that we do not care which child gets which?

Solution:

Example

Example

In how many ways can six children choose one lollipop from a selection of red, green and yellow lollipops, assuming that we do not care which child gets which?

Solution: Once again think of 2 markers separating the three types of lollipops.

Example

Example

In how many ways can six children choose one lollipop from a selection of red, green and yellow lollipops, assuming that we do not care which child gets which?

Solution: Once again think of 2 markers separating the three types of lollipops.

So we have to place select two positions for the markers from a total of 6 (children) +2 placeholders.

Example

Example

In how many ways can six children choose one lollipop from a selection of red, green and yellow lollipops, assuming that we do not care which child gets which?

Solution: Once again think of 2 markers separating the three types of lollipops.

So we have to place select two positions for the markers from a total of 6 (children) + 2 placeholders.

Clearly, this can be done in $C(6 + 2, 2)$

Example

Example

In how many ways can six children choose one lollipop from a selection of red, green and yellow lollipops, assuming that we do not care which child gets which?

Solution: Once again think of 2 markers separating the three types of lollipops.

So we have to place select two positions for the markers from a total of 6 (children) + 2 placeholders.

Clearly, this can be done in $C(6 + 2, 2) =$

Example

Example

In how many ways can six children choose one lollipop from a selection of red, green and yellow lollipops, assuming that we do not care which child gets which?

Solution: Once again think of 2 markers separating the three types of lollipops.

So we have to place select two positions for the markers from a total of 6 (children) +2 placeholders.

Clearly, this can be done in $C(6 + 2, 2) = C(8, 2)$

Example

Example

In how many ways can six children choose one lollipop from a selection of red, green and yellow lollipops, assuming that we do not care which child gets which?

Solution: Once again think of 2 markers separating the three types of lollipops.

So we have to place select two positions for the markers from a total of 6 (children) + 2 placeholders.

Clearly, this can be done in $C(6 + 2, 2) = C(8, 2) = C(8, 6)$ ways.

Exercises

Exercises

Identities

Exercises

Identities

- 1 Argue that $P(n, 1) + P(n, 2) = n^2, \forall n \geq 2$.

Exercises

Identities

- 1 Argue that $P(n, 1) + P(n, 2) = n^2, \forall n \geq 2$.
- 2 Show that for all $n \geq 1, P(n, n) = P(n, n - 1)$.

Exercises

Identities

- 1 Argue that $P(n, 1) + P(n, 2) = n^2, \forall n \geq 2$.
- 2 Show that for all $n \geq 1, P(n, n) = P(n, n - 1)$.
- 3 Prove that for any $0 \leq r \leq n, C(n, r) = C(n, n - r)$.

Exercises

Identities

- 1 Argue that $P(n, 1) + P(n, 2) = n^2, \forall n \geq 2$.
- 2 Show that for all $n \geq 1, P(n, n) = P(n, n - 1)$.
- 3 Prove that for any $0 \leq r \leq n, C(n, r) = C(n, n - r)$.

Word Problems

Exercises

Identities

- 1 Argue that $P(n, 1) + P(n, 2) = n^2, \forall n \geq 2$.
- 2 Show that for all $n \geq 1, P(n, n) = P(n, n - 1)$.
- 3 Prove that for any $0 \leq r \leq n, C(n, r) = C(n, n - r)$.

Word Problems

- 1 In how many ways, can you seat 11 men and 8 women in a row, so that no two women sit together?

Exercises

Identities

- 1 Argue that $P(n, 1) + P(n, 2) = n^2, \forall n \geq 2$.
- 2 Show that for all $n \geq 1, P(n, n) = P(n, n - 1)$.
- 3 Prove that for any $0 \leq r \leq n, C(n, r) = C(n, n - r)$.

Word Problems

- 1 In how many ways, can you seat 11 men and 8 women in a row, so that no two women sit together?
- 2 A committee of three has to be chosen from five Democrats, three Republicans and four independents.

Exercises

Identities

- 1 Argue that $P(n, 1) + P(n, 2) = n^2, \forall n \geq 2$.
- 2 Show that for all $n \geq 1, P(n, n) = P(n, n - 1)$.
- 3 Prove that for any $0 \leq r \leq n, C(n, r) = C(n, n - r)$.

Word Problems

- 1 In how many ways, can you seat 11 men and 8 women in a row, so that no two women sit together?
- 2 A committee of three has to be chosen from five Democrats, three Republicans and four independents.

In how many ways can the committee be chosen, if it cannot include both Democrats and Republicans?

Motivation

Motivation

Expansions

Motivation

Expansions

(i) $(a + b)^1 =$

Motivation

Expansions

(i) $(a + b)^1 = a + b.$

Motivation

Expansions

(i) $(a + b)^1 = a + b.$

(ii) $(a + b)^2 =$

Motivation

Expansions

(i) $(a + b)^1 = a + b.$

(ii) $(a + b)^2 = a^2 + 2 \cdot a \cdot b + b^2.$

Motivation

Expansions

(i) $(a + b)^1 = a + b.$

(ii) $(a + b)^2 = a^2 + 2 \cdot a \cdot b + b^2.$

(iii) $(a + b)^3 =$

Motivation

Expansions

(i) $(a + b)^1 = a + b.$

(ii) $(a + b)^2 = a^2 + 2 \cdot a \cdot b + b^2.$

(iii) $(a + b)^3 = a^3 + 3 \cdot a^2 \cdot b + 3 \cdot a \cdot b^2 + b^3.$

Motivation

Expansions

(i) $(a + b)^1 = a + b.$

(ii) $(a + b)^2 = a^2 + 2 \cdot a \cdot b + b^2.$

(iii) $(a + b)^3 = a^3 + 3 \cdot a^2 \cdot b + 3 \cdot a \cdot b^2 + b^3.$

(iv) $(a + b)^4 = ???$

Motivation

Expansions

(i) $(a + b)^1 = a + b.$

(ii) $(a + b)^2 = a^2 + 2 \cdot a \cdot b + b^2.$

(iii) $(a + b)^3 = a^3 + 3 \cdot a^2 \cdot b + 3 \cdot a \cdot b^2 + b^3.$

(iv) $(a + b)^4 = ???$

We want a general formula that permits us to write down the terms of $(a + b)^n$ without actual multiplication.

Pascal's Triangle

Pascal's Triangle

The coefficient table

Pascal's Triangle

The coefficient table

Consider the following table:

Pascal's Triangle

The coefficient table

Consider the following table:

Row 0:

$C(0, 0)$

Pascal's Triangle

The coefficient table

Consider the following table:

Row 0:		$C(0, 0)$
Row 1:	$C(1, 0)$	$C(1, 1)$

Pascal's Triangle

The coefficient table

Consider the following table:

Row 0:			$C(0, 0)$		
Row 1:			$C(1, 0)$		$C(1, 1)$
Row 2:		$C(2, 0)$		$C(2, 1)$	$C(2, 2)$

Pascal's Triangle

The coefficient table

Consider the following table:

Row 0:				$C(0, 0)$				
Row 1:				$C(1, 0)$			$C(1, 1)$	
Row 2:			$C(2, 0)$		$C(2, 1)$		$C(2, 2)$	
Row 3:		$C(3, 0)$		$C(3, 1)$		$C(3, 2)$		$C(3, 3)$

Pascal's Triangle

The coefficient table

Consider the following table:

Row 0:				$C(0, 0)$					
Row 1:				$C(1, 0)$			$C(1, 1)$		
Row 2:			$C(2, 0)$		$C(2, 1)$		$C(2, 2)$		
Row 3:		$C(3, 0)$		$C(3, 1)$		$C(3, 2)$		$C(3, 3)$	
	.								
	:								
	.								

Pascal's Triangle

The coefficient table

Consider the following table:

Row 0:				$C(0, 0)$			
Row 1:				$C(1, 0)$		$C(1, 1)$	
Row 2:			$C(2, 0)$		$C(2, 1)$		$C(2, 2)$
Row 3:		$C(3, 0)$		$C(3, 1)$		$C(3, 2)$	$C(3, 3)$
⋮							
⋮							
⋮							
Row n :	$C(n, 0)$		$C(n, 1)$	$C(n, n - 1)$	$C(n, n)$

Pascal's triangle (contd.)

Pascal's triangle (contd.)

The Value Table

Pascal's triangle (contd.)

The Value Table

Writing down the values of the terms gives the following table:

Pascal's triangle (contd.)

The Value Table

Writing down the values of the terms gives the following table:

Row 0:	1
--------	---

Pascal's triangle (contd.)

The Value Table

Writing down the values of the terms gives the following table:

Row 0:	1	
Row 1:	1	1

Pascal's triangle (contd.)

The Value Table

Writing down the values of the terms gives the following table:

Row 0:		1	
Row 1:		1	1
Row 2:	1	2	1

Pascal's triangle (contd.)

The Value Table

Writing down the values of the terms gives the following table:

Row 0:			1		
Row 1:			1		1
Row 2:			1	2	1
Row 3:		1	3	3	1

Pascal's triangle (contd.)

The Value Table

Writing down the values of the terms gives the following table:

Row 0:			1		
Row 1:			1		1
Row 2:			1	2	1
Row 3:		1	3	3	1
		⋮			
		⋮			

Pascal's triangle (contd.)

The Value Table

Writing down the values of the terms gives the following table:

Row 0:			1		
Row 1:			1		1
Row 2:			1	2	1
Row 3:		1	3	3	1
⋮					
⋮					
Row n :	1	n	...	n	1

Pascal's formula

Pascal's formula

Theorem

Pascal's formula

Theorem

$$C(n, k) = C(n-1, k-1) + C(n-1, k), 1 \leq k \leq n-1.$$

Pascal's formula

Theorem

$$C(n, k) = C(n-1, k-1) + C(n-1, k), 1 \leq k \leq n-1.$$

Proof.

Pascal's formula

Theorem

$$C(n, k) = C(n-1, k-1) + C(n-1, k), 1 \leq k \leq n-1.$$

Proof.

Observe that,

Pascal's formula

Theorem

$$C(n, k) = C(n-1, k-1) + C(n-1, k), 1 \leq k \leq n-1.$$

Proof.

Observe that,

$$C(n-1, k-1) + C(n-1, k) =$$

Pascal's formula

Theorem

$$C(n, k) = C(n-1, k-1) + C(n-1, k), 1 \leq k \leq n-1.$$

Proof.

Observe that,

$$C(n-1, k-1) + C(n-1, k) = \frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)! \cdot [(n-1)-(k-1)]!} + \frac{(n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-1-k)!}$$

Pascal's formula

Theorem

$$C(n, k) = C(n-1, k-1) + C(n-1, k), 1 \leq k \leq n-1.$$

Proof.

Observe that,

$$\begin{aligned} C(n-1, k-1) + C(n-1, k) &= \frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)! \cdot [(n-1)-(k-1)]!} + \frac{(n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-1-k)!} \\ &= \frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)! \cdot (n-k)!} + \frac{(n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-1-k)!} \end{aligned}$$

Pascal's formula

Theorem

$$C(n, k) = C(n-1, k-1) + C(n-1, k), 1 \leq k \leq n-1.$$

Proof.

Observe that,

$$\begin{aligned} C(n-1, k-1) + C(n-1, k) &= \frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)! \cdot [(n-1-(k-1))!]} + \frac{(n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-1-k)!} \\ &= \frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)! \cdot (n-k)!} + \frac{(n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-1-k)!} \\ &= \frac{k \cdot (n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-k)!} + \frac{(n-k) \cdot (n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-k)!} \end{aligned}$$

Pascal's formula

Theorem

$$C(n, k) = C(n-1, k-1) + C(n-1, k), 1 \leq k \leq n-1.$$

Proof.

Observe that,

$$\begin{aligned} C(n-1, k-1) + C(n-1, k) &= \frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)! \cdot [(n-1-(k-1))!]} + \frac{(n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-1-k)!} \\ &= \frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)! \cdot (n-k)!} + \frac{(n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-1-k)!} \\ &= \frac{k \cdot (n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-k)!} + \frac{(n-k) \cdot (n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-k)!} \\ &= \frac{(n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-k)!} [k + (n-k)] \end{aligned}$$

Pascal's formula

Theorem

$$C(n, k) = C(n-1, k-1) + C(n-1, k), 1 \leq k \leq n-1.$$

Proof.

Observe that,

$$\begin{aligned} C(n-1, k-1) + C(n-1, k) &= \frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)! \cdot [(n-1-(k-1))!]} + \frac{(n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-1-k)!} \\ &= \frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)! \cdot (n-k)!} + \frac{(n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-1-k)!} \\ &= \frac{k \cdot (n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-k)!} + \frac{(n-k) \cdot (n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-k)!} \\ &= \frac{(n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-k)!} [k + (n-k)] \\ &= \frac{n \cdot (n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-k)!} \end{aligned}$$

Pascal's formula

Theorem

$$C(n, k) = C(n-1, k-1) + C(n-1, k), 1 \leq k \leq n-1.$$

Proof.

Observe that,

$$\begin{aligned} C(n-1, k-1) + C(n-1, k) &= \frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)! \cdot [(n-1-(k-1))!]} + \frac{(n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-1-k)!} \\ &= \frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)! \cdot (n-k)!} + \frac{(n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-1-k)!} \\ &= \frac{k \cdot (n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-k)!} + \frac{(n-k) \cdot (n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-k)!} \\ &= \frac{(n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-k)!} [k + (n-k)] \\ &= \frac{n \cdot (n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-k)!} \\ &= \frac{n!}{k! \cdot (n-k)!} \end{aligned}$$

Pascal's formula

Theorem

$$C(n, k) = C(n-1, k-1) + C(n-1, k), 1 \leq k \leq n-1.$$

Proof.

Observe that,

$$\begin{aligned} C(n-1, k-1) + C(n-1, k) &= \frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)! \cdot [(n-1-(k-1))!]} + \frac{(n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-1-k)!} \\ &= \frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)! \cdot (n-k)!} + \frac{(n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-1-k)!} \\ &= \frac{k \cdot (n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-k)!} + \frac{(n-k) \cdot (n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-k)!} \\ &= \frac{(n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-k)!} [k + (n-k)] \\ &= \frac{n \cdot (n-1)!}{k! \cdot (n-k)!} \\ &= \frac{n!}{k! \cdot (n-k)!} \\ &= C(n, k). \end{aligned}$$



Alternative Proof

Alternative Proof

A second Proof

Alternative Proof

A second Proof

Alternative Proof

A second Proof

- (i) Observe that $C(n, k)$ represents the number of ways in which k objects can be selected from n objects.

Alternative Proof

A second Proof

- (i) Observe that $C(n, k)$ represents the number of ways in which k objects can be selected from n objects.
- (ii) Focus on a particular object, say o .

Alternative Proof

A second Proof

- (i) Observe that $C(n, k)$ represents the number of ways in which k objects can be selected from n objects.
- (ii) Focus on a particular object, say o .
- (iii) Note that each selection of k objects from the n objects, either includes o or it does not.

Alternative Proof

A second Proof

- (i) Observe that $C(n, k)$ represents the number of ways in which k objects can be selected from n objects.
- (ii) Focus on a particular object, say o .
- (iii) Note that each selection of k objects from the n objects, either includes o or it does not.
- (iv) Let T_1 denote the number of ways in which k objects are selected from the n objects, with o definitely included.

Alternative Proof

A second Proof

- (i) Observe that $C(n, k)$ represents the number of ways in which k objects can be selected from n objects.
- (ii) Focus on a particular object, say o .
- (iii) Note that each selection of k objects from the n objects, either includes o or it does not.
- (iv) Let T_1 denote the number of ways in which k objects are selected from the n objects, with o definitely included.
- (v) Since o is definitely included, this means that we have to choose the remaining $(k - 1)$ objects from the remaining $(n - 1)$ objects.

Alternative Proof

A second Proof

- (i) Observe that $C(n, k)$ represents the number of ways in which k objects can be selected from n objects.
- (ii) Focus on a particular object, say o .
- (iii) Note that each selection of k objects from the n objects, either includes o or it does not.
- (iv) Let T_1 denote the number of ways in which k objects are selected from the n objects, with o definitely included.
- (v) Since o is definitely included, this means that we have to choose the remaining $(k - 1)$ objects from the remaining $(n - 1)$ objects. It follows that
 $T_1 =$

Alternative Proof

A second Proof

- (i) Observe that $C(n, k)$ represents the number of ways in which k objects can be selected from n objects.
- (ii) Focus on a particular object, say o .
- (iii) Note that each selection of k objects from the n objects, either includes o or it does not.
- (iv) Let T_1 denote the number of ways in which k objects are selected from the n objects, with o definitely included.
- (v) Since o is definitely included, this means that we have to choose the remaining $(k - 1)$ objects from the remaining $(n - 1)$ objects. It follows that $T_1 = C(n - 1, k - 1)$.

Alternative Proof

A second Proof

- (i) Observe that $C(n, k)$ represents the number of ways in which k objects can be selected from n objects.
- (ii) Focus on a particular object, say o .
- (iii) Note that each selection of k objects from the n objects, either includes o or it does not.
- (iv) Let T_1 denote the number of ways in which k objects are selected from the n objects, with o definitely included.
- (v) Since o is definitely included, this means that we have to choose the remaining $(k - 1)$ objects from the remaining $(n - 1)$ objects. It follows that $T_1 = C(n - 1, k - 1)$.
- (vi) Let T_2 denote the number of ways in which k objects are selected from the n objects, with o definitely excluded.

Alternative Proof

A second Proof

- (i) Observe that $C(n, k)$ represents the number of ways in which k objects can be selected from n objects.
- (ii) Focus on a particular object, say o .
- (iii) Note that each selection of k objects from the n objects, either includes o or it does not.
- (iv) Let T_1 denote the number of ways in which k objects are selected from the n objects, with o definitely included.
- (v) Since o is definitely included, this means that we have to choose the remaining $(k - 1)$ objects from the remaining $(n - 1)$ objects. It follows that $T_1 = C(n - 1, k - 1)$.
- (vi) Let T_2 denote the number of ways in which k objects are selected from the n objects, with o definitely excluded.
- (vii) Since o is definitely excluded, all k objects must be selected from the remaining $(n - 1)$ objects. It follows that $T_2 =$

Alternative Proof

A second Proof

- (i) Observe that $C(n, k)$ represents the number of ways in which k objects can be selected from n objects.
- (ii) Focus on a particular object, say o .
- (iii) Note that each selection of k objects from the n objects, either includes o or it does not.
- (iv) Let T_1 denote the number of ways in which k objects are selected from the n objects, with o definitely included.
- (v) Since o is definitely included, this means that we have to choose the remaining $(k - 1)$ objects from the remaining $(n - 1)$ objects. It follows that $T_1 = C(n - 1, k - 1)$.
- (vi) Let T_2 denote the number of ways in which k objects are selected from the n objects, with o definitely excluded.
- (vii) Since o is definitely excluded, all k objects must be selected from the remaining $(n - 1)$ objects. It follows that $T_2 = C(n - 1, k)$.

Alternative Proof

A second Proof

- (i) Observe that $C(n, k)$ represents the number of ways in which k objects can be selected from n objects.
- (ii) Focus on a particular object, say o .
- (iii) Note that each selection of k objects from the n objects, either includes o or it does not.
- (iv) Let T_1 denote the number of ways in which k objects are selected from the n objects, with o definitely included.
- (v) Since o is definitely included, this means that we have to choose the remaining $(k - 1)$ objects from the remaining $(n - 1)$ objects. It follows that $T_1 = C(n - 1, k - 1)$.
- (vi) Let T_2 denote the number of ways in which k objects are selected from the n objects, with o definitely excluded.
- (vii) Since o is definitely excluded, all k objects must be selected from the remaining $(n - 1)$ objects. It follows that $T_2 = C(n - 1, k)$.
- (viii) Using the addition principle, $C(n, k) = T_1 + T_2 = C(n - 1, k - 1) + C(n - 1, k)$.

Note on Proof Techniques

Note on Proof Techniques

Note

Note on Proof Techniques

Note

The above proof is called a combinatorial proof and is always preferred on account of its elegance.

Note on Proof Techniques

Note

The above proof is called a combinatorial proof and is always preferred on account of its elegance.

Recall the combinatorial proof for proving that $C(n, r) = C(n, n - r)$.

The Theorem

The Theorem

Theorem

The Theorem

Theorem

$$(a + b)^n =$$

The Theorem

Theorem

$$(a + b)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot a^{n-i} \cdot b^i, \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

The Theorem

Theorem

$$(a + b)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot a^{n-i} \cdot b^i, \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

Proof.

The Theorem

Theorem

$$(a + b)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot a^{n-i} \cdot b^i, \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

Proof.

Let $P(n)$ denote the proposition $(a + b)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot a^{n-i} \cdot b^i$.

The Theorem

Theorem

$$(a + b)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot a^{n-i} \cdot b^i, \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

Proof.

Let $P(n)$ denote the proposition $(a + b)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot a^{n-i} \cdot b^i$. We are required to prove $(\forall n)P(n)$.

The Theorem

Theorem

$$(a + b)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot a^{n-i} \cdot b^i, \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

Proof.

Let $P(n)$ denote the proposition $(a + b)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot a^{n-i} \cdot b^i$. We are required to prove $(\forall n)P(n)$. We use mathematical induction.

The Theorem

Theorem

$$(a + b)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot a^{n-i} \cdot b^i, \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

Proof.

Let $P(n)$ denote the proposition $(a + b)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot a^{n-i} \cdot b^i$. We are required to prove $(\forall n)P(n)$. We use mathematical induction.

BASIS:

The Theorem

Theorem

$$(a + b)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot a^{n-i} \cdot b^i, \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

Proof.

Let $P(n)$ denote the proposition $(a + b)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot a^{n-i} \cdot b^i$. We are required to prove $(\forall n)P(n)$. We use mathematical induction.

BASIS: At $n = 0$, the LHS is $(a + b)^0 = 1$

The Theorem

Theorem

$$(a + b)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot a^{n-i} \cdot b^i, \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

Proof.

Let $P(n)$ denote the proposition $(a + b)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot a^{n-i} \cdot b^i$. We are required to prove $(\forall n)P(n)$. We use mathematical induction.

BASIS: At $n = 0$, the LHS is $(a + b)^0 = 1$ and the RHS is $\sum_{i=0}^0 C(0, i) \cdot a^{0-i} \cdot b^i$.

The Theorem

Theorem

$$(a + b)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot a^{n-i} \cdot b^i, \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

Proof.

Let $P(n)$ denote the proposition $(a + b)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot a^{n-i} \cdot b^i$. We are required to prove $(\forall n)P(n)$. We use mathematical induction.

BASIS: At $n = 0$, the LHS is $(a + b)^0 = 1$ and the RHS is $\sum_{i=0}^0 C(0, i) \cdot a^{0-i} \cdot b^i$.

Since the only value for i is also 0, the RHS is $C(0, 0) \cdot a^0 \cdot b^0 = 1$.

The Theorem

Theorem

$$(a + b)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot a^{n-i} \cdot b^i, \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

Proof.

Let $P(n)$ denote the proposition $(a + b)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot a^{n-i} \cdot b^i$. We are required to prove $(\forall n)P(n)$. We use mathematical induction.

BASIS: At $n = 0$, the LHS is $(a + b)^0 = 1$ and the RHS is $\sum_{i=0}^0 C(0, i) \cdot a^{0-i} \cdot b^i$.

Since the only value for i is also 0, the RHS is $C(0, 0) \cdot a^0 \cdot b^0 = 1$.

Thus, LHS = RHS and the basis is proven. □

Proof of Binomial Theorem

Proof of Binomial Theorem

Proof.

Proof of Binomial Theorem

Proof.

INDUCTIVE STEP: Assume that $P(k)$ is true, for some $k \geq 0$, i.e., assume that

Proof of Binomial Theorem

Proof.

INDUCTIVE STEP: Assume that $P(k)$ is true, for some $k \geq 0$, i.e., assume that

$$(a + b)^k =$$

Proof of Binomial Theorem

Proof.

INDUCTIVE STEP: Assume that $P(k)$ is true, for some $k \geq 0$, i.e., assume that

$$(a + b)^k = \sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k-i} \cdot b^i, \text{ for some } k \geq 0.$$

Proof of Binomial Theorem

Proof.

INDUCTIVE STEP: Assume that $P(k)$ is true, for some $k \geq 0$, i.e., assume that

$$(a + b)^k = \sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k-i} \cdot b^i, \text{ for some } k \geq 0.$$

At $n = k + 1$, we have,

Proof of Binomial Theorem

Proof.

INDUCTIVE STEP: Assume that $P(k)$ is true, for some $k \geq 0$, i.e., assume that

$$(a + b)^k = \sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k-i} \cdot b^i, \text{ for some } k \geq 0.$$

At $n = k + 1$, we have,

$$LHS =$$

Proof of Binomial Theorem

Proof.

INDUCTIVE STEP: Assume that $P(k)$ is true, for some $k \geq 0$, i.e., assume that

$$(a + b)^k = \sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k-i} \cdot b^i, \text{ for some } k \geq 0.$$

At $n = k + 1$, we have,

$$LHS = (a + b)^{k+1}$$

Proof of Binomial Theorem

Proof.

INDUCTIVE STEP: Assume that $P(k)$ is true, for some $k \geq 0$, i.e., assume that

$$(a + b)^k = \sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k-i} \cdot b^i, \text{ for some } k \geq 0.$$

At $n = k + 1$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= (a + b)^{k+1} \\ &= (a + b)^k \cdot (a + b) \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Binomial Theorem

Proof.

INDUCTIVE STEP: Assume that $P(k)$ is true, for some $k \geq 0$, i.e., assume that

$$(a + b)^k = \sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k-i} \cdot b^i, \text{ for some } k \geq 0.$$

At $n = k + 1$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= (a + b)^{k+1} \\ &= (a + b)^k \cdot (a + b) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k-i} \cdot b^i \right) \cdot (a + b), \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Binomial Theorem

Proof.

INDUCTIVE STEP: Assume that $P(k)$ is true, for some $k \geq 0$, i.e., assume that

$$(a + b)^k = \sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k-i} \cdot b^i, \text{ for some } k \geq 0.$$

At $n = k + 1$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= (a + b)^{k+1} \\ &= (a + b)^k \cdot (a + b) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k-i} \cdot b^i \right) \cdot (a + b), \text{ using the inductive hypothesis} \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Binomial Theorem

Proof.

INDUCTIVE STEP: Assume that $P(k)$ is true, for some $k \geq 0$, i.e., assume that

$$(a + b)^k = \sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k-i} \cdot b^i, \text{ for some } k \geq 0.$$

At $n = k + 1$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= (a + b)^{k+1} \\ &= (a + b)^k \cdot (a + b) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k-i} \cdot b^i \right) \cdot (a + b), \text{ using the inductive hypothesis} \\ &= a \cdot \left(\sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k-i} \cdot b^i \right) + b \cdot \left(\sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k-i} \cdot b^i \right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Binomial Theorem

Proof.

INDUCTIVE STEP: Assume that $P(k)$ is true, for some $k \geq 0$, i.e., assume that

$$(a + b)^k = \sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k-i} \cdot b^i, \text{ for some } k \geq 0.$$

At $n = k + 1$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= (a + b)^{k+1} \\ &= (a + b)^k \cdot (a + b) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k-i} \cdot b^i \right) \cdot (a + b), \text{ using the inductive hypothesis} \\ &= a \cdot \left(\sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k-i} \cdot b^i \right) + b \cdot \left(\sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k-i} \cdot b^i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k+1-i} \cdot b^i + \sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k-i} \cdot b^{i+1} \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Binomial Theorem

Proof.

INDUCTIVE STEP: Assume that $P(k)$ is true, for some $k \geq 0$, i.e., assume that

$$(a + b)^k = \sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k-i} \cdot b^i, \text{ for some } k \geq 0.$$

At $n = k + 1$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= (a + b)^{k+1} \\ &= (a + b)^k \cdot (a + b) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k-i} \cdot b^i \right) \cdot (a + b), \text{ using the inductive hypothesis} \\ &= a \cdot \left(\sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k-i} \cdot b^i \right) + b \cdot \left(\sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k-i} \cdot b^i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k+1-i} \cdot b^i + \sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k-i} \cdot b^{i+1} \end{aligned}$$



Proof (contd.)

Proof.

Proof (contd.)

Proof.

$$LHS = C(k, 0) \cdot a^{k+1} \cdot b^0 + \sum_{i=1}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k+1-i} \cdot b^i + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} C(k, i) \cdot a^{k-i} \cdot b^{i+1} + C(k, k) \cdot a^0 \cdot b^{k+1}$$

Proof (contd.)

Proof.

$$LHS = C(k, 0) \cdot a^{k+1} \cdot b^0 + \sum_{i=1}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k+1-i} \cdot b^i + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} C(k, i) \cdot a^{k-i} \cdot b^{i+1} + C(k, k) \cdot a^0 \cdot b^{k+1}$$

We focus on the quantity

$$(\mathbf{F}) \sum_{i=1}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k+1-i} \cdot b^i + (\mathbf{S}) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} C(k, i) \cdot a^{k-i} \cdot b^{i+1} \quad (1)$$

Proof (contd.)

Proof.

$$LHS = C(k, 0) \cdot a^{k+1} \cdot b^0 + \sum_{i=1}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k+1-i} \cdot b^i + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} C(k, i) \cdot a^{k-i} \cdot b^{i+1} + C(k, k) \cdot a^0 \cdot b^{k+1}$$

We focus on the quantity

$$(\mathbf{F}) \sum_{i=1}^k C(k, i) \cdot a^{k+1-i} \cdot b^i + (\mathbf{S}) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} C(k, i) \cdot a^{k-i} \cdot b^{i+1} \quad (1)$$

Observe that the first k terms in \mathbf{F} are $a^k \cdot b^1, a^{k-1} \cdot b^2, \dots, a^1 \cdot b^k$, while the first k terms in \mathbf{S} are also $a^k \cdot b^1, a^{k-1} \cdot b^2, \dots, a^1 \cdot b^k$. □

Proof (contd.)

Proof.

In other words, the terms in **F** and **S** are identical, except for the coefficients.

Proof (contd.)

Proof.

In other words, the terms in **F** and **S** are identical, except for the coefficients.

Further, all the terms can be generated using the term formula, $a^{k+1-p} \cdot b^p$, $1 \leq p \leq k$.

Proof (contd.)

Proof.

In other words, the terms in **F** and **S** are identical, except for the coefficients.

Further, all the terms can be generated using the term formula, $a^{k+1-p} \cdot b^p$, $1 \leq p \leq k$.

Observe that the coefficient of $a^{k+1-p} \cdot b^p$ is $C(k, p)$ in **F** and $C(k, p - 1)$ in **S**. (This requires some thought!)

Proof (contd.)

Proof.

In other words, the terms in **F** and **S** are identical, except for the coefficients.

Further, all the terms can be generated using the term formula, $a^{k+1-p} \cdot b^p$, $1 \leq p \leq k$.

Observe that the coefficient of $a^{k+1-p} \cdot b^p$ is $C(k, p)$ in **F** and $C(k, p - 1)$ in **S**. (This requires some thought!)

Accordingly, the coefficient of $a^{k+1-p} \cdot b^p$ in the sum (**F** + **S**) is $C(k, p) + C(k, p - 1)$,

Proof (contd.)

Proof.

In other words, the terms in **F** and **S** are identical, except for the coefficients.

Further, all the terms can be generated using the term formula, $a^{k+1-p} \cdot b^p$, $1 \leq p \leq k$.

Observe that the coefficient of $a^{k+1-p} \cdot b^p$ is $C(k, p)$ in **F** and $C(k, p - 1)$ in **S**. (This requires some thought!)

Accordingly, the coefficient of $a^{k+1-p} \cdot b^p$ in the sum (**F** + **S**) is $C(k, p) + C(k, p - 1)$, which is

Proof (contd.)

Proof.

In other words, the terms in **F** and **S** are identical, except for the coefficients.

Further, all the terms can be generated using the term formula, $a^{k+1-p} \cdot b^p$, $1 \leq p \leq k$.

Observe that the coefficient of $a^{k+1-p} \cdot b^p$ is $C(k, p)$ in **F** and $C(k, p - 1)$ in **S**. (This requires some thought!)

Accordingly, the coefficient of $a^{k+1-p} \cdot b^p$ in the sum (**F** + **S**) is $C(k, p) + C(k, p - 1)$, which is $C(k + 1, p)$,

Proof (contd.)

Proof.

In other words, the terms in **F** and **S** are identical, except for the coefficients.

Further, all the terms can be generated using the term formula, $a^{k+1-p} \cdot b^p$, $1 \leq p \leq k$.

Observe that the coefficient of $a^{k+1-p} \cdot b^p$ is $C(k, p)$ in **F** and $C(k, p - 1)$ in **S**. (This requires some thought!)

Accordingly, the coefficient of $a^{k+1-p} \cdot b^p$ in the sum (**F** + **S**) is $C(k, p) + C(k, p - 1)$, which is $C(k + 1, p)$, using Pascal's formula.

Proof (contd.)

Proof.

In other words, the terms in **F** and **S** are identical, except for the coefficients.

Further, all the terms can be generated using the term formula, $a^{k+1-p} \cdot b^p$, $1 \leq p \leq k$.

Observe that the coefficient of $a^{k+1-p} \cdot b^p$ is $C(k, p)$ in **F** and $C(k, p - 1)$ in **S**. (This requires some thought!)

Accordingly, the coefficient of $a^{k+1-p} \cdot b^p$ in the sum (**F** + **S**) is $C(k, p) + C(k, p - 1)$, which is $C(k + 1, p)$, using Pascal's formula.

Thus, the LHS can be written as:

Proof (contd.)

Proof.

In other words, the terms in **F** and **S** are identical, except for the coefficients.

Further, all the terms can be generated using the term formula, $a^{k+1-p} \cdot b^p$, $1 \leq p \leq k$.

Observe that the coefficient of $a^{k+1-p} \cdot b^p$ is $C(k, p)$ in **F** and $C(k, p - 1)$ in **S**. (This requires some thought!)

Accordingly, the coefficient of $a^{k+1-p} \cdot b^p$ in the sum (**F** + **S**) is $C(k, p) + C(k, p - 1)$, which is $C(k + 1, p)$, using Pascal's formula.

Thus, the LHS can be written as:

$$C(k, 0) \cdot a^{k+1} \cdot b^0 + \sum_{i=1}^k C(k + 1, i) \cdot a^{k+1-i} \cdot b^i + C(k, k) \cdot a^0 \cdot b^{k+1}$$

Proof (contd.)

Proof.

In other words, the terms in **F** and **S** are identical, except for the coefficients.

Further, all the terms can be generated using the term formula, $a^{k+1-p} \cdot b^p$, $1 \leq p \leq k$.

Observe that the coefficient of $a^{k+1-p} \cdot b^p$ is $C(k, p)$ in **F** and $C(k, p - 1)$ in **S**. (This requires some thought!)

Accordingly, the coefficient of $a^{k+1-p} \cdot b^p$ in the sum (**F** + **S**) is $C(k, p) + C(k, p - 1)$, which is $C(k + 1, p)$, using Pascal's formula.

Thus, the LHS can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} & C(k, 0) \cdot a^{k+1} \cdot b^0 + \sum_{i=1}^k C(k+1, i) \cdot a^{k+1-i} \cdot b^i + C(k, k) \cdot a^0 \cdot b^{k+1} \\ = & C(k+1, 0) \cdot a^{k+1} \cdot b^0 + \sum_{i=1}^k C(k+1, i) \cdot a^{k+1-i} \cdot b^i + C(k+1, k+1) \cdot a^0 \cdot b^{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

Proof (contd.)

Proof.

In other words, the terms in **F** and **S** are identical, except for the coefficients.

Further, all the terms can be generated using the term formula, $a^{k+1-p} \cdot b^p$, $1 \leq p \leq k$.

Observe that the coefficient of $a^{k+1-p} \cdot b^p$ is $C(k, p)$ in **F** and $C(k, p - 1)$ in **S**. (This requires some thought!)

Accordingly, the coefficient of $a^{k+1-p} \cdot b^p$ in the sum (**F** + **S**) is $C(k, p) + C(k, p - 1)$, which is $C(k + 1, p)$, using Pascal's formula.

Thus, the LHS can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & C(k, 0) \cdot a^{k+1} \cdot b^0 + \sum_{i=1}^k C(k+1, i) \cdot a^{k+1-i} \cdot b^i + C(k, k) \cdot a^0 \cdot b^{k+1} \\
 = & C(k+1, 0) \cdot a^{k+1} \cdot b^0 + \sum_{i=1}^k C(k+1, i) \cdot a^{k+1-i} \cdot b^i + C(k+1, k+1) \cdot a^0 \cdot b^{k+1} \\
 & \text{since } C(k, 0) = C(k, k) = C(k+1, 0) = C(k+1, k+1) = 1
 \end{aligned}$$



Proof (contd.)

Proof.

Proof (contd.)

Proof.

It follows that

$$LHS = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} C(k+1, i) \cdot a^{k+1-i} \cdot b^i$$

Proof (contd.)

Proof.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} C(k+1, i) \cdot a^{k+1-i} \cdot b^i \\ &= RHS \end{aligned}$$

Proof (contd.)

Proof.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} C(k+1, i) \cdot a^{k+1-i} \cdot b^i \\ &= RHS \end{aligned}$$

We have thus shown that $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$ and hence by applying the first principle of mathematical induction, we can conclude that $P(n)$ is true, for all $n \geq 0$.



Application

Application

Example

Application

Example

Expand $(x - 3)^4$.

Application

Example

Expand $(x - 3)^4$.

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned}(x - 3)^4 &= C(4, 0) \cdot x^4 \cdot (-3)^0 + C(4, 1) \cdot x^3 \cdot (-3)^1 + C(4, 2) \cdot x^2 \cdot (-3)^2 \\ &\quad + C(4, 3) \cdot x^1 \cdot (-3)^3 + C(4, 4) \cdot x^0 \cdot (-3)^4\end{aligned}$$

Application

Example

Expand $(x - 3)^4$.

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned}(x - 3)^4 &= C(4, 0) \cdot x^4 \cdot (-3)^0 + C(4, 1) \cdot x^3 \cdot (-3)^1 + C(4, 2) \cdot x^2 \cdot (-3)^2 \\ &\quad + C(4, 3) \cdot x^1 \cdot (-3)^3 + C(4, 4) \cdot x^0 \cdot (-3)^4 \\ &= x^4 + 4 \cdot x^3 \cdot (-3) + 6 \cdot x^2 \cdot (9) + 4 \cdot x \cdot (-27) + 81\end{aligned}$$

Application

Example

Expand $(x - 3)^4$.

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned}(x - 3)^4 &= C(4, 0) \cdot x^4 \cdot (-3)^0 + C(4, 1) \cdot x^3 \cdot (-3)^1 + C(4, 2) \cdot x^2 \cdot (-3)^2 \\&\quad + C(4, 3) \cdot x^1 \cdot (-3)^3 + C(4, 4) \cdot x^0 \cdot (-3)^4 \\&= x^4 + 4 \cdot x^3 \cdot (-3) + 6 \cdot x^2 \cdot (9) + 4 \cdot x \cdot (-27) + 81 \\&= x^4 - 12 \cdot x^3 + 54 \cdot x^2 - 108 \cdot x + 81\end{aligned}$$

One more example

One more example

Example

One more example

Example

Show that

One more example

Example

Show that

$$\sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) = 2^n$$

Proof

Proof

Proof using the binomial theorem

Proof

Proof using the binomial theorem

As per the binomial theorem,

Proof

Proof using the binomial theorem

As per the binomial theorem,

$$(1 + x)^n =$$

Proof

Proof using the binomial theorem

As per the binomial theorem,

$$(1 + x)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot 1^{n-i} \cdot x^i$$

Proof

Proof using the binomial theorem

As per the binomial theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}(1+x)^n &= \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot 1^{n-i} \cdot x^i \\ &= C(n, 0) \cdot 1^n \cdot x^0 + C(n, 1)1^{n-1} \cdot x^1 + \dots C(n, n)1^0 \cdot x^n\end{aligned}$$

Proof

Proof using the binomial theorem

As per the binomial theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}(1+x)^n &= \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot 1^{n-i} \cdot x^i \\ &= C(n, 0) \cdot 1^n \cdot x^0 + C(n, 1)1^{n-1} \cdot x^1 + \dots C(n, n)1^0 \cdot x^n\end{aligned}$$

Proof

Proof using the binomial theorem

As per the binomial theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}(1+x)^n &= \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot 1^{n-i} \cdot x^i \\ &= C(n, 0) \cdot 1^n \cdot x^0 + C(n, 1)1^{n-1} \cdot x^1 + \dots C(n, n)1^0 \cdot x^n\end{aligned}$$

Substituting $x = 1$, we get,

Proof

Proof using the binomial theorem

As per the binomial theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}(1+x)^n &= \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot 1^{n-i} \cdot x^i \\ &= C(n, 0) \cdot 1^n \cdot x^0 + C(n, 1)1^{n-1} \cdot x^1 + \dots C(n, n)1^0 \cdot x^n\end{aligned}$$

Substituting $x = 1$, we get,

$$(1+1)^n =$$

Proof

Proof using the binomial theorem

As per the binomial theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}(1+x)^n &= \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot 1^{n-i} \cdot x^i \\ &= C(n, 0) \cdot 1^n \cdot x^0 + C(n, 1)1^{n-1} \cdot x^1 + \dots C(n, n)1^0 \cdot x^n\end{aligned}$$

Substituting $x = 1$, we get,

$$(1+1)^n = C(n, 0) \cdot (1) + C(n, 1) \cdot (1) + \dots C(n, n) \cdot (1)$$

Proof

Proof using the binomial theorem

As per the binomial theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}(1+x)^n &= \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot 1^{n-i} \cdot x^i \\ &= C(n, 0) \cdot 1^n \cdot x^0 + C(n, 1)1^{n-1} \cdot x^1 + \dots C(n, n)1^0 \cdot x^n\end{aligned}$$

Substituting $x = 1$, we get,

$$\begin{aligned}(1+1)^n &= C(n, 0) \cdot (1) + C(n, 1) \cdot (1) + \dots C(n, n) \cdot (1) \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) &= \end{aligned}$$

Proof

Proof using the binomial theorem

As per the binomial theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}(1+x)^n &= \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot 1^{n-i} \cdot x^i \\ &= C(n, 0) \cdot 1^n \cdot x^0 + C(n, 1)1^{n-1} \cdot x^1 + \dots C(n, n)1^0 \cdot x^n\end{aligned}$$

Substituting $x = 1$, we get,

$$\begin{aligned}(1+1)^n &= C(n, 0) \cdot (1) + C(n, 1) \cdot (1) + \dots C(n, n) \cdot (1) \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) &= 2^n\end{aligned}$$

An alternate proof

An alternate proof

Proof using combinatorial arguments

An alternate proof

Proof using combinatorial arguments

- 1 Consider a set S having n elements.

An alternate proof

Proof using combinatorial arguments

- 1 Consider a set S having n elements.
- 2 $C(n, i)$ represents the number of ways in which i elements can be selected from n elements.

An alternate proof

Proof using combinatorial arguments

- 1 Consider a set S having n elements.
- 2 $C(n, i)$ represents the number of ways in which i elements can be selected from n elements.
- 3 It follows that $C(n, i)$ represents the number of distinct subsets of S , which have cardinality i .

An alternate proof

Proof using combinatorial arguments

- 1 Consider a set S having n elements.
- 2 $C(n, i)$ represents the number of ways in which i elements can be selected from n elements.
- 3 It follows that $C(n, i)$ represents the number of distinct subsets of S , which have cardinality i .
- 4 The LHS is therefore counting the sum of the number of subsets of cardinality 0, the number of subsets of cardinality 1 and so on.

An alternate proof

Proof using combinatorial arguments

- 1 Consider a set S having n elements.
- 2 $C(n, i)$ represents the number of ways in which i elements can be selected from n elements.
- 3 It follows that $C(n, i)$ represents the number of distinct subsets of S , which have cardinality i .
- 4 The LHS is therefore counting the sum of the number of subsets of cardinality 0, the number of subsets of cardinality 1 and so on.
- 5 However, this represents the total number of subsets of S .

An alternate proof

Proof using combinatorial arguments

- 1 Consider a set S having n elements.
- 2 $C(n, i)$ represents the number of ways in which i elements can be selected from n elements.
- 3 It follows that $C(n, i)$ represents the number of distinct subsets of S , which have cardinality i .
- 4 The LHS is therefore counting the sum of the number of subsets of cardinality 0, the number of subsets of cardinality 1 and so on.
- 5 However, this represents the total number of subsets of S .
- 6 But we know that the total number of subsets of S is precisely the cardinality of the power set of S ,

An alternate proof

Proof using combinatorial arguments

- 1 Consider a set S having n elements.
- 2 $C(n, i)$ represents the number of ways in which i elements can be selected from n elements.
- 3 It follows that $C(n, i)$ represents the number of distinct subsets of S , which have cardinality i .
- 4 The LHS is therefore counting the sum of the number of subsets of cardinality 0, the number of subsets of cardinality 1 and so on.
- 5 However, this represents the total number of subsets of S .
- 6 But we know that the total number of subsets of S is precisely the cardinality of the power set of S , i.e., 2^n .

A third proof

A third proof

Proof using induction

A third proof

Proof using induction

BASIS: At $n = 0$,

A third proof

Proof using induction

BASIS: At $n = 0$,

$$LHS =$$

A third proof

Proof using induction

BASIS: At $n = 0$,

$$LHS = \sum_{i=0}^0 C(0, 0)$$

A third proof

Proof using induction

BASIS: At $n = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= \sum_{i=0}^0 C(0, i) \\ &= C(0, 0) \end{aligned}$$

A third proof

Proof using induction

BASIS: At $n = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= \sum_{i=0}^0 C(0, i) \\ &= C(0, 0) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

A third proof

Proof using induction

BASIS: At $n = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= \sum_{i=0}^0 C(0, i) \\ &= C(0, 0) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$RHS =$$

A third proof

Proof using induction

BASIS: At $n = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= \sum_{i=0}^0 C(0, i) \\ &= C(0, 0) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$RHS = 2^0$$

A third proof

Proof using induction

BASIS: At $n = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= \sum_{i=0}^0 C(0, i) \\ &= C(0, 0) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} RHS &= 2^0 \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

A third proof

Proof using induction

BASIS: At $n = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= \sum_{i=0}^0 C(0, i) \\ &= C(0, 0) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} RHS &= 2^0 \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Since LHS=RHS, the basis is proven.

A third proof

Proof using induction

BASIS: At $n = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= \sum_{i=0}^0 C(0, 0) \\ &= C(0, 0) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} RHS &= 2^0 \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Since LHS=RHS, the basis is proven.

INDUCTIVE STEP: Assume that the conjecture is true at $n = k$,

A third proof

Proof using induction

BASIS: At $n = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= \sum_{i=0}^0 C(0, i) \\ &= C(0, 0) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} RHS &= 2^0 \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Since LHS=RHS, the basis is proven.

INDUCTIVE STEP: Assume that the conjecture is true at $n = k$, i.e., assume that

A third proof

Proof using induction

BASIS: At $n = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= \sum_{i=0}^0 C(0, i) \\ &= C(0, 0) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} RHS &= 2^0 \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Since LHS=RHS, the basis is proven.

INDUCTIVE STEP: Assume that the conjecture is true at $n = k$, i.e., assume that

$$\sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) =$$

A third proof

Proof using induction

BASIS: At $n = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= \sum_{i=0}^0 C(0, i) \\ &= C(0, 0) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} RHS &= 2^0 \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Since LHS=RHS, the basis is proven.

INDUCTIVE STEP: Assume that the conjecture is true at $n = k$, i.e., assume that

$$\sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) = 2^k$$

for some $k \geq 0$.

A third proof

Proof using induction

BASIS: At $n = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= \sum_{i=0}^0 C(0, i) \\ &= C(0, 0) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} RHS &= 2^0 \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Since LHS=RHS, the basis is proven.

INDUCTIVE STEP: Assume that the conjecture is true at $n = k$, i.e., assume that

$$\sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) = 2^k$$

for some $k \geq 0$.

Inductive proof (contd.)

Inductive proof (contd.)

Inductive Step

Inductive proof (contd.)

Inductive Step

We now need to show that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} C(k+1, i) =$$

Inductive proof (contd.)

Inductive Step

We now need to show that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} C(k+1, i) = 2^{k+1}$$

Inductive proof (contd.)

Completing the induction

Inductive proof (contd.)

Completing the induction

Observe that,

Inductive proof (contd.)

Completing the induction

Observe that,

$$LHS =$$

Inductive proof (contd.)

Completing the induction

Observe that,

$$LHS = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} C(k+1, i)$$

Inductive proof (contd.)

Completing the induction

Observe that,

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} C(k+1, i) \\ &= C(k+1, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^k C(k+1, i) + C(k+1, k+1) \end{aligned}$$

Inductive proof (contd.)

Completing the induction

Observe that,

$$\begin{aligned}
 LHS &= \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} C(k+1, i) \\
 &= C(k+1, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^k C(k+1, i) + C(k+1, k+1) \\
 &= 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k [C(k, i) + C(k, i-1)] + 1, \text{ Pascal's formula}
 \end{aligned}$$

Inductive proof (contd.)

Completing the induction

Observe that,

$$\begin{aligned}
 LHS &= \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} C(k+1, i) \\
 &= C(k+1, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^k C(k+1, i) + C(k+1, k+1) \\
 &= 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k [C(k, i) + C(k, i-1)] + 1, \text{ Pascal's formula} \\
 &= (1 + \sum_{i=1}^k C(k, i)) + (\sum_{i=1}^k C(k, i-1) + 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Inductive proof (contd.)

Completing the induction

Observe that,

$$\begin{aligned}
 LHS &= \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} C(k+1, i) \\
 &= C(k+1, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^k C(k+1, i) + C(k+1, k+1) \\
 &= 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k [C(k, i) + C(k, i-1)] + 1, \text{ Pascal's formula} \\
 &= (1 + \sum_{i=1}^k C(k, i)) + (\sum_{i=1}^k C(k, i-1) + 1) \\
 &= (C(k, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^k C(k, i)) + (\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} C(k, j) + C(k, k))
 \end{aligned}$$

Inductive proof (contd.)

Completing the induction

Observe that,

$$\begin{aligned}
 LHS &= \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} C(k+1, i) \\
 &= C(k+1, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^k C(k+1, i) + C(k+1, k+1) \\
 &= 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k [C(k, i) + C(k, i-1)] + 1, \text{ Pascal's formula} \\
 &= (1 + \sum_{i=1}^k C(k, i)) + (\sum_{i=1}^k C(k, i-1) + 1) \\
 &= (C(k, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^k C(k, i)) + (\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} C(k, j) + C(k, k))
 \end{aligned}$$

Inductive proof (contd.)

The last steps

Inductive proof (contd.)

The last steps

$$= \sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) + \sum_{j=0}^k C(k, j)$$

Inductive proof (contd.)

The last steps

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) + \sum_{j=0}^k C(k, j) \\ &= 2 \cdot \sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) \end{aligned}$$

Inductive proof (contd.)

The last steps

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) + \sum_{j=0}^k C(k, j) \\ &= 2 \cdot \sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) \\ &= 2 \cdot 2^k, \text{ using the inductive hypothesis} \end{aligned}$$

Inductive proof (contd.)

The last steps

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) + \sum_{j=0}^k C(k, j) \\ &= 2 \cdot \sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) \\ &= 2 \cdot 2^k, \text{ using the inductive hypothesis} \\ &= 2^{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

Thus LHS=RHS and the inductive step is proven.

Inductive proof (contd.)

The last steps

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) + \sum_{j=0}^k C(k, j) \\ &= 2 \cdot \sum_{i=0}^k C(k, i) \\ &= 2 \cdot 2^k, \text{ using the inductive hypothesis} \\ &= 2^{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

Thus LHS=RHS and the inductive step is proven.

Applying the first principle of mathematical induction, we conclude that the conjecture is true.

Example

Example

Example

Example

Example

Prove the following identity:

Example

Example

Prove the following identity:

Example

Example

Prove the following identity:

1

$$\sum_{i=1}^n i \cdot C(n, i) = n \cdot 2^{n-1}$$

Solution

Solution

Solution

Solution

Solution

- 1 Expand the expression on the LHS for the identity.

Solution

Solution

- 1 Expand the expression on the LHS for the identity.
We thus need to show that,

Solution

Solution

- 1 Expand the expression on the LHS for the identity.
We thus need to show that,

Solution

Solution

- 1 Expand the expression on the LHS for the identity.

We thus need to show that,

$$C(n, 1) + 2 \cdot C(n, 2) + 3 \cdot C(n, 3) + \dots n \cdot C(n, n) = n \cdot 2^{n-1}.$$

Solution

Solution

- 1 Expand the expression on the LHS for the identity.

We thus need to show that,

$$C(n, 1) + 2 \cdot C(n, 2) + 3 \cdot C(n, 3) + \dots n \cdot C(n, n) = n \cdot 2^{n-1}.$$

- 2 Expand $(1 + x)^n$ using the binomial theorem.

Solution

Solution

- 1 Expand the expression on the LHS for the identity.

We thus need to show that,

$$C(n, 1) + 2 \cdot C(n, 2) + 3 \cdot C(n, 3) + \dots n \cdot C(n, n) = n \cdot 2^{n-1}.$$

- 2 Expand $(1 + x)^n$ using the binomial theorem.

$$(1 + x)^n =$$

Solution

Solution

- 1 Expand the expression on the LHS for the identity.

We thus need to show that,

$$C(n, 1) + 2 \cdot C(n, 2) + 3 \cdot C(n, 3) + \dots n \cdot C(n, n) = n \cdot 2^{n-1}.$$

- 2 Expand $(1 + x)^n$ using the binomial theorem.

$$(1 + x)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot 1^{n-i} \cdot x^i =$$

Solution

Solution

- 1 Expand the expression on the LHS for the identity.

We thus need to show that,

$$C(n, 1) + 2 \cdot C(n, 2) + 3 \cdot C(n, 3) + \dots n \cdot C(n, n) = n \cdot 2^{n-1}.$$

- 2 Expand $(1 + x)^n$ using the binomial theorem.

$$(1 + x)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot 1^{n-i} \cdot x^i = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot x^i$$

Solution

Solution

- 1 Expand the expression on the LHS for the identity.

We thus need to show that,

$$C(n, 1) + 2 \cdot C(n, 2) + 3 \cdot C(n, 3) + \dots n \cdot C(n, n) = n \cdot 2^{n-1}.$$

- 2 Expand $(1 + x)^n$ using the binomial theorem.

$$(1 + x)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot 1^{n-i} \cdot x^i = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot x^i$$

- 3 Differentiate both sides to get:

Solution

Solution

- 1 Expand the expression on the LHS for the identity.

We thus need to show that,

$$C(n, 1) + 2 \cdot C(n, 2) + 3 \cdot C(n, 3) + \dots n \cdot C(n, n) = n \cdot 2^{n-1}.$$

- 2 Expand $(1 + x)^n$ using the binomial theorem.

$$(1 + x)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot 1^{n-i} \cdot x^i = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot x^i$$

- 3 Differentiate both sides to get:

$$n \cdot (1 + x)^{n-1} =$$

Solution

Solution

- 1 Expand the expression on the LHS for the identity.

We thus need to show that,

$$C(n, 1) + 2 \cdot C(n, 2) + 3 \cdot C(n, 3) + \dots n \cdot C(n, n) = n \cdot 2^{n-1}.$$

- 2 Expand $(1 + x)^n$ using the binomial theorem.

$$(1 + x)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot 1^{n-i} \cdot x^i = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot x^i$$

- 3 Differentiate both sides to get:

$$n \cdot (1 + x)^{n-1} = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot i \cdot x^{i-1}.$$

Solution

Solution

- 1 Expand the expression on the LHS for the identity.

We thus need to show that,

$$C(n, 1) + 2 \cdot C(n, 2) + 3 \cdot C(n, 3) + \dots n \cdot C(n, n) = n \cdot 2^{n-1}.$$

- 2 Expand $(1 + x)^n$ using the binomial theorem.

$$(1 + x)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot 1^{n-i} \cdot x^i = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot x^i$$

- 3 Differentiate both sides to get:

$$n \cdot (1 + x)^{n-1} = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n, i) \cdot i \cdot x^{i-1}.$$

- 4 Put $x = 1$ to get the identity.