

Induction - Complete Induction

K. Subramani¹

¹Lane Department of Computer Science and Electrical Engineering
West Virginia University

April 1 2013

1 Complete Induction

Complete Induction or Strong Induction

Complete Induction or Strong Induction

Axiom Schema

$$[(\forall n) (\forall n') ((n' < n) \rightarrow P(n')) \rightarrow P(n)] \rightarrow (\forall x) P(x).$$

Complete Induction or Strong Induction

Axiom Schema

$$[(\forall n) (\forall n') ((n' < n) \rightarrow P(n')) \rightarrow P(n)] \rightarrow (\forall x) P(x).$$

Note

Complete Induction or Strong Induction

Axiom Schema

$$[(\forall n) (\forall n') ((n' < n) \rightarrow P(n')) \rightarrow P(n)] \rightarrow (\forall x) P(x).$$

Note

Do we need a base case?

Complete Induction or Strong Induction

Axiom Schema

$$[(\forall n) (\forall n') ((n' < n) \rightarrow P(n')) \rightarrow P(n)] \rightarrow (\forall x) P(x).$$

Note

Do we need a base case? Has it been addressed?

Example

Show that every number greater than or equal to 8 can be expressed in the form $5 \cdot a + 3 \cdot b$, for suitably chosen a and b .

Example

Show that every number greater than or equal to 8 can be expressed in the form $5 \cdot a + 3 \cdot b$, for suitably chosen a and b .

Proof.

The conjecture is clearly true for 8, 9 and 10.

Example

Show that every number greater than or equal to 8 can be expressed in the form $5 \cdot a + 3 \cdot b$, for suitably chosen a and b .

Proof.

The conjecture is clearly true for 8, 9 and 10. Assume that the conjecture holds for all r , $8 \leq r \leq k$.

Example

Show that every number greater than or equal to 8 can be expressed in the form $5 \cdot a + 3 \cdot b$, for suitably chosen a and b .

Proof.

The conjecture is clearly true for 8, 9 and 10. Assume that the conjecture holds for all r , $8 \leq r \leq k$. Consider the integer $k + 1$.

Example

Show that every number greater than or equal to 8 can be expressed in the form $5 \cdot a + 3 \cdot b$, for suitably chosen a and b .

Proof.

The conjecture is clearly true for 8, 9 and 10. Assume that the conjecture holds for all r , $8 \leq r \leq k$. Consider the integer $k + 1$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $(k + 1) \geq 11$.

Example

Show that every number greater than or equal to 8 can be expressed in the form $5 \cdot a + 3 \cdot b$, for suitably chosen a and b .

Proof.

The conjecture is clearly true for 8, 9 and 10. Assume that the conjecture holds for all r , $8 \leq r \leq k$. Consider the integer $k + 1$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $(k + 1) \geq 11$. Observe that $(k + 1) - 3 = k - 2$ is at least 8 and less than k .

Example

Show that every number greater than or equal to 8 can be expressed in the form $5 \cdot a + 3 \cdot b$, for suitably chosen a and b .

Proof.

The conjecture is clearly true for 8, 9 and 10. Assume that the conjecture holds for all r , $8 \leq r \leq k$. Consider the integer $k + 1$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $(k + 1) \geq 11$. Observe that $(k + 1) - 3 = k - 2$ is at least 8 and less than k . As per the inductive hypothesis, $k - 2$ can be expressed in the form $3a + 5b$, for suitably chosen a and b .

Example

Show that every number greater than or equal to 8 can be expressed in the form $5 \cdot a + 3 \cdot b$, for suitably chosen a and b .

Proof.

The conjecture is clearly true for 8, 9 and 10. Assume that the conjecture holds for all r , $8 \leq r \leq k$. Consider the integer $k + 1$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $(k + 1) \geq 11$. Observe that $(k + 1) - 3 = k - 2$ is at least 8 and less than k . As per the inductive hypothesis, $k - 2$ can be expressed in the form $3a + 5b$, for suitably chosen a and b . It follows that $(k + 1) = 3 \cdot (a + 1) + 5 \cdot b$, can also be so expressed.

Example

Show that every number greater than or equal to 8 can be expressed in the form $5 \cdot a + 3 \cdot b$, for suitably chosen a and b .

Proof.

The conjecture is clearly true for 8, 9 and 10. Assume that the conjecture holds for all r , $8 \leq r \leq k$. Consider the integer $k + 1$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $(k + 1) \geq 11$. Observe that $(k + 1) - 3 = k - 2$ is at least 8 and less than k . As per the inductive hypothesis, $k - 2$ can be expressed in the form $3a + 5b$, for suitably chosen a and b . It follows that $(k + 1) = 3 \cdot (a + 1) + 5 \cdot b$, can also be so expressed. Applying the second principle of mathematical induction, we conclude that the conjecture is true. □

The theory T_{PA}^*

Peano Arithmetic with division

The theory T_{PA}^*

Peano Arithmetic with division

Consider the theory of T_{PA}^* , which is the theory of Peano arithmetic T_{PA} , augmented by the following axioms:

Peano Arithmetic with division

Consider the theory of T_{PA}^* , which is the theory of Peano arithmetic T_{PA} , augmented by the following axioms:

The theory T_{PA}^*

Peano Arithmetic with division

Consider the theory of T_{PA}^* , which is the theory of Peano arithmetic T_{PA} , augmented by the following axioms:

$$A1. (\forall x)(\forall y) (x < y) \rightarrow [\text{quot}(x, y) =$$

The theory T_{PA}^*

Peano Arithmetic with division

Consider the theory of T_{PA}^* , which is the theory of Peano arithmetic T_{PA} , augmented by the following axioms:

$$\mathcal{A}1. (\forall x)(\forall y) (x < y) \rightarrow [\text{quot}(x, y) = 0].$$

The theory T_{PA}^*

Peano Arithmetic with division

Consider the theory of T_{PA}^* , which is the theory of Peano arithmetic T_{PA} , augmented by the following axioms:

- A1. $(\forall x)(\forall y) (x < y) \rightarrow [\text{quot}(x, y) = 0]$.
- A2. $(\forall x)(\forall y) (y > 0) \rightarrow [\text{quot}(x + y, y) =$

The theory T_{PA}^*

Peano Arithmetic with division

Consider the theory of T_{PA}^* , which is the theory of Peano arithmetic T_{PA} , augmented by the following axioms:

- A1. $(\forall x)(\forall y) (x < y) \rightarrow [\text{quot}(x, y) = 0]$.
- A2. $(\forall x)(\forall y) (y > 0) \rightarrow [\text{quot}(x + y, y) = \text{quot}(x, y) + 1]$.

The theory T_{PA}^*

Peano Arithmetic with division

Consider the theory of T_{PA}^* , which is the theory of Peano arithmetic T_{PA} , augmented by the following axioms:

- A1. $(\forall x)(\forall y) (x < y) \rightarrow [\text{quot}(x, y) = 0]$.
- A2. $(\forall x)(\forall y) (y > 0) \rightarrow [\text{quot}(x + y, y) = \text{quot}(x, y) + 1]$.
- A3. $(\forall x)(\forall y) (x < y) \rightarrow [\text{rem}(x, y) =$

The theory T_{PA}^*

Peano Arithmetic with division

Consider the theory of T_{PA}^* , which is the theory of Peano arithmetic T_{PA} , augmented by the following axioms:

- A1. $(\forall x)(\forall y) (x < y) \rightarrow [\text{quot}(x, y) = 0]$.
- A2. $(\forall x)(\forall y) (y > 0) \rightarrow [\text{quot}(x + y, y) = \text{quot}(x, y) + 1]$.
- A3. $(\forall x)(\forall y) (x < y) \rightarrow [\text{rem}(x, y) = x]$.

Peano Arithmetic with division

Consider the theory of T_{PA}^* , which is the theory of Peano arithmetic T_{PA} , augmented by the following axioms:

- A1. $(\forall x)(\forall y) (x < y) \rightarrow [\text{quot}(x, y) = 0]$.
- A2. $(\forall x)(\forall y) (y > 0) \rightarrow [\text{quot}(x + y, y) = \text{quot}(x, y) + 1]$.
- A3. $(\forall x)(\forall y) (x < y) \rightarrow [\text{rem}(x, y) = x]$.
- A4. $(\forall x)(\forall y) (y > 0) \rightarrow [\text{rem}(x + y, y) =$

Peano Arithmetic with division

Consider the theory of T_{PA}^* , which is the theory of Peano arithmetic T_{PA} , augmented by the following axioms:

- A1. $(\forall x)(\forall y) (x < y) \rightarrow [\text{quot}(x, y) = 0]$.
- A2. $(\forall x)(\forall y) (y > 0) \rightarrow [\text{quot}(x + y, y) = \text{quot}(x, y) + 1]$.
- A3. $(\forall x)(\forall y) (x < y) \rightarrow [\text{rem}(x, y) = x]$.
- A4. $(\forall x)(\forall y) (y > 0) \rightarrow [\text{rem}(x + y, y) = \text{rem}(x, y)]$.

The theory T_{PA}^*

Peano Arithmetic with division

Consider the theory of T_{PA}^* , which is the theory of Peano arithmetic T_{PA} , augmented by the following axioms:

- A1. $(\forall x)(\forall y) (x < y) \rightarrow [\text{quot}(x, y) = 0]$.
- A2. $(\forall x)(\forall y) (y > 0) \rightarrow [\text{quot}(x + y, y) = \text{quot}(x, y) + 1]$.
- A3. $(\forall x)(\forall y) (x < y) \rightarrow [\text{rem}(x, y) = x]$.
- A4. $(\forall x)(\forall y) (y > 0) \rightarrow [\text{rem}(x + y, y) = \text{rem}(x, y)]$.

Example

Argue that

$$(\forall x)(\forall y) (y > 0) \rightarrow [\text{rem}(x, y) < y].$$