Combinatorial Optimization - Homework I

K. Subramani LCSEE, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV {ksmani@csee.wvu.edu}

1 Instructions

- 1. The homework is due on February 7, in class.
- 2. Each question is worth 4 points.
- 3. Attempt as many problems as you can. You will be given partial credit, as per the policy discussed in class.
- 4. The work must be entirely your own. You are expressly **prohibited** from consulting with colleagues or the internet (with the exception of the material on the course website).

2 Problems

1. Consider the feasibility version of the linear programming problem, in which you are given a matrix $A_{m \times n}$, a vector $\mathbf{b}_{m \times 1}$ and asked, if there exists $\mathbf{x} \in \Re^n$, such that

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{x} \le \mathbf{b} \tag{1}$$

In the integer programming counterpart, we are required to find an integral \mathbf{x} , which satisfies System (1). Show that an oracle for the integer programming problem can be used to solve the linear programming problem in polynomial time.

- 2. Is the Fourier-Motzkin elimination procedure polynomially convergent? If yes, provide a proof; if no, provide a counterexample.
- 3. In class, I showed that the same combinatorial optimization problem can have more than one integer programming formulation. Let F and G denote two formulations for a problem P. Formulation F is said to be stronger than formulation G, if the linear programming relaxation of F is a strict subset of the linear programming relaxation of G. Consider the following two integer programming formulations of a problem:

$$\mathbf{F} : 2 \cdot x_1 + 2 \cdot x_2 + x_3 + x_4 \leq 2$$

$$x_1 \leq 1$$

$$x_2 \leq 1$$

$$x_3 \leq 1$$

$$x_4 \leq 1$$

$$x_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G} : x_1 + x_2 + x_3 &\leq & 1 \\ x_1 + x_2 + x_4 &\leq & 1 \\ x_i &\geq & 0, \ i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \end{aligned}$$

Which one is stronger?

4. Let A denote an $m \times n$ matrix and b denote an $m \times 1$ vector. Using the Strong Duality Theorem, prove that that either

$$\exists \mathbf{x} \in \Re^n_+ \ \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$$

or (mutually exclusively)

$$\exists \mathbf{y} \in \Re^m_+ \ \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{A} \ge \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{b} < \mathbf{0}.$$

- 5. Let $x_1, x_2, \ldots x_k$ be points in \Re^n . Argue that the following statements are equivalent:
 - (i) $x_1, x_2, \ldots x_k$ are affinely independent.
 - (ii) $(x_2 x_1), (x_3 x_1), \dots, (x_k x_1)$ are linearly independent.
 - (iii) $(x_1, 1), (x_2, 1), \dots, (x_k, 1)$ are linearly independent.